

CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 4

Records, Datatypes, Case Expressions

Brett Wortzman
Summer 2019

Slides originally created by Dan Grossman

Five different things

1. **Syntax**: How do you write language constructs?
2. **Semantics**: What do programs mean? (Evaluation rules)
3. **Idioms**: What are typical patterns for using language features to express your computation?
4. **Libraries**: What facilities does the language (or a well-known project) provide "standard"? (E.g., file access, data structures)
5. **Tools**: What do language implementations provide to make your job easier? (E.g., REPL, debugger, code formatter, ...)
 - Not actually part of the language

These are 5 separate issues

- In practice, all are essential for good programmers
- Many people confuse them, but shouldn't

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

2

Our Focus

This course focuses on semantics and idioms

- Syntax is usually uninteresting
 - A fact to learn, like "The American Civil War ended in 1865"
 - People obsess over subjective preferences
- Libraries and tools crucial, but often learn new ones "on the job"
 - We are learning semantics and how to use that knowledge to understand all software and employ appropriate idioms
 - By avoiding most libraries/tools, our languages may look "silly" but so would *any* language used this way

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

3

How to build bigger types

- Already know:
 - Have various *base types* like `int bool unit char`
 - Ways to build (nested) *compound types*: tuples, lists, options
- Coming soon: more ways to build compound types
- First: 3 most important type building blocks in *any* language
 - "Each of": A `t` value contains *values of each of* `t1 t2 ... tn`
 - "One of": A `t` value contains *values of one of* `t1 t2 ... tn`
 - "Self reference": A `t` value can refer to other `t` values

Remarkable: A lot of data can be described with just these building blocks

Note: These are not the common names for these concepts

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

4

Examples

- Tuples build each-of types
 - `int * bool` contains an `int` *and* a `bool`
- Options build one-of types
 - `int option` contains an `int` *or* it contains no data
- Lists use all three building blocks
 - `int list` contains an `int` *and* another `int list` *or* it contains no data
- And of course we can nest compound types
 - `((int * int) option * (int list list)) option`

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

5

Rest of this Lecture

- Another way to build each-of types in ML
 - *Records*: have named *fields*
 - Connection to tuples and idea of *syntactic sugar*
- A way to build and use our own one-of types in ML
 - For example, a type that contains an `int` *or* a `string`
 - Will lead to *pattern-matching*, one of ML's coolest and strangest-to-Java-programmers features
- Later in course: How OOP does one-of types
 - Key contrast with procedural and functional programming

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

6

Records

Record values have fields (any name) holding values

```
{f1 = v1, ..., fn = vn}
```

Record types have fields (and name) holding types

```
{f1 : t1, ..., fn : tn}
```

The order of fields in a record value or type never matters

- REPL alphabetizes fields just for consistency

Building records:

```
{f1 = e1, ..., fn = en}
```

Accessing components:

```
#myfieldname e
```

(Evaluation rules and type-checking as expected)

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

7

Example

```
{name = "Matai", id = 4 - 3}
```

Evaluates to

```
{id = 1, name = "Matai"}
```

And has type

```
{id : int, name : string}
```

If some expression such as a variable x has this type, then get fields with:

```
#id x #name x
```

Note we did not have to declare any record types

- The same program could also make a `{id=true,ego=false}` of type `{id:bool,ego:bool}`

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

8

By name vs. by position

- Little difference between `(4,7,9)` and `{f=4,g=7,h=9}`
 - Tuples a little shorter
 - Records a little easier to remember “what is where”
 - Generally a matter of taste, but for many (6? 8? 12?) fields, a record is usually a better choice
- A common decision for a construct’s syntax is whether to refer to things *by position* (as in tuples) or *by some (field) name* (as with records)
 - A common hybrid is like with Java method arguments (and ML functions as used so far):
 - Caller uses *position*
 - Callee uses *variables*
 - Could totally do it differently; some languages have

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

9

The truth about tuples

Previous lecture gave tuples syntax, type-checking rules, and evaluation rules

But we could have done this instead:

- Tuple syntax is just a different way to write certain records
- `(e1, ..., en)` is another way of writing `{1=e1, ..., n=en}`
- `t1*...*tn` is another way of writing `{1:t1, ..., n:tn}`
- In other words, records with field names 1, 2, ...

In fact, this is how ML actually defines tuples

- Other than special syntax in programs and printing, they don’t exist
- You really can write `{1=4,2=7,3=9}`, but it’s bad style

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

10

Syntactic sugar

“Tuples are just *syntactic sugar* for records with fields named 1, 2, ... n”

- *Syntactic*: Can describe the semantics entirely by the corresponding record syntax
- *Sugar*: They make the language sweeter ☺

Will see many more examples of syntactic sugar

- They simplify *understanding* the language
 - They simplify *implementing* the language
- Why? Because there are fewer semantics to worry about even though we have the syntactic convenience of tuples

Another example we saw: `andalso` and `orelse` vs. `if then else`

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

11

Datatype bindings

A “strange” (?) and totally awesome (!) way to make one-of types:

- A **datatype** binding

```
datatype mytype = TwoInts of int * int
                | Str of string
                | Pizza
```

- Adds a new type `mytype` to the environment
- Adds *constructors* to the environment: `TwoInts`, `Str`, and `Pizza`
- A constructor is (among other things), a function that makes values of the new type (or is a value of the new type):
 - `TwoInts : int * int -> mytype`
 - `Str : string -> mytype`
 - `Pizza : mytype`

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

12

The values we make

```
datatype mytype = TwoInts of int * int
                | Str of string
                | Pizza
```

- Any value of type `mytype` is made from *one of* the constructors
- The value contains:
 - A "tag" for "which constructor" (e.g., `TwoInts`)
 - The corresponding data (e.g., `(7,9)`)
- Examples:
 - `TwoInts(3+4,5+4)` evaluates to `TwoInts(7,9)`
 - `Str(if true then "hi" else "bye")` evaluates to `Str("hi")`
 - `Pizza` is a value

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

13

Using them

So we know how to *build* datatype values; need to *access* them

There are *two* aspects to accessing a datatype value

1. Check what *variant* it is (what constructor made it)
2. Extract the *data* (if that variant has any)

Notice how our other one-of types used functions for this:

- `null` and `isSome` check variants
- `hd`, `tl`, and `valOf` extract data (raise exception on wrong variant)

ML *could* have done the same for datatype bindings

- For example, functions like "isStr" and "getStrData"
- Instead it did something better

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

14

Case

ML combines the two aspects of accessing a one-of value with a *case expression* and *pattern-matching*

- Pattern-matching much more general/powerful (Lecture 5)

Example:

```
fun f x = (* f has type mytype -> int *)
  case x of
    Pizza => 3
  | TwoInts(i1,i2) => i1+i2
  | Str s => String.size s
```

- A multi-branch conditional to pick branch based on variant
- Extracts data and binds to variables local to that branch
- Type-checking: all branches must have same type
- Evaluation: evaluate between `case ... of` and the right branch

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

15

Patterns

In general the syntax is:

```
case e0 of
  p1 => e1
| p2 => e2
  ...
| pn => en
```

For today, each *pattern* is a constructor name followed by the right number of variables (i.e., `c` or `c x` or `c(x,y)` or ...)

- Syntactically most patterns (all today) look like expressions
- But patterns are not expressions
 - We do not evaluate them
 - We see if the result of `e0` *matches* them

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

16

Why this way is better

0. You can use pattern-matching to write your own testing and data-extractions functions if you must

- But do not do that on your homework

1. You cannot forget a case (inexhaustive pattern-match warning)
2. You cannot duplicate a case (a type-checking error)
3. You will not forget to test the variant correctly and get an exception (like `hd []`)
4. Pattern-matching can be generalized and made more powerful, leading to elegant and concise code

Spring 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

17