DigitalRightsManagement/ DMCAAnti-Circumvention

Edw ard W . Felten Dept. of Computer Science Princeton University

DigitalRightsM anagem ent

- DRM technology tries to enforce limits on when and how digital contentmay be used.
- Limitsmay be based on copyright law, but need not be.
- Not just another security mechanism . DRM is different, treating the device's owner as adversary.
- D ifferent DRM strategies raise different policy issues.

Rationales for DRM

- Enforce com pliance with copyright law s.
 - problem : copyright law can't be autom ated (no "judge on a chip"), so can only hope to enforce a very rough approximation to it.
- Enable new business models for publishers. - pay-per-use
 - sophisticated pricing /price discrim ination

DRM , FairUse and Privacy

- Copyright offers exception for fairuse. - depends on nature of use, and circum stances
- D ifficult for DRM to handle: loopholes end up too broad, or too namow, or (usually) both.
- Even approxim ating the right result requires gathering inform ation about the user, the circum stances, etc.
 - m ightneed to collate and audit as a sanity check

Strategy 1: Tag and Track

• Approach:

- putunique serial num ber on each copy
- record each buyer's identity
- find unauthorized duplicates
- check serial num beron duplicate, blam e original buyer
- Can lim it copying and dissem ination, but not use
- Enforcem entraises serious privacy issues
 - M ustauthenticate each buyer's identity
 - Mustkeep track of who has each copy
 - Mustmonitordissemination of copies
- This strategy is falling out of favor.

Strategy 2: Containment

• Approach:

- distribute content in a virtual lockbox
- only authorized player devices can unlock it.
- authonized player devices enforce lim its on use
- Typical in plem entation :
 - distribute content in encrypted form
 - authorized player know s decryption key
- Can enforce any limits on usage

W ill Containment W ork?

- Threatm odel: userw ill "rip" a copy of the content and put on peer-to-peer system
- "B reak once, infringe anyw here"
- M oderately skilled user, with m oderate effort, can defeat D R M .
- DRM will not prevent infringem ent.

DM CA Overview

- Digital Millennium Copyright Act of 1998
- Many provisions
- Focus here on Section 1201
- Rationale for 1201:DRM technology can be circum vented; so make circum vention, and circum vention tools, illegal

Ban on Acts

17 U S.C. 1201 (a) (1) (A): "No person shall circum vent a technological measure that effectively controls access to a [copyrighted work]."

C incum vent: "to descram ble a scram bled w ork, to decryptan encrypted w ork, or otherw ise to avoid, bypass, rem ove, deactivate, or im pair a technological measure, w ithout the authority of the copyright ow ner"

Ban on Acts

17 U S.C. 1201 (a) (1) (A): "No person shall circum vent a technological measure that effectively controls access to a [copyrighted work]."

" [A] technological measure `effectively controls access to a work' if the measure, in the ordinary course of its operation, requires the application of information, or a process or a treatment, with the authority of the copyright owner, to gain access to the work."

Ban on Tools

17 U S C .1201 (a) (2): "N o person shallm anufacture, in port, offer to the public, provide, or otherw ise traffic in any technology, product, service, device, com ponent, or part thereof, that-

is prin anly designed orproduced for the purpose of circum venting a technological measure that effectively controls access to a work protected under this title; has only limited commercially significant purpose or use other than to circum vent...; or

is m arketed by thatperson or another acting in concert with that person with that person's know ledge for use in circum venting...

Ban on Tools

S in ilarban on tools that effectively control copying, or other exclusive rights of the copyright holder.

Scope of DM CA vs. Copyright

- DM CA applies to <u>access</u> controls and to <u>copy</u> controls.
- Copyright law controls copyring but not access.
- DM CA applies to acts of <u>circum vention</u>, even when no <u>infringem ent</u> occurs.

ProminentDM CA Suits

- Corley (DeCSS software for decrypting DVDs)
- Sklyarov / Elcom soft (crim inal case; A dobe e-book reader)
- Felten (digital watermarking research)
- Cham berlain v. Skylink (garage door openers), Lexm ark v. Static Control (printer cartridges)

Questions /Discussion