# Visual Classification 2

#### **CSE P576**

Dr. Matthew Brown

# Visual Classification 2

- Fundamentals and Pre-Deep Learning
- Bayesian classifiers, Gaussian distributions, PCA, LDA
- Decision Forests, Visual words, SVMs

### Nearest Mean Classification

• How about a single template per class



### Nearest Mean Classification

• Find nearest mean and assign class

$$c_q = \arg\min_i |\mathbf{x}_q - \mathbf{m}_i|^2$$

• CIFAR 10 class means



• Can we do better?



#### Nearest Mean Classifier

 Suppose we have 2 classes of 2-dimensional data that are not linearly separable



- A simple approach could be to assign to the class of the nearest mean
- Can we do better if we know about the data distribution?

# Bayesian Classificaion

 A probabilistic view of classification models the likelihood of observing the data given a class/parameters



e.g., we might assume that the distribution of data given the class is Gaussian

### Multi-dimensional Gaussian

• The Gaussian probability density is given by

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{|2\pi\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})}$$



• These estimates maximise the probability of the data x given parameters m,  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ 

### 2-Class Gaussian Classifier

- Simple classification rule: choose class #1 if  $p(\mathbf{x}|c_1) > p(\mathbf{x}|c_2)$
- taking -2 x ln of both sides (reverses sign)  $-2\ln p(\mathbf{x}|c_1) < -2\ln p(\mathbf{x}|c_2)$
- negative log of Gaussian density  $-2 \ln p(\mathbf{x}) = -2 \ln \frac{1}{|2\pi \Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})$   $= \ln(2\pi^d) + \ln |\Sigma| + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}^T) \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})$
- decision rule becomes (class #1 if...)  $\ln \Sigma_1 + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_1)^T \Sigma_1^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_1) < \ln \Sigma_2 + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_2)^T \Sigma_2^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_2)$

### 2-Class Gaussian Classifier

 Suppose we've modelled our 2 classes with Gaussian distributions



- $p(\mathbf{x}|c_1) = N(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{m}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)$  $p(\mathbf{x}|c_2) = N(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{m}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2)$
- Our decision rule, class #1 if  $p(\mathbf{x}|c_1) > p(\mathbf{x}|c_2)$

is called a maximum likelihood classifier

# Incorporating Prior Knowledge

- What if red is more common than blue?
- Weight each likelihood by prior probabilities  $p(c_1), p(c_2)$
- Decision rule (MAP classifier) choose class #1 if:

 $p(\mathbf{x}|c_1)p(c_1) > p(\mathbf{x}|c_2)p(c_2)$ 



# Principal Components



• We can visualise the major modes of variation in data by looking at the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix

$$\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}^T$$

• The eigenvectors  $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{u}_2...]$  are directions of max variance, they are mutually orthogonal

# Principal Components



 e.g., the principal components (covariance eigenvectors) of a set of faces can be visualised as images [Moghaddam et al 2000]



# **Discriminative Projection**

- PCA directions are not generally discriminative
- Intuitively, we'd like to project to a direction that separates the classes without too much overlap



#### Fisher's Linear Discriminant



- Maximise the ratio of between class variance to within class variance, in the projected direction u
- Can be generalised to multi-dimensions, e.g.,  $J(\mathbf{U}) = \frac{|\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{U}|}{|\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{U}|}$ An example of Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)

# PCA vs LDA

- PCA : maximise projected variance
- LDA : maximise between class, minimise within class variance



### **Decision Forests**

• A decision tree organises a hierarchical set of feature splits



Nodes in the tree split the data based on parametrized, typically simple features (weak learners):

$$h(\theta, \tau) = [\tau_1 < \theta^T[\mathbf{x}, 1] < \tau_2]$$

 $h(\theta,\tau)$  = binary split function

x = input data

 $\theta, \tau$  = trainable parameters

[Criminisi et al 2011] 16

# Classification Tree Training

• To train a tree for classification, parameters for the split nodes are optimised based on an information gain criterion, e.g.,



Leaves store a probability distribution over class c

17

# **Classification Forest**

- A set of trees (forest) is trained with different random features
- At test time the query v is put through all trees and the class probability distributions at the leaves are averaged:



### **Classification Forests**

 By ensembling a large collection of weak features we can model complex decision boundaries, e.g., 400 trees



depth = 5

depth = 13

# Application: Body Pose Estimation

 Classification Forests have been used for body pose estimation using the Kinect depth scanner



- Features (weak learners) are simple depth differences, parametrized by an offset and threshold  $\theta_j = (\mathbf{r}_j, \tau_j)$
- The model was trained using a large dataset of CG generated human poses
- At test time, every pixel is classified into 1 of 31 body parts

# **Recognition using Local Features**

 Feature-based object instance recognition is similar to image registration (2D) or camera pose estimation (3D):



- Detect Local Features (e.g., SIFT) in all images
  Match Features using Nearest Neighbours
  Find geometrically consistent matches using RANSAC (with Affine/Homography or Fundamental matrix)
- The final stage is to verify the match, e.g., require that # consistent matches > threshold

# Scaling Local Feature Recognition

- To avoid performing all pairwise comparisons O(n<sup>2</sup>):
- Match query descriptors to entire database using k-d tree
- Select subset with max # raw matches and check geometry



# **Application: Location Recognition**

• Find photo in streetside imagery



#### [Schindler Brown Szeliski 2007]



#### [ Philbin et al 2007 ] <sup>23</sup>

# Local Feature Recognition Failures

 Features + RANSAC fails with large appearance variation, e.g., most object categories and some instance problems





#### Few correct matches

# Local Feature Recognition Failures

 Features + RANSAC fails with large appearance variation, e.g., most object categories and some instance problems



No correct matches

# Visual Words

- The amorphous appearance of visual categories can be modelled using regions of feature space
- A common method is to quantise feature descriptors to a codebook of "visual words" using k-means clustering



# Visual Word Histogram + SVM



- A popular category recognition method was to use histograms of visual word frequencies to represent each image
- Given a labelled image dataset, a Support Vector Machine (SVM) could be trained to perform image classification, with per-image visual word histograms as input
- Variants on this theme were state-of-the-art for image classification up to around 2011 (deep learning + AlexNet)

# Support Vector Machines

• Which decision boundary is best?





# Max-Margin Classifier

Separation between classes is called the margin



• Distance from boundary  $d_i = y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0)$ 

Note that d<sub>i</sub> could be arbitrarily large for large w

Maximise the minimum distance for fixed |w|

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \arg\max_{\mathbf{w}} \min_{i} y_i (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) \quad s.t. \ |\mathbf{w}|^2 = 1$$

(quadaratic programming)

[Figure credits: G. Shakhnarovich ] 29

# Support Vectors

• The active constraints are due to the data that define the classification boundary, these are called **support vectors** 



Final classifier can be written in terms of the support vectors:

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{sign}\left(\hat{w}_0 + \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}\right)$$

# Non-Linear SVM

Replace inner product with kernel





- Data are (ideally) linearly separable in  $\phi(x)$
- But we don't need to know
  φ(x), we just specify k(x,y)
- Points with α>0 (circled) are support vectors
- Other data can be removed without affecting classifier

#### Bag of Words

 Images are repre (discarding spatia)

 There is some CNNs, e.g., pe features gives Brendel Bethge milar features small-receptive on image S



#### Alexnet

- Won the Imagenet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) in 2012 by a large margin
- Some ingredients: Deep neural net (Alexnet), Large dataset

#### MAGENET Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge



[J. Johnson] <sub>34</sub>

#### Next Lecture

• Neural Nets