

# CSE 341: Programming Languages

Winter 2006

Lecture 7— Motivation and First-Class Functions

# Today

---

- Finish course motivaton
- Summarize what we've learned with a concise and well-known notation for recursively-defined language constructs
- Begin first-class functions

## Why these 3?

---

*Functional programming* (ML, Scheme) encourages recursion, discourages mutation, provides elegant, lightweight support for first-class code. Support for extensibility complements OO.

- ML has a polymorphic type system (vindication imminent!) complementary to OO-style subtyping, a rich module system for abstract types, and rich pattern-matching.
- Scheme has dynamic typing, “good” macros, fascinating control operators, and a minimalist design.
- Smalltalk has classes but not types, an unconventional environment, and a complete commitment to OO.

Runners-up: Haskell (laziness and purity), Prolog (unification and backtracking), thousands of others...

## Why not some popular ones?

---

- Java: you know it, will contrast at end of course (e.g., interfaces, anonymous inner classes, container types)
- C: lots of “implementation-dependent” behavior (a bad property), and we have CSE303
- C++: an enormous language, and unsafe like C
- Perl: advantages (strings, files, ...) not foci of this course. Python or Ruby would be closer.

## Are these useful?

---

The way we use ML/Scheme/Smalltalk in 341 can make them seem almost “silly” precisely because we focus on *interesting language concepts*

“Real” programming needs file I/O, strings, floating-point, graphics libraries, project managers, unit testers, threads, foreign-function interfaces, ...

- These languages have all that and more!
- If Java were in 341, it would seem “silly” too

Somewhat outdated links:

- ML: <http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~appel/smlnj/projects.html>
- OCaml: [http://caml.inria.fr/users\\_programs-eng.html](http://caml.inria.fr/users_programs-eng.html)

## Summary and Some Notation

---

Learned the syntax, typing rules, and semantics for (a big) part of ML

Can summarize *abstract syntax* with *(E)BNF*. Informally:

$$\begin{aligned} t ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \text{unit} \mid \text{dtname} \\ & \mid t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \mid t_1 * t_2 \mid \{x_1=t_1, \dots, x_n=t_n\} \\ e ::= & 34 \mid x \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \\ & \mid \text{let } b_1 \dots b_n \text{ in } e \text{ end} \mid e_1 e_2 \\ & \mid \text{case } e \text{ of } p_1 \Rightarrow e_1 \mid \dots \mid p_n \Rightarrow e_n \\ & \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid \{x_1=e_1, \dots, x_n=e_n\} \mid C e \\ b ::= & \text{val } p = e \mid \text{fun } f \ p = e \\ & \mid \text{datatype } \text{dtname} = C_1 \text{ of } t_1 \mid \dots \mid C_n \text{ of } t_n \\ p ::= & 34 \mid x \mid \_ \mid C p \mid (p_1, p_2) \mid \{x_1=p_1, \dots, x_n=p_n\} \end{aligned}$$

Things left out of this *grammar*: *n*-tuples, field-accessors, floating-point, boolean constants, andalso/orelse, lists, ...

# First-Class Functions

---

- Functions are values. (Variables in the environment are bound to them.)
- We can pass functions to other functions.
  - *Factor* common parts and *abstract* different parts.
- Most polymorphic functions take functions as arguments.
  - Non-example: `fun f x = 42`
- Some functions taking functions are polymorphic.

# Type Inference and Polymorphism

---

ML can infer function types based on function bodies. Possibilities:

- The argument/result must be one specific type.
- The argument/result can be *any* type, but may have to be the *same type* as other parts of argument/result.
- Some hand-waving about “equality types”

We will study this *parametric polymorphism* more later.

Without it, ML would be a pain (e.g., a different list library for every list-element type).

Fascinating: If  $f: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$ , there are lots of values  $f$  could return. If  $f: 'a \rightarrow 'a$ , whenever  $f$  returns, it returns its argument!



# Anonymous Functions

---

As usual, we can write functions anywhere we write expressions.

- We already could:

```
(let fun f x = e in f end)
```

- Here is a more concise way (better style when possible):

```
(fn x => e)
```

- Cannot do this for recursive functions (why?)

# Returning Functions

---

Syntax note:  $\rightarrow$  “associates to the right”

- $t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \rightarrow t_3$  means  $t_1 \rightarrow (t_2 \rightarrow t_3)$

Again, there is nothing new here.

The key question: What about *free variables* in a function value?  
What *environment* do we use to *evaluate* them?

Are such free variables useful?

You must understand the answers to move beyond being a novice programmer.