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## Deterministic Path Planning in Robotics

Courtesy of Maxim Likhachev
Carnegie Mellon University

## Motion/Path Planning

- Task:
find a feasible (and cost-minimal) path/motion from the current configuration of the robot to its goal configuration (or one of its goal configurations)
- Two types of constraints:
environmental constraints (e.g., obstacles) dynamics/kinematics constraints of the robot
- Generated motion/path should (objective): be any feasible path minimize cost such as distance, time, energy, risk, ...


## Motion/Path Planning

Examples (of what is usually referred to as path planning):


## Motion/Path Planning

Examples (of what is usually referred to as motion planning):


Piano Movers ' problem

## Motion/Path Planning

Examples (of what is usually referred to as motion planning):


Planned motion for a $6 D O F$ robot arm

## Motion/Path Planning



## Motion/Path Planning


i.e., deterministic registration or Bayesian update
i.e., Bayesian update (EKF)

## Uncertainty and Planning

- Uncertainty can be in:
- prior environment (i.e., door is open or closed)
- execution (i.e., robot may slip)
- sensing environment (i.e., seems like an obstacle but not sure)
- pose
- Planning approaches:
- deterministic planning:
- assume some (i.e., most likely) environment, execution, pose
- plan a single least-cost trajectory under this assumption
- re-plan as new information arrives
- planning under uncertainty:
- associate probabilities with some elements or everything
-plan a policy that dictates what to do for each outcome of sensing/action and minimizes expected cost-to-goal
- re-plan if unaccounted events happen


## Uncertainty and Planning

- Uncertainty can be in:
- prior environment (i.e., door is open or closed)
- execution (i.e., robot may slip)
- sensing environment (i.e., seems like an obstacle but not sure)
- pose
- Planning approaches:
- deterministic planning:
re-plan every time
sensory data arrives or robot deviates off its path
- assume some (i.e., most likely) environme rıb,
- plan a single least-cost trajectory under th:
- re-plan as new information arrives re-planning needs to be FAST
- planning under uncertainty:
- associate probabilities with some elements or everything
-plan a policy that dictates what to do for each outcome of sensing/action and minimizes expected cost-to-goal
- re-plan if unaccounted events happen


## Uncertainty and Planning

- Uncertainty can be in:
- prior environment (i.e., door is open or closed)
- execution (i.e., robot may slip)
- sensing environment (i.e., seems like an obstacle but not sure)
- pose
- Planning approaches:
- deterministic planning:
- assume some (i.e., most likely) environment, execution, pose
- plan a single least-cost trajectory under this assumption
- re-plan as new information arrives
- planning under uncertainty:
- associate probabilities with some elements or everything
-plan a policy that dictates what to do for each outcome of sensing/action and minimizes expected cost-to-goal
computationally MUCH harder
- re-plan if unaccounted events happen


## Example



Urban Challenge Race, CMU team, planning with Anytime D*

## Outline

- Deterministic planning
- constructing a graph
- search with A*
- search with $\mathrm{D}^{*}$


## Outline

- Deterministic planning
- constructing a graph
- search with A*
- search with $\mathrm{D}^{*}$


## Planning via Cell Decomposition

- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
- overlay uniform grid over the C-space (discretize)



## Planning via Cell Decomposition

- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
- construct a graph and search it for a least-cost path



## Planning via Cell Decomposition

- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
- construct a graph and search it for a least-cost path



## Planning via Cell Decomposition

- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
- construct a graph and search it for a least-cost path
- VERY popular due to its simplicity and representation of arbitrary obstacles
- Problem: transitions difficult to execute on non-holonomic robots



## Planning via Cell Decomposition

- Graph construction:
- lattice graph
outcome state is the center of the corresponding cell



## Planning via Cell Decomposition

- Graph construction:
- lattice graph
- pros: sparse graph, feasible paths
- cons: possible incompleteness
action template



## Outline

- Deterministic planning
- constructing a graph
- search with A*
- search with $\mathrm{D}^{*}$
- Planning under uncertainty
-Markov Decision Processes (MDP)
-Partially Observable Decision Processes (POMDP)


## A* Search

- Computes optimal g-values for relevant states
at any point of time:

> an (under) estimate of the cost
> of a shortest path from s to $s_{\text {goal }}$


## A* Search

- Computes optimal g-values for relevant states
at any point of time:

one popular heuristic function - Euclidean distance


## A* Search

## - Computes optimal g-values for relevant states

## ComputePath function

while $\left(s_{\text {goal }}\right.$ is not expanded)
remove $s$ with the smallest $[f(s)=g(s)+h(s)]$ from $O P E N$; insert $s$ into CLOSED;
for every successor $s^{\prime}$ of $s$ such that $s^{\prime}$ not in CLOSED
if $\begin{aligned} g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & >g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \\ g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & =g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) ;\end{aligned}$ insert $s^{\prime}$ into OPEN;

CLOSED $=\{ \}$ OPEN $=\left\{s_{\text {start }}\right\}$
next state to expand: $s_{\text {start }}$


## A* Search

## - Computes optimal g-values for relevant states

## ComputePath function

while $\left(s_{\text {goal }}\right.$ is not expanded)
remove $s$ with the smallest $[f(s)=g(s)+h(s)]$ from $O P E N$; insert $s$ into CLOSED;
for every successor $s^{\prime}$ of $s$ such that $s^{\prime}$ not in CLOSED

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } g\left(s^{\prime}\right)>g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \\
& g\left(s^{\prime}\right)=g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) ; \\
& \text { insert } s^{\prime} \text { into OPEN; }
\end{aligned}
$$

CLOSED $=\{ \}$ OPEN $=\left\{s_{\text {star }}\right\}$
next state to expand: $s_{\text {start }}$


## A* Search

## - Computes optimal g-values for relevant states

## ComputePath function

while $\left(s_{\text {goal }}\right.$ is not expanded)
remove $s$ with the smallest $[f(s)=g(s)+h(s)]$ from $O P E N$; insert $s$ into CLOSED;
for every successor $s^{\prime}$ of $s$ such that $s^{\prime}$ not in CLOSED
if $\begin{aligned} g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & >g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \\ g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & =g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) ;\end{aligned}$ insert $s^{\prime}$ into OPEN;

CLOSED $=\left\{s_{\text {start }}\right\}$ OPEN $=\left\{s_{2}\right\}$ next state to expand: $s_{2}$


## A* Search

## - Computes optimal g-values for relevant states

## ComputePath function

while $\left(s_{\text {goal }}\right.$ is not expanded)
remove $s$ with the smallest $[f(s)=g(s)+h(s)]$ from $O P E N$; insert $s$ into CLOSED;
for every successor $s^{\prime}$ of $s$ such that $s^{\prime}$ not in CLOSED
if $\begin{aligned} g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & >g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \\ g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & =g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) ;\end{aligned}$ insert $s$ ' into OPEN;

CLOSED $=\left\{s_{\text {start }}, s_{2}\right\}$ OPEN $=\left\{s_{1}, s_{4}\right\}$ next state to expand: $s_{1}$


## A* Search

## - Computes optimal g-values for relevant states

## ComputePath function

while $\left(s_{\text {goal }}\right.$ is not expanded)
remove $s$ with the smallest $[f(s)=g(s)+h(s)]$ from $O P E N$; insert $s$ into CLOSED;
for every successor $s^{\prime}$ of $s$ such that $s^{\prime}$ not in CLOSED
if $\begin{aligned} g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & >g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \\ g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & =g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) ;\end{aligned}$ insert $s$ ' into OPEN;

CLOSED $=\left\{s_{\text {start }}, s_{2}, s_{l}\right\}$ OPEN $=\left\{s_{4}, s_{\text {goal }}\right\}$ next state to expand: $s_{4}$


## A* Search

## - Computes optimal g-values for relevant states

## ComputePath function

while $\left(s_{\text {goal }}\right.$ is not expanded)
remove $s$ with the smallest $[f(s)=g(s)+h(s)]$ from $O P E N$; insert $s$ into CLOSED;
for every successor $s^{\prime}$ of $s$ such that $s^{\prime}$ not in CLOSED
if $\begin{aligned} g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & >g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \\ g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & =g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) ;\end{aligned}$ insert $s^{\prime}$ into OPEN;

CLOSED $=\left\{s_{\text {start }}, s_{2}, s_{1}, s_{4}\right\}$ OPEN $=\left\{s_{3}, s_{\text {goal }}\right\}$ next state to expand: $s_{\text {goal }}$


## A* Search

## - Computes optimal g-values for relevant states

## ComputePath function

while $\left(s_{\text {goal }}\right.$ is not expanded)
remove $s$ with the smallest $[f(s)=g(s)+h(s)]$ from $O P E N$; insert $s$ into CLOSED;
for every successor $s^{\prime}$ of $s$ such that $s^{\prime}$ not in CLOSED

$$
\text { if } \begin{aligned}
g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & >g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \\
g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & =g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { insert } s^{\prime} \text { into } O P E N
$$

$C L O S E D=\left\{s_{\text {start }}, s_{2}, s_{1}, s_{4}, s_{\text {goal }}\right\}$ OPEN $=\left\{s_{3}\right\}$ done


## A* Search

- Computes optimal g-values for relevant states


## ComputePath function

while ( $s_{\text {goal }}$ is not expanded)
remove $s$ with the smallest $[f(s)=g(s)+h(s)]$ from $O P E N$; insert $s$ into CLOSED;
for every successor $s^{\prime}$ of $s$ such that $s^{\prime}$ not in CLOSED

$$
\text { if } \begin{aligned}
g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & >g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \\
g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & =g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { insert } s^{\prime} \text { into } O P E N
$$

for every expanded state $g(s)$ is optimal for every other state $g(s)$ is an upper bound we can now compute a least-cost path


## A* Search

- Computes optimal g-values for relevant states


## ComputePath function

while ( $s_{\text {goal }}$ is not expanded)
remove $s$ with the smallest $[f(s)=g(s)+h(s)]$ from $O P E N$; insert $s$ into CLOSED;
for every successor $s^{\prime}$ of $s$ such that $s^{\prime}$ not in CLOSED

$$
\text { if } \begin{aligned}
g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & >g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \\
g\left(s^{\prime}\right) & =g(s)+c\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { insert } s^{\prime} \text { into } O P E N
$$

for every expanded state $g(s)$ is optimal for every other state $g(s)$ is an upper bound we can now compute a least-cost path


## A* Search

- Is guaranteed to return an optimal path (in fact, for every expanded state) - optimal in terms of the solution
- Performs provably minimal number of state expansions required to guarantee optimality - optimal in terms of the computations



## A* Search

- Is guaranteed to return an optimal path (in fact, for every expanded state) - $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ helps with robot deviating off its pathinn if we search with $A^{*}$
backwards (from goal to start)
- Performs provably minimal number ot state expansions required to guarantee optimality - optimal in terms of the computations



## Effect of the Heuristic Function

- A* Search: expands states in the order of $f=g+h$ values



## Effect of the Heuristic Function

- A* Search: expands states in the order of $f=g+h$ values
for large problems this results in $A^{*}$ quickly
running out of memory (memory: $O(n)$ )

$S_{\text {goal }}$


## Effect of the Heuristic Function

- Weighted $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ Search: expands states in the order of $f=$ $g+\varepsilon h$ values, $\varepsilon>1=$ bias towards states that are closer to goal

solution is always $\varepsilon$-suboptimal:<br>$\operatorname{cost}($ solution $) \leq \varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{cost}($ optimal solution)



## Adaptive Real-Time A*


$\epsilon=2.5$

initial search $(\epsilon=2.5)$

$\epsilon=1.5$

second search $(\epsilon=1.5)$

$\epsilon=1.0$ (optimal search)

third search $(\epsilon=1.0)$

## Effect of the Heuristic Function

- Weighted A* Search: expands states in the order of $f=$ $g+\varepsilon h$ values, $\varepsilon>l=$ bias towards states that are closer to goal

20DOF simulated robotic arm
state-space size: over $10^{26}$ states

planning with ARA* (anytime version of weighted A*)

## Effect of the Heuristic Function

- planning in 8D ( $\langle x, y\rangle$ for each foothold)
- heuristic is Euclidean distance from the center of the body to the goal location
- cost of edges based on kinematic stability of the robot and quality of footholds

planning with $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ (randomized version of weighted $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ )
joint work with Subhrajit Bhattacharya, Jon Bohren, Sachin Chitta, Daniel D. Lee, Aleksandr Kushleyev, Paul Vernaza


## Outline

- Deterministic planning
- constructing a graph
- search with A*
- search with $D^{*}$


## Incremental version of A* (D*/D* Lite)

- Robot needs to re-plan whenever
- new information arrives (partially-known environments or/and dynamic environments)
- robot deviates off its path



## Incremental version of A* (D*/D* Lite)

- Robot needs to re-plan whenever
- new information arrives (partially-known environments or/and dynamic environments)
- robot deviates off its path
incremental planning (re-planning): reuse of previous planning efforts
planning in dynamic environments


Tartanracing, CMU

## Motivation for Incremental Version of A*

- Reuse state values from previous searches
cost of least-cost paths to $s_{\text {goal }}$ initially

| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 |  | 9 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | $S_{\text {s.anal }}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 |  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 |  |  | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 1 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

cost of least-cost paths to $s_{\text {goal }}$ after the door turns out to be closed

| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 |  | 9 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | $S_{\text {soal }}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

## Motivation for Incremental Version of A*

- Reuse state values from previous searches
cost of least-cost paths to $s_{\text {goal }}$ initially

| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | , | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 |  | 9 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |


| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 1 |  |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |
| 18 | $s_{\text {sarrt }}$ | 16 | 15 | 14 | 14 |  |


cost of least-cost paths to $s_{\text {goal }}$ after the door turns out to be closed

| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 |  | 9 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | $S_{\text {soal }}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

## Motivation for Incremental Version of A*

- Reuse state values from previous searches
cost of least-cost paths to $s_{\text {goal }}$ initially

| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 |  | 9 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | $S_{8}$ goal | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |



These costs are optimal g-values if search is done backwards

How to reuse these g-values from one search to cost of least-cost paths to $S_{\text {goal }} \quad$ another? - incremental A*
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 14 & 13 & 12 & 11 & 10 & 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 0 & \mathrm{u}\end{array}\right)$

## Motivation for Incremental Version of A*

- Reuse state values from previous searches
cost of least-cost paths to $s_{\text {goal }}$ initially

| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 |  | 9 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | $S_{\text {Soal }}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 |  | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 14 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 0 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 1 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 7 | 6 | 6 |  |  | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |

L18 $\mathrm{s}_{\text {sarti }} 16,15,14 \left\lvert\, \frac{13}{14}-7\right.$ Would \# of changed g-values be cost of least-cost paths to $s_{g .}$. very different for forward $A^{*}$ ?

| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 0 | $\breve{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 |  | 9 |  | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | $S_{\text {soal }}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

## Motivation for Incremental Version of A*

- Reuse state values from previous searches
cost of least-cost paths to $s_{\text {goal }}$ initially
 cost of least-cost paths to $\mathrm{o}_{g .}$. deviates off its path?



## Incremental Version of A*

## - Reuse state values from previous searches

initial search by backwards $A^{*}$

initial search by $D^{*}$ Lite

second search by $D^{*}$ Lite

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Anytime Aspects



## Anytime Aspects



## Searching the Graph

- Incremental behavior of Anytime D*:

initial path

a path after re-planning


## Searching the Graph

- Performance of Anytime D* depends strongly on heuristics $h(s)$ : estimates of cost-to-goal
should be consistent and admissible (never overestimate cost-to-goal)



## Searching the Graph

- In our planner: $h(s)=\max \left(h_{\text {mech }}(s), h_{\text {env }}(s)\right)$, where
- $h_{\text {mech }}(s)$ - mechanism-constrained heuristic
- $h_{\text {env }}(s)$ - environment-constrained heuristic

$h_{\text {env }}(s)$ - considers only environment constraints and ignores dynamics



## Searching the Graph

- In our planner: $h(s)=\max \left(h_{\text {mech }}(s), h_{\text {env }}(s)\right)$, where
- $h_{\text {mech }}(s)$ - mechanism-constrained heuristic
- $h_{\text {env }}(s)$ - environment-constrained heuristic
$h_{\text {mech }}(s)$ - considers only dynamics constraints and ignores environment
pre-computed as a table lookup for high-res. lattice

$h_{\text {env }}(s)$ - considers only environment constraints and ignores dynamics
computed online by running a $2 D A^{*}$ with late termination


## Heuristics



| heuristic | states <br> expanded | time <br> (secs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h$ | 2,019 | 0.06 |
| $h_{2 D}$ | 26,108 | 1.30 |
| $h_{f s h}$ | 124,794 | 3.49 |

## Example, again



Urban Challenge Race, CMU team, planning with Anytime D*

# Trajectory Pre-Computation and <br> Optimization 



Pre-compute parameters for set of end points


Optimize (fine-tune) parameters initialized via interpolation

Predicting and Avoiding Other Vehicles


## Passing and Cost



## Summary

- Deterministic planning
- constructing a graph
- search with A*
- search with $\mathrm{D}^{*}$
think twice before trying to use it in real-time
- Planning under uncertainty
-Markov Decision Processes (MDP)
-Partially Observable Decision Processes (POMDP)
think three or four times before trying to use it in real-time

Many useful approximate solvers for MDP/POMDP exist!!

