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## Algorithm Analysis: Why?

- Correctness:
- Does the algorithm do what is intended.
- Performance:
- What is the running time of the algorithm.
- How much storage does it consume.
- Different algorithms may be correct
- Which should I use?


## Recursive algorithm for sum

- Write a recursive function to find the sum of the first $\mathbf{n}$ integers stored in array $\mathbf{V}$.

```
sum(integer array v, integer n) returns integer
    if n = 0 then
        sum = 0
    else
        sum = nth number + sum of first n-1 numbers
    return sum
```


## Proof by Induction

- Basis Step: The algorithm is correct for a base case or two by inspection.
- Inductive Hypothesis ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{k}$ ): Assume that the algorithm works correctly for the first $k$ cases.
- Inductive Step ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}$ ): Given the hypothesis above, show that the $k+1$ case will be calculated correctly.


## Program Correctness by Induction

- Basis Step: $\operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{v}, 0)=0$.
- Inductive Hypothesis ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{k}$ ):

Assume sum( $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k}$ ) correctly returns sum of first $k$ elements of v, i.e. v[0]+v[1]+...v[k-1]+v[k]

- Inductive Step ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{k}+1$ ): sum(v,n) returns

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v[k]+\operatorname{sum}(v, k-1)=(\text { by inductive hyp.) } \\
& v[k]+(v[0]+v[1]+\ldots+v[k-1])= \\
& v[0]+v[1]+. .+v[k-1]+v[k]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algorithms vs Programs

- Proving correctness of an algorithm is very important
- a well designed algorithm is guaranteed to work correctly and its performance can be estimated
- Proving correctness of a program (an implementation) is fraught with weird bugs
- Abstract Data Types are a way to bridge the gap between mathematical algorithms and programs


## Comparing Two Algorithms

GOAL: Sort a list of names
"I'll buy a faster CPU"
"I'll use C++ instead of Java - wicked fast!"
"Ooh look, the - O4 flag!"
"Who cares how I do it, I'll add more memory!"
"Can't I just get the data pre-sorted??"

## Comparing Two Algorithms

- What we want:
- Rough Estimate
- Ignores Details
- Really, independent of details
- Coding tricks, CPU speed, compiler optimizations, ...
- These would help any algorithms equally
- Don't just care about running time - not a good enough measure


## Big-O Analysis

- Ignores "details"
- What details?
- CPU speed
- Programming language used
- Amount of memory
- Compiler
- Order of input
- Size of input ... sorta.


## Analysis of Algorithms

- Efficiency measure
- how long the program runs time complexity
- how much memory it uses space complexity
- Why analyze at all?
- Decide what algorithm to implement before actually doing it
- Given code, get a sense for where bottlenecks must be, without actually measuring it


## Asymptotic Analysis

One detail we won't ignore: problem size, \# of input elements

- Complexity as a function of input size $n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(n)=4 n+5 \\
& T(n)=0.5 n \log n-2 n+7 \\
& T(n)=2^{n}+n^{3}+3 n
\end{aligned}
$$

- What happens as n grows?


## Why Asymptotic Analysis?

- Most algorithms are fast for small $n$
- Time difference too small to be noticeable
- External things dominate (OS, disk I/O, ...)
- BUT $n$ is often large in practice
- Databases, internet, graphics, ...
- Difference really shows up as n grows!


## Exercise - Searching

| 2 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 37 | 50 | 73 | 75 | 126 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

bool ArrayFind( int array[], int n, int key)\{
// Insert your algorithm here

What algorithm would you choose

## Analyzing Code

Basic Java operations<br>Consecutive statements<br>Conditionals<br>Loops<br>Function calls Cost of function body Recursive functions Solve recurrence relation

## Linear Search Analysis

bool LinearArrayFind(int array[], int n, int key ) \{
for ( int i = 0; i < n; i++ ) \{
if( array[i] == key )
// Found it!
return true;
\}
return false;
\}

Best Case: 3

Worst Case:
$2 n+1$

## Binary Search Analysis

```
bool BinArrayFind( int array[], int low,
    int high, int key ) {
    // The subarray is empty
    if( low > high ) return false;
    // Search this subarray recursively
    int mid = (high + low) / 2;
    if( key == array[mid] ) {
        return true;
    } else if( key < array[mid] ) {
        return BinArrayFind( array, low,
                        mid-1, key );
    } else {
        return BinArrayFind( array, mid+1,

\section*{Solving Recurrence Relations}
1. Determine the recurrence relation. What is/are the base case(s)?
2. "Expand" the original relation to find an equivalent general expression in terms of the number of expansions.
3. Find a closed-form expression by setting the number of expansions to a value which reduces the problem to a base case

\section*{Linear Search vs Binary Search}
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l} 
& Linear Search & Binary Search \\
\hline Best Case & & \\
\hline Worst Case & &
\end{tabular}

So ... which algorithm is better?
What tradeoffs can you make?

\section*{Fast Computer vs. Slow Computer}


\section*{Fast Computer vs. Smart Programmer (round 1)}


Fast Computer vs. Smart Programmer (round 2)


\section*{Asymptotic Analysis}
- Asymptotic analysis looks at the order of the running time of the algorithm
- A valuable tool when the input gets "large"
- I gnores the effects of different machines or different implementations of the same algorithm
- Intuitively, to find the asymptotic runtime, throw away the constants and low-order terms
- Linear search is \(T(n)=3 n+2 \in \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})\)
- Binary search is \(T(n)=4 \log _{2} n+4 \in \mathbf{O}(\log \mathbf{n})\)```

