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Conventional DRAM architectures have reached their practical upper limit in operating frequency and bus width. Mass-market CPUs operating at over 200 MHz and media processors executing more than 2 GOPs (gigaoperations per second) are now in production. Their external memory bandwidth of approximately 500 Mbytes/s cannot meet increasing application demands. In addition, no longer does just the CPU consume the majority of main memory bandwidth. A modern multimedia PC's graphics accelerator, media processor, and system I/O all consume significant memory bandwidth.

Efforts to extend conventional DRAMs have included scaling the SDRAM's memory clock from 66-MHz to 100-MHz operation. However this adaptation created numerous system design issues while offering only 33% additional peak bandwidth. This article explores the memory bandwidth scaling problem and then describes our solution, the Direct RDRAM device, which successfully meets multimedia requirements and fits seamlessly into the PC chassis.

Bandwidth scaling problem

A user's perception of interactivity and performance of a multimedia computer is largely determined by processing throughput. Fast processors are necessary, but memory bandwidth also plays a key, though often-overlooked, role.

Because current memory subsystems can only transfer data for one requester at a time, the length of time required to finish a transfer in progress adds to the latency of any pending requests. For a given bus width and clock frequency, the amount of time the bus is occupied depends on the transfer size and the memory bus bandwidth. Therefore, memory bandwidth directly affects memory system latency.

In multimedia computing, bandwidth-dependent latency is a dominant factor in the memory subsystem's performance. The focus of mass market computing on bandwidth-intensive multimedia-oriented applications further increases the memory bandwidth requirements. So, in next-generation multimedia PCs, memory bandwidth will largely determine a user's perception of interactivity and performance.

Traditional approaches to increasing memory bandwidth include speeding up the memory clock, increasing the bus width, or both. For conventional DRAMs, these approaches are reaching their practical limits.

Clock rate scaling. This approach is the most technically challenging. The legacy matrix interconnection topology of SDRAM-based systems simply does not lend itself to economic scaling beyond 100 MHz. Even the transition from 66-MHz to 100-MHz system operation is expected to be challenging due to stringent system timing requirements that dictate precise component and PCB modeling.

There are several classes of system nets in a conventional memory system. For example, an SDRAM-based system may have an address net, a clock net, a data net, a DQM net, and a control net (CS, WE, RAS, CAS) (Figure 1). Each of the nets has a different loading and settling time from the other nets. A key issue limiting memory bus frequency in these systems is the fact that the loading on these nets increases nonuniformly from net to net as memory modules are added to the system (Figure 2a).

Motherboards are designed to operate reliably at both minimum and maximum system memory capacities. The system timing depends on the signal loading, which, in turn, depends on the number and storage capacity of modules inserted. Since the delay of the various nets scales nonuniformly, the system's timing margin degrades.
Another frequency-limiting factor in SDRAM-based systems results from the fact that the SDRAM modules (DIMMs) are connected in parallel to the primary bus transmission lines routed on the motherboard. Because each DIMM signal either has a heavy capacitive load or long module routing trace (or both), each DIMM signal represents a significant stub load on the motherboard. These stubs cause troublesome signal reflections if left unterminated.

Systems that operate significantly faster than 66 MHz need faster DRAMs to deliver balanced performance. Often the DRAM modules must be buffered, either on the module or the motherboard. Though buffered modules reduce the dependence of the motherboard timing on the module loading, and reduce the effect of the stubs, they have a disadvantage. Buffered modules require additional components, PCB area, routing, and system power. They also add one or two clock cycles to every memory access, depending on the extent of the buffering.

Data transfer. A second approach to increasing memory bandwidth is transferring memory data on both clock edges without changing the properties of any other nets. Since the address net has the highest loading dependent delay, leaving that network unchanged simplifies the design task. Yet one of the critical problems is meeting the required setup and hold specifications for the data bus at each device. Changing to a rising- and falling-edge clocked data bus necessarily requires improved clock access time specifications.

Current SDRAMs require nearly a whole clock cycle to establish valid data on the output pins. For example, a SDRAM with a 10-ns cycle time has a worst-case output delay from the rising clock edge of 9 ns. To trigger the output buffer to drive data on both clock edges while maintaining a 10-ns minimum clock period, such SDRAMs must feature a reduced output delay of at least a factor of two.

Due to the difficulty in meeting bus-timing constraints, the maximum system clock frequency must be reduced from that of a single-edge clocked system to avoid violating critical timing specifications. Any clock rate reduction would therefore come at the expense of memory control bandwidth. In many cases, memory control bandwidth limits performance because each memory word may come from a nearby but different address such as in the nonsequential accesses characteristic of texture map rendering.

Increased bus width. A third scaling approach involves increasing the bus width to 128 bits. Although a simple idea electrically, it comes at the expense of doubling pins, memory, word width, I/O power, and memory granularity. Furthermore, doubling the bus width creates a host of mechanical and PCB layout problems.

Since a wide, high-speed bus can generate large transient currents in the driver elements, a significant number of ground and power pins are needed on the controller to support a large number of bus I/O pins. Because a 64-bit SDRAM or page-mode/EDO bus interface typically uses between 110 and 130 pins (counting power and ground pins), a 128-bit-wide bus will have significantly more than 200 pins.

Core logic chipsets used in PCs have ports for the CPU, the graphics, one or more system I/O buses, and a 64-bit memory interface. These core logic chips require as many as 472 pins today, so doubling the memory interface pins is not an attractive option. The extra pins take more silicon area, increase package cost, and increase on-chip supply noise. Wide buses also consume more power. For example, a 128-bit LVTTL bus operating at 100 MHz and driving a 5.5-V swing into an 80-pF load/pin consumes over 5.5 watts versus 2.75 watts for a 64-bit bus.

Increasing the bus width also increases the memory granularity. For a 128-bit bus using 64-Mbit devices (4Mx16), the minimum memory capacity is 64 Mbytes. If 8x devices are used, the granularity jumps to 128 Mbytes. When the 256-Mbit generation reaches cost parity with the 64-Mbit generation, the granularity issue will worsen by a factor of four. The granularity issue is particularly important in applications that require only a small amount of high-bandwidth memory such as in the nonsequential accesses characteristic of texture map rendering.

![Figure 1. Nets in a conventional DRAM system.](image)

![Figure 2. Signal loading versus memory capacity for SDRAM (a) and Direct RDRAM (b) systems.](image)
as 3D graphics and DVD playback.

Direct Rambus technology

Our solution, the Direct Rambus DRAM (RDRAM), takes another approach that provides 1.6-Gbytes/s bandwidth from a single DRAM. It nearly 95% efficiency when subjected to typical multimedia PC main memory workloads. Using a 16-bit data field and a separate 8-bit address and control field, a Direct RDRAM independently controls and schedules all row and column resources as well as I/O data. Direct RDRAMs, while using conventional PCB and connector technology, bring high speed and low-power operating modes to serve the needs of both line-operated and portable products.

Our technology uses a narrow bus topology operating at a high clock rate to solve the memory bandwidth problem. A Direct Rambus channel includes a controller and one or more Direct RDRAMs connected together via a common bus. The controller is located at one end, and the RDRAMs are distributed along the bus, which is parallel terminated at the far end (Figure 3). The signaling technology used for the high-speed channel signals is called Rambus signaling logic. Each RSL signal wire has equal loading and fan-out and is routed parallel to each other on the top trace of a PCB with a ground
structures. External signal voltage swings are typically equal to the power supply voltage, that is, 3.3 V.

Mbytes/s: Megabytes per second; a unit of information bandwidth equal to 1,048,576 bytes per second.

MOSFET: Metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor; type of insulated gate transistors used in the majority of semiconductor microprocessors and memory devices, as opposed to BJT (bipolar junction transistor), JFET (junction field-effect transistor), or MESFET (metal-epitaxy-semiconductor field-effect transistor).

Open-drain driver: Output driver stage consisting of at least one transistor connected between the power supply rail or ground and an output pin in which the transistor can only drive the pin to the same voltage rail to which the other node of the transistor is connected. Typically used in a wired AND configuration using multiple parallel connected drivers and an external resistor connected to a supply voltage. This way, any of the drivers may drive the bus low at any time without creating a fault condition that may arise if any two drivers attempt to drive the bus to opposite states at the same time.

Page mode: DRAM type that operates asynchronously and permits output buffer transitions into a high impedance state when CAS is negated.

Parallel termination: Method used to terminate a transmission line that uses a resistor with a value equal to the line's characteristic impedance. The resistor is connected between at least one end of the line and an fixed voltage supply such that direct current (DC) will flow in at least one logic state.

PCB: Printed circuit board.

PLL: Phase-locked loop, closed-loop feedback system in which a variable frequency oscillator is adjusted until its output frequency is locked to a separate reference frequency.

RSL: Rambus signaling logic, signaling technology used by Rambus DRAMs implemented with open-drain MOSFET pull-down drivers operated in the current mode. Uses resistive parallel termination to a termination supply voltage greater than or equal to the high signal level.

Series termination: Method used to terminate a transmission line that uses a resistor in series with the line driver such that during the switching transient, a voltage is developed across the line. Used as the sole termination technique and has no DC component.

Single-ended: Signals represented in one form only such as in true or in complement form; the opposite of differential.

SSTL: Sub series terminated logic, a variation of CITT that uses lumping resistors in series with all outputs to minimize the influence of the impedance of the nets connecting the bus drivers to the bus and to increase the output impedance of the drivers.

Totem pole driver: Output driver stage consisting of at least two transistors in series connected between the power supply voltage rail and ground. The intermediate node connects to an output pin such that one transistor drives the output pin high and the other drives it low.

plane located on the layer underneath.

The channel uses 18 data pins cycling at 800 Mbps per pin to provide a low-cost, high-bandwidth bus. The bus requires a total of 76 controller pins including all signals and power supply pins. The Direct Rambus controller interface cell (RAC) (Figure 4) provides 1,600 Mbytes/s of bandwidth and uses slightly more than half as many pins as the 64-bit SDRAM or DDR interface found on PC core logic chipsets today.

Because a single Direct RDRAM spans the entire width of the channel, the loading on each bus pin increases uniformly as memory is added (Figure 2b). This ensures a constant timing relationship between signal pins independent of the total loading. This key property of the Rambus channel permits much higher frequency operation than the matrix topology used by SDRAMs.

Because a full-bandwidth channel can be constructed using a single Direct RDRAM, the minimum memory granularity is a single chip. This characteristic is growing in importance as DRAMs progress to the 256-Mbit generation and beyond.

**Direct RDRAM architecture**

The Direct RDRAM has a pipelined microarchitecture (Figure 5, next page). Like its predecessors, it has a wide internal bus connected via a high-speed interface to a narrow external bus.

Figure 4. Direct Rambus controller interface cell (4 x 1.6-mm, 0.35-micron technology).

The narrow on-chip bus is serialized and deserialized to provide a 144-/128-bit data path into the core, which provides 16 bytes every 10 ns internally. The Rambus interface transforms the 10-nS internal bus into an external 1.25-nS bus that is 2 bytes wide to yield the 1,600-Mbyte/s bandwidth.

Because the core timing requirements are no more difficult than those used for a 100-MHz SDRAM, Direct RDRAMs leverage existing cores and process technology. As a result, Direct RDRAMs are compatible with the common semiconductor manufacturing technology to assure low cost.

The Direct Rambus channel includes an 18-bit-wide bidirectional data field and an 8-bit-wide field carrying commands and row and column addresses. Like its predecessors,
random column addresses can be supplied to the Direct RDRAMs while data is being transferred. However the Direct RDRAM protocol introduces direct control of all row and column resources concurrently with data transfer operations (Figure 6)—hence the name “Direct.”

Because the Direct Rambus protocol supports fully concurrent RAS and CAS operation in a pipelined microarchitecture that includes write buffering, each device can service up to four outstanding requests (Figure 7). Because of the streamlined microarchitecture, Direct RDRAMs avoid the empty time slots, or “bubbles,” that frequently occur in single-clocked SDRAM systems. Bubbles result from inadequate control bandwidth necessary to support page manipulation and scheduling while transferring data to and from random locations. Doubled data rate schemes only aggravate the bubble problem.

The Direct RDRAM's high control bandwidth permits optimized data scheduling to provide approximately 95% efficiency over a wide range of workloads.

Direct RDRAMs support explicit control of precharge and row-sensing operations as well as data scheduling during concurrent column operations. A Direct RDRAM can therefore perform row precharging and sensing operations concurrently with column operations to provide on-chip...
interleaving. Users can schedule the data resulting from the row operation to appear immediately after the column operation completes. This highly interleaved condition greatly improves the efficiency of the channel.

This interleaving can only happen when the requests target different banks in either the same Direct RDRAM or a different RDRAM on the channel. The more banks in a system, the better the chances are that any two requests are mapped to different banks. The more interleaving that is possible, the more the memory system performance improves.

The Direct RDRAM’s memory array is divided into banks. To permit core design optimization, the specifications left the number of banks and the page size to the individual DRAM manufacturer. However all 64-Mbit Direct RDRAMs in development have 16 banks with a page size of 1 Kbyte.

In typical system configurations RDRAMs provide more system memory banks than SDRAMs or other conventional DRAMs on a per-megabyte basis. In bandwidth-intensive applications, several conventional DRAMs are frequently ganged together in parallel to provide the necessary aggregate bandwidth. Despite the fact that each DRAM may have four banks, this parallel combination does not increase the number of system banks; instead it just adds to their size.

Because a Direct RDRAM spans the entire channel, the CPU accesses each RDRAM independently. So each RDRAM directly adds to the number of memory banks accessible to the memory controller.

With a minimum of eight banks per Direct RDRAM, a 32-Mbyte system has at least 32 system banks. An SDRAM system of the same capacity constructed from 4-bank, JEDEC-standard 64-Mbit SDRAMs has only four system banks (Figure 8).

If in any DRAM the bank to be precharged is the same as the one being accessed, a bank conflict condition occurs, and bank precharging must be deferred until the current access completes. This precharge deferral results in diminished system bandwidth. Since an RDRAM system has more banks per megabyte than an SDRAM or DDR system, RDRAM systems boast lower bank conflict rates.

Statistically, the more banks that are included in a system, the less the probability of a bank conflict. When a Direct RDRAM is transferring data, any of the banks not being accessed can be precharged concurrently with column operations to provide a hidden precharge.

**Error-correction support**

Direct RDRAMs come in both 16-bit- and 18-bit-wide versions. The 18-bit-wide device can support 16-bit ECC over a 128-bit word without increasing the number of memory devices. Besides ECC or parity applications, a 9-bit byte effectively supports graphics and video applications by providing more bandwidth (12.5% increase over an 8-bit byte). It also supports multiple occluded-windows, antialiasing, z-buffer extensions, and alpha blending.

**Backward compatibility**

The Direct RDRAM incorporates the same physical layer as its predecessors (Rambus Base and Concurrent DRAMs). The primary differences are the channel width, which is 18 bits instead of 9, and the address and control information, which is no longer multiplexed onto the data field. Despite
these differences, designers can develop a Direct Rambus system logic controller that connects directly to a single Direct Rambus channel or to a parallel pair of Rambus concurrent channels. By offering an upwardly compatible design philosophy, this provides system designers with flexibility.

**Rambus channel clocking**

Like its predecessors, the Direct Rambus Channel has two different clock signals, which are separated at the far end of the bus and connected together at the controller. One of the clock signals is driven from the far end, and the other one is transmitted at that end. This horseshoe arrangement means the clock passes through the array twice: once traveling toward the controller and once traveling away from the controller (Figure 5).

Each Direct RDRAM connects to both clocks and synchronizes its transfers to the clock traveling in the same direction as the information packet. Since each pin has the same loading, each signal wire has the same propagation velocity. This causes the phase relationship between the source clock and the packet to remain in lockstep as both propagate along the bus. Moreover, if additional memories are installed, this key property is maintained.

Designers can maintain precise control over clock-to-data delay and bus sample points by compensating the internal clock skew and duty cycle with delay locked loops. DLLs allow all bus transfers to operate so that they are synchronized to both edges of a 400-MHz clock. This provides an 800-MHz data rate per pin.

Each Direct RDRAM and controller contains two DLLs for locking the internal clocks to the external clocks. One samples the input receivers, and the other triggers the output drivers. DLLs permit the internal clocks to avoid delays relative to the external reference clock (see the clock skew box). DLLs also ensure that the internal clocks have a 50% duty cycle. These properties greatly enhance the RDRAM system's operating frequency.

The transmit DLL introduces a 90-degree phase shift between the external clock and the data output signal. As a result, the channel data is precisely centered about the transmit clock. Since the receiving chip accepts the bus transmit clock as the receiving clock, this centering simplifies the receiving device's sampling task. The inherently low clock jitter provided by the DLLs permits the system to operate reliably with a packet validity window of less than 300 ps for outputs and a sample of less than 200 ps for inputs.

**RSL bus drivers and switching transients**

Most bus drivers have either open-drain or totem pole output structures. Because a totem pole driver has at least two transistors connected to the output pin, it typically has higher pin capacitance than the single transistor of an open-drain driver. That increases bus loading, which increases system I/O power. RSL drivers are open-drain structures, while LVTTL, CTT, and SSTL are all totem pole structures.

Since totem pole drivers actively drive their output to either logic state, they dissipate power every time they switch. Open-drain structures actively drive the external signal in one direction only (usually low) and rely on external means to pull the bus high. So open-drain drivers only dissipate power in one of the two logic states. All else being equal, an open-drain driver has a 2:1 power advantage when compared to a totem pole driver.

Because an active RSL driver can only sink a current, the resulting bus voltage swing is a function of the driver current and termination resistance. Active output current regulation is incorporated into each RSL driver to assure that each
fer from sensitivity to temperature, power supply variations, and on-chip noise. They are classified as open-loop systems from a circuit topology perspective because there is no hardware feedback path used to compare the circuit's output with its input. A timing vernier's delay is usually set by a numerical value stored in a control register. The delay is therefore set manually, and it must be explicitly changed to account for drift of the circuits.

In applications such as automatic test equipment, the timing verniers are generally located within the test head. The test head environment is very stable from a temperature and power supply perspective. The result is that once calibrated, a timing vernier in an ATE environment is quite stable. However, it may take as long as a half hour or more for a tester to stabilize when it is first turned on.

Because the power dissipated by a DRAM is related to its activity, application software or Green PC functions can affect the operating temperature of a DRAM used in a computer system. Therefore, depending on dynamic behavior such as memory access patterns changing, a changing workload may require adjustment of the vernier. Each recalibration may take several hundred or several thousand nanoseconds and may result in poor performance of the vernier circuit in DRAM or memory-controller applications under real-world workloads such as 3D texture mapping or cycling into and out of power-saving standby modes.

In contrast, PLLs and DLLs are closed-loop circuits. PLLs and DLLs both feature a hardware feedback loop within the circuit that is used to continuously sample its output for comparison against its input. Therefore, these circuits automatically adjust their timing parameters on a cycle-by-cycle basis (Figure D). The result is that PLLs and DLLs exhibit far less sensitivity to thermal and operating voltage variations than do open-loop circuits such as timing verniers. This makes PLLs and DLLs more suitable for high-speed memory and controller applications.

DLLs and PLLs are currently used on today's highest bandwidth production microprocessors, DRAMs, and SRAMs to ensure system reliability at the highest operating frequencies.

Figure D: Open-loop (1) versus closed-loop (2) clock compensation.

device drives the bus to the same signal level.

Because the Direct Rambus channel operates in the current mode on a transmission line, the rate the driver is switched off determines the rise time of the bus. Applying the principle of superposition reveals that switching off the current mode driver is equivalent to sending an opposite polarity current wave back down the bus.

This reverse current wave cancels the DC already flowing in the line as the wave front propagates along the bus. Due to the finite impedance of the bus, the reverse current wave induces a voltage wave that adds to the voltage at each point during this transient. The more rapidly the current is switched off, the faster the rise time of the induced voltage step. Steady state is reached when the voltage wave reaches the termination resistor and the line is fully charged to the termination supply.
These switching characteristics permit the Direct Rambus channel to be terminated at only one end. The single-ended termination gives RSL a significant DC power advantage over double-ended terminated buses such as CITT or SSTL.

Finally, applying the principle of superposition reveals that the Direct Rambus channel is inherently immune to the potential inter-symbol interference resulting from the reflected waves that interact with subsequent incident ones.

**RSL I/O levels**

All high-speed Direct Rambus signals are single-ended except the clocks, which are differential. The high level for the bus is 1.8 V, and the low level is 1.0 V. A common bused reference voltage of 1.4 V feeds into all input receivers, which are differential amplifiers. Because it has fewer simultaneously switching signals, each Direct RDRAM or controller experiences less ground noise than other high-bandwidth offerings.

Since the channel can only be actively driven low, the RSL drivers do not induce large Vc noise transients such as seen with totem pole drivers. Additionally, the input receivers are more immune to noise than conventional input receivers due to both the relatively high bias point of the external bus and the high common mode rejection inherent in well-designed differential amplifiers.

**Power modes**

Direct DRAMs include power management modes to address the needs of both the environmentally protective Green PC and the portable computing market. A low-latency transition from the low-power standby state to the active condition assures high system performance when using power-saving modes. The result is the Direct RDRAM is well suited for both portable and line-powered green applications.

**Memory expansion**

Systems based on Direct DRAMs can be readily upgraded via the use of memory modules. Bearing a strong appearance to traditional DIMMs, Direct Rambus DRAM modules (RMMs) fit into sockets similar to standard DIMMs. Therefore, they fit within the standard mechanical and thermal envelope of all modern industry-standard PC chassis configurations.

Despite their similar appearance to DIMMs, RMMs are fundamentally different. Instead of being connected in parallel, RMMs are connected in series when installed in a system. Because each Direct RDRAM uses only 30 high-speed signals and is connected in a bused topology, both ends of a Direct Rambus channel segment can be routed to a single edge of the RIMM while maintaining matched signal electrical lengths. Despite this unusual physical configuration, a RIMM fits completely within the footprint of the 168-pin DIMM in common use today (Figure 10) using standard connector technology. Yet it operates at 800-MHz data rates (Figure 11).

Because RIMMs are connected in series, the primary current-carrying conductors are routed on the RIMMs, not on the motherboards. This reduces the signal stub length to essentially the same length as the Direct RDRAM package's signal lead. In effect, an RDRAM mounted on a RIMM behaves as if it were soldered directly to the motherboard instead of being
connected via long traces through a DIMM socket.
This key difference virtually eliminates signal integrity concerns associated with DIMMs because there are no long stubs on a RIMM. (As mentioned earlier, the long stubs of DIMMs cause signal reflections if left unterminated.) The low inductance offered by modern low-profile socket technology makes the series connection of the RIMMs practical, even at their unprecedented data rates. RIMM sockets use all the manufacturing infrastructure of their DIMM cousins to assure low cost and plentiful supply.

**PCB physical design**

The physical design for any Rambus PCB is quite simple. The channel requires only two PCB conductor layers. All signal traces are located on the top layer. A ground plane located directly underneath forms what RF engineers call a microstrip. The mass-produced Nintendo 64 game console uses base RDRAMs on a two-layer motherboard PCB operating at 500 MHz.

The Rambus channel requires a uniform value of loaded impedance at any point along the channel. Because the pin capacitance of the RDRAM dominates the PCB impedance and propagation velocity, Rambus systems tolerate the customary high-volume PCB manufacturing tolerances of +/- 15%. PCB design therefore consists of following simple common-sense rules to determine PCB thickness and the trace widths, spacing, and thickness.

Direct RDRAMs are packaged in a low-cost chip-scale package called a micro ball grid array (µBGA). The inherently small size of the µBGA minimizes the materials required for its manufacture while providing outstanding electrical and thermal properties.

**Sourcing and manufacturing infrastructure**

Intel has selected the Direct Rambus technology to become its next PC main-memory standard and plans mass-market shipments in 1999. All of the world's top 13 DRAM suppliers are in active development of Direct RDRAMs. There also are four major ASIC suppliers producing Rambus ASIC technology. Joining these suppliers are a number of leading semiconductor peripheral component manufacturers developing Direct Rambus controllers.

Besides semiconductor suppliers, a host of memory module manufacturers, connector, clock chip, and test equipment manufacturers have rallied behind the Direct Rambus technology. As a group they will be supplying key manufacturing infrastructure components to assure rapid deployment of the technology and ease the integration of high-performance PCs and other products.


For background information on the Direct Rambus, visit our Web site at www.rambus.com. Among other things, it contains Allen Roberts' presentation at the October 1997 Microprocessor Forum in which he discussed the Direct RDRAM architecture.

**DIRECT RAMBUS TECHNOLOGY** offers significant performance and system integration advantages over older-generation EDO/SDRAM technology. In addition to performance gains, Direct Rambus technology offers longevity. It applies to a minimum of three generations (64 Mbit, 256 Mbit, and 1 Gbit). Furthermore, we plan to increase flexibility in the use of the technology with half generation devices (32 Mbit, 128 Mbit, and 512 Mbit). Each device is fully interchangeable using a common pinout. Besides density scaling, future plans include enhancing the operating frequency.

For background information on the Direct Rambus, visit our Web site at www.rambus.com. Among other things, it contains Allan Roberts' presentation at the October 1997 Microprocessor Forum in which he discussed the Direct RDRAM architecture.