

CSE588 – SP02

April 16, 2002 Notes for 1<sup>st</sup> half of class

Comments to [tim.watson@attws.com](mailto:tim.watson@attws.com)

Thought experiment: you own an ISP – what do you do?

Similar to UW network, corporate network.

What is your internal routing protocol?

- OSPF?

What if you had to create your own protocol?

Intradomain routing (assume 100 – 1000) nodes):

Note that we distinguish between routing (addressing) and forwarding (packet traffic)

Centralized Arbiter?

- Scaleability problems
  - Communication bottleneck?
    - OSPF utilizes same bandwidth (~1 packet / minute / node)
  - Computation bottleneck?  $n^2 \log n$  – not a big deal for proper hardware
- Single point of failure (fault tolerance)
  - Can address with redundant servers
- Simpler
- Up to date info?
  - Dynamic table maintenance is difficult:
    - Flooding results in  $n^3$  effort
    - Can be done, but the algorithms are tricky

Distributed Route Computation?

- **Distance Vector** (pairwise communication/propagation/augmentation)
  - Exchange tables / keep best alternative
- Why not Distance Vector?
  - What happens on a change?
    - The source of information gets lost
    - The source of change doesn't have any assurance that the change has been propagated

- Possible for deadlock to occur during propagation (poison reverse)
- Addressed by propagating full path, so that routers can debug path changes
  - Called **Path Vector**
  - Foundation of **BGP**
- Why does Distance (Path?) Vector do “periodic updates”?
  - pinging the network – “is my neighbor up?”
  - dynamic routing
  - discovery of changes
  - Soft State (auto-recovery) – note that BGP uses deltas to minimize data transfer
  - Self Correcting
- **Link State**: (send all info about neighbors)
  - Periodic update

Interesting Question: when you get an update, do you first update your tables – or do you notify your neighbors first?

- If you get a change, you don’t want your neighbor to update their tables before you update yours
  - Race conditions
  - Out of synchs
- Note that “old” routing table is used until table is updated (can’t stop routing!)
  - Time-to-live counter is available to keep errant packets from accidentally cycling forever

Interesting Question: how do we implement QoS over a dynamically changing network?

- With great difficulty! (but not impossible)
- Note that Telephone Network uses a separate “control” network, where the internet has only one network, that carries both data and control information
  - “One network is simpler” – simplified hardware requirements
  - “Two networks is simpler” – reliability simplified by separation of duties, but not overall

How do we choose a performance metric?

- Some combination of bandwidth and delay

- Queues
- Utilization

Cisco's default algorithm uses bandwidth only

NP-Complete problem to "calculate" weighting algorithms

- Option 1 is to make your best guess (or use reasonable algorithms to approximate an "optimal" solution – e.g. simulated annealing)
- Option 2 is to "overlay" circuits onto the network, then determine policies that parse traffic between the "logical" circuits (which controls the physical routes)
- Use linear programming algorithms (constraint-based) to assign routing "logic" to the various gates