# CSE544 <br> Data Management 

Lecture 8
Query Execution - Part 2

## Outline

- Steps involved in processing a query
- Main Memory Operators
- Query execution
- External Memory Operators


## Query Execution

Interpret RA

- Pros/cons?
- dominant 1980-2010 • Renewed interest
- Why?
- Pros/Cons?

Compile RA

- Why?


## Query Execution

Interpret RA

- Pros/cons?
- Portable, simple
- Slow
- dominant 1980-2010 • Renewed interest
- Why?

Compile RA

- Pros/Cons?
- Faster
- Architecture specific


## Query Execution

Interpret RA

- Pros/cons?
- Portable, simple
- Slow
- dominant 1980-2010 • Renewed interest
- Why?
- I/O cost dominates

Compile RA

- Pros/Cons?
- Faster
- Architecture specific
- Why?
- Large buffer pool


## Operator Interface

Volcano model:

- open(), next(), close()
- Pull model
- Volcano optimizer: G.
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- Supported by most
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## Operator Interface

Volcano model:

- open(), next(), close()
- Pull model
- Volcano optimizer: G. Graefe's (Wisconsin) $\rightarrow$ SQL Server
- Supported by most DBMS today
- Will discuss next

Data-driven model:

- open(),produce(), consume(),close()
- Push model
- Introduced by Thomas Neumann in Hyper (at TU Munich), later acquired by Tableau
- Reading for Wednesday


## Key Takeaway

- Compiled/interpreted \& Volcano/data-driven are somewhat independent dimensions
- We discuss the volcano/data-driven models
- Paper uses Futamura's project to explain the compiled code of each model
- Less important for databases, won't discuss much


## Recap: Volcano Model

## Each operator exports three methods:

- Open()
- Next()
- Close()

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) Supply(sid, pno, quantity)

## Recap: Hash Join

## Supply $\bowtie_{\text {sid=sid }}$ Supplier

Build phase

Probe phase

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) supply(sid, pno, quant $\sqrt{\prime} \neq \mid$ cano Model
(On the fly)
for $x$ in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find( y .sid); output(x,y);
(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}=$ 'Seattle' and sstate= 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)

## Supply <br> (File scan)



Supplier
(File scan)
(On the fly) open() $\Pi_{\text {sname }}$
for x in Supplier do
insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find( y. sid); output( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ );
(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}$ ‘seattle' and sstate= 'WA and pno=2
(Hash Join)
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## Supply <br> (File scan)

Supplier
(File scan)
(On the fly) open() $\Pi_{\text {sname }}$
for x in Supplier do
insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find ( $\mathrm{y} . \operatorname{sid}$ ); output( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ );
(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}$ 'seattle' open()
(Hash Join)


Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) supply(sid, pno, quant $\sqrt{\prime} \neq \mid$ cano Model
(On the fly)

## next() <br> $\Pi_{\text {sname }}$

for $x$ in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)<br>for y in Supply do $x=$ find $(y . s i d)$; output(x,y);

(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}=$ 'Seattle' and sstate= 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)
for $x$ in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find ( $\mathrm{y} . \mathrm{sid}$ ); output(x,y);
(On the fly)

(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}$ ‘'Seattle' and sstate $=$ 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)
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## Supply <br> (File scan)

for $x$ in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find ( $\mathrm{y} . \mathrm{sid}$ ); output(x,y);
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(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}$ ‘'Seattle' and sstate $=$ 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)

for $x$ in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find ( $\mathrm{y} . \mathrm{sid}$ ); output(x,y);
(On the fly)

$\pi_{\text {sname }}^{\text {next }()}$| next() |
| :---: |

(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}$ 'Seattle' and sstate= 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)


Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) supply(sid, pno, quant $\sqrt{\prime} \neq \mid$ cano Model
(On the fly)

## next() <br> $\Pi_{\text {sname }}$

for $x$ in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)<br>for y in Supply do $x=$ find $(y . s i d)$; output(x,y);

(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}=$ 'Seattle' and sstate= 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)

## Data-Driven Model

Each operator exports four methods:

- Open()
- Produce()

- Consume() called repeatedly by children
- Close()

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) supply(sid, pno, quantitD)
for x in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for $y$ in Supply do $x=$ find( y. sid); output(x,y);
(On the fly) produce() $\Pi_{\text {sname }}$
(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}=$ 'Seattle' and sstate= 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)


## Supply <br> (File scan)

Supplier
(File scan)

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) supply(sid, pno, quantit》) ata-Driven
for x in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find( $y$. sid); output( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ );
(On the fly) $\Pi_{\text {sname }}^{\text {produce() }} \begin{gathered}\text { produce() }\end{gathered}$
(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity= }}$ 'Seattle' and sstate= 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)


## Supply <br> (File scan)

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) supply(sid, pno, quantit》) ata-Driven
for x in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find $(y . \operatorname{sid})$; output( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ );
(On the fly)
produce()
(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}=$ 'Seattle' and sstate= 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)
$\substack{\text { produce( }) \\ \Pi_{\text {sname }} \\ \text { produce() }}$

## produce()
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Supply
(File scan)
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Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate)
supply(sid, pno, quantitD) ${ }^{\text {(ata-Driven }}$
for x in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find $(y . \operatorname{sid})$; output(x,y);
(On the fly)
produce()
(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}=$ 'Seattle' and sstate= 'WA' and pno=2
produce()
(Hash Join)
consume()

(File scan)

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate)
supply(sid, pno, quantitD) ${ }^{\text {(ata-Driven }}$
for x in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find $(y . s i d)$; output( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ );
(On the fly)

$\Pi_{\text {sname }}^{\text {produce() }}$| produce() |
| :--- |

(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }}=$ 'Seattle' and sstate= 'WA' and pno=2
(Hash Join)
produce()


## Supply <br> (File scan)
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## Supplier <br> (File scan)

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate)
supply(sid, pno, quantitワ) ata-Driven
for x in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
for y in Supply do $x=$ find $(y . \operatorname{sid})$; output( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ );
(On the fly)
produce() $\pi_{\text {sname }}$
produce()
(On the fly) $\sigma_{\text {scity }=\text { 'seatile' and state }}$ consume ( ${ }^{\text {stat }}$ and pno=2
produce()
(Hash Join)

produce(Surply
(File scan)

## Supplier <br> (File scan)

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate)
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## produce()
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## consume() <br> produce()

(Hash Join) consume ( ${ }_{\text {sid }}=$ sid

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate)
supply(sid, pno, quantit》) ata-Driven
for x in Supplier do insert(x.sid, x)
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consume()
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## produce()

(Hash Join) consume ( sid = sid

## Call-back

- For any non-commutative operator like hash-join, consume() must treat differently calls from left and right child
- Paper's solution: call-back function
[How to Architect a Query Compiler]

```
class HashJoin(left: Op, right: Op)
```

    (lkey: KeyFun) (rkey: KeyFun) extends Op \{
    val \(\mathrm{hm}=\) new HashMultiMap()
    var isLeft = true
    var parent = null
    def open() = \{ // Step 1
        left.parent = this; right.parent = this
        left.open; right.open
    \}
    def produce() = \{
        isLeft = true; left.produce() // Step 2
        isLeft = false; right.produce()// Step 4
    \}
    def consume(rec: Record) = \{
        if (isLeft) // Step 3
        hm += (lkey(rec), rec)
        else
                            // Step 5
            for (lr <- hm(rkey(rec))
                parent.consume(merge(lr, rec))
    \}
    \}
(a)
(b)

Figure 5: Hash join implementation in (a) Data-centric (b) Data-centric with callbacks model (LB2)
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    (lkey: KeyFun) (rkey: KeyFun) extends Op \{
    val \(\mathrm{hm}=\) new HashMultiMap()
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Figure 5: Hash join implementation in (a) Data-centric (b)
Data-centric with callbacks model (LB2)
[How to Architect a Query Compiler]

```
class HashJoin(left: Op, right: Op)
```

    (lkey: KeyFun) (rkey: KeyFun) extends Op \{
    val \(\mathrm{hm}=\) new HashMultiMap()
    var isLeft = true
    var parent = null
    def open() = \{ // Step 1
        left.parent = this; right.parent = this
        left.open; right.open
    \}
    def produce() = \{
        isLeft = true; left.produce() // Step 2
        isLeft = false; right.produce()// Step 4
    \}
    def consume(rec: Record) = \{
        if (isLeft) // Step 3
        hm += (lkey(rec), rec)
        else // Step 5
        for (lr <- hm(rkey(rec))
            parent.consume(merge(lr, rec))
    \}
    \}
(a)

```
class HashJoin(left: Op, right: Op)
```

    (lkey: KeyFun)(rkey: KeyFun) extends Op \{
    // refactored open, produce, consume
    // into single method exec
    def exec(cb: Record \(\Rightarrow\) Unit) \(=\) \{
        val \(\mathrm{hm}=\) new HashMultiMap()
        left.exec \{ rec => // Step 1
            \(\mathrm{hm}+=\) (lkey (rec), rec)
        \}
            right.exec \{ rec => // Step 2
            for (lr <- hm(rkey(rec))
                \(\mathrm{cb}(\) merge \((\mathrm{lr}, \mathrm{rec})\) )
    \}
    \}
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```
class HashJoin(left: Op, right: Op)
```

    (lkey: KeyFun) (rkey: KeyFun) extends Op \{
    val \(\mathrm{hm}=\) new HashMultiMap()
    var isLeft = true
    var parent = null
    def open() = \{ // Step 1
        left.parent = this; right.parent = this
        left.open; right.open
    \}
    def produce() = \{
        isLeft = true; left.produce() // Step 2
        isLeft = false; right.produce()// Step 4
    \}
    def consume(rec: Record) = \{
        if (isLeft) // Step 3
        hm += (lkey(rec), rec)
        else // Step 5
        for (lr <- hm(rkey(rec))
            parent.consume(merge(lr, rec))
    \}
    \}
(a)

```
class HashJoin(left: Op, right: Op)
```

    (lkey: KeyFun)(rkey: KeyFun) extends Op \{
    // refactored open, produce, consume
    // into single method exec
    def exec(cb: Record \(\Rightarrow\) Unit) \(=\) \{
        val \(\mathrm{hm}=\) new HashMultiMap()
        left.exec \{ rec => // Step 1
            \(\mathrm{hm}+=\) (lkey (rec), rec)
        \(\}\)
            right.exec \{ rec \(\Rightarrow\) // Step 2
            for (lr <- hm(rkey(rec))
                \(\mathrm{cb}(\) merge \((l \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{rec})\) )
    \}
    \}

## Final Thoughts

- Volcano model:
- next() returns single tuple - inefficient
- Vectorized model:
- next() returns a bundle, e.g. 1000 tuples
- Partial evaluation:
- specialize a function to some parameters
- Futamura projection:
- specialize an interpreter to a program


## Outline

- Steps involved in processing a query
- Main Memory Operators
- Query execution
- External Memory Operators


## External Memory Algorithms

- Selection and index-join
- Nested loop join
- Partitioned hash-join, a.k.a. grace join
- Merge-join


## Cost Parameters

- In database systems the data is on disk
- Parameters:
$-B(R)=\#$ of blocks (i.e., pages) for relation $R$
$-T(R)=\#$ of tuples in relation $R$
$-V(R, a)=\#$ of distinct values of attribute a
- M = \# pages available in main memory
- Cost = total number of I/Os
- Convention: writing the final result to disk is not included

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) Supply(sid, pno, quantity)

## Cost Parameters

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) Block size $=8 \mathrm{~KB}$

- B (Supplier) $=1,000,000$ blocks $=8 \mathrm{~GB}$
- T (Supplier) $=50,000,000$ records $\sim 50$ / block
- $V($ Supplier, sname) $=$
- $\mathrm{V}($ Supplier, scity $)=$
- V(Supplier, sstate) =

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) Supply(sid, pno, quantity)
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why?

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) Supply(sid, pno, quantity)

## Cost Parameters

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) Block size $=8 \mathrm{~KB}$

- B (Supplier) $=1,000,000$ blocks
= 8GB
- T (Supplier) $=50,000,000$ records
- $V($ Supplier, sid $)=50,000,000$
- $\mathrm{V}($ Supplier, sname $)=40,000,000$
~ 50 / block
why?
meaning?

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) Supply(sid, pno, quantity)
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- B (Supplier) $=1,000,000$ blocks
= 8GB
- T (Supplier) $=50,000,000$ records
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~ 50 / block
why?
meaning?
- $\mathrm{V}($ Supplier, scity $)=860$
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## Cost Parameters

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) Block size $=8 \mathrm{~KB}$

- B (Supplier) $=1,000,000$ blocks
= 8GB
- T (Supplier) $=50,000,000$ records
- $V($ Supplier, sid $)=50,000,000$
- $\mathrm{V}($ Supplier, sname $)=40,000,000$
~ 50 / block
why?
meaning?
- $\mathrm{V}($ Supplier, scity $)=860$
- $\mathrm{V}($ Supplier, sstate $)=50$
why?


## Cost Parameters

Supplier(sid, sname, scity, sstate) Block size $=8 \mathrm{~KB}$

- $B($ Supplier $)=1,000,000$ blocks
- $T$ (Supplier) $=50,000,000$ records
- $V($ Supplier, sid $)=50,000,000$
- $V($ Supplier, sname $)=40,000,000$
- $\mathrm{V}($ Supplier, scity $)=860$
- $\mathrm{V}($ Supplier, sstate $)=50$
- $M=10,000,000=80 G B$
= 8GB
~ 50 / block
why?
meaning?
why?
why so little?

SELECT *
FROM Supplier WHERE scity = 'Seattle’

## Selection

Selection on equality: $\quad \sigma_{a=v}(R)$
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{a})=$ \# of distinct values of attribute a
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Selection on equality: $\quad \sigma_{a=v}(R)$
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{a})=$ \# of distinct values of attribute a

- Sequential scan:
- 

$$
\text { cost }=B(R)
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- Index-based selection:
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- Values are uniformly distributed
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## SELECT *

FROM Supplier

## Selection

Selection on equality:

$$
\sigma_{a=v}(R)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(R)=2000 \\
& T(R)=100,000 \\
& V(R, a)=20
\end{aligned}
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## SELECT *

FROM Supplier

## Selection

Selection on equality: $\sigma_{a=v}(R)$
$B(R)=2000$
$T(R)=100,000$
$V(R, a)=20$
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{a})=$ \# of distinct values of attribute a

- Sequential scan:

$$
\operatorname{cost}=B(R)
$$

- Index-based selection:
- Unclustered index on a:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cost }=T(R) / V(R, a) \\
& \text { cost }=B(R) / V(R, a)
\end{aligned}
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- Clustered index on a:
- Assumptions:
- Values are uniformly distributed
- Ignore the cost of reading the index (why?)


## SELECT *

FROM Supplier

## Selection

Selection on equality: $\sigma_{a=v}(R)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{R})=2000 \\
& \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{R})=100,000 \\
& \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{a})=20
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{a})=$ \# of distinct values of attribute a

- Sequential scan:

$$
\operatorname{cost}=B(R)
$$

- Index-based selection:
- Unclustered index on a:
- Clustered index on a:
- Assumptions:

- Values are uniformly distributed
- Ignore the cost of reading the index (why?)


## The 2\% Rule

## Rule of thumb:

- If you read more than $2 \%$ of the data, then it's faster to do a full sequential scan than to use an unclustered index

Lesson: don't build unclustered indexes when $V(R, a)$ is small


Percentage tuples retrieved


Percentage tuples retrieved


Percentage tuples retrieved


Percentage tuples retrieved

## Index Nested Loop Join

$R \bowtie S$

- Assume $S$ has index on join attribute
- Iterate over R, probe each tuple in $S$
- Cost:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { - Clustered: } & B(R)+T(R) B(S) / V(S, a) \\ \text { - Unclustered: } & B(R)+T(R) T(S) / V(S, a)\end{array}$


## External Memory Algorithms

- Selection and index-join
- Nested loop join
- Partitioned hash-join, a.k.a. grace join
- Merge-join


## Nested Loop Joins

$R \bowtie S$

- Naïve nested loop join: $\quad T(R)+T(R) * B(S)$
- WHY?
- Switch order: $B(S)+B(R)$ * $T(S)$
- We can be much cleverer by using the available main memory: M


## Block Nested Loop Join

- Group of ( $\mathrm{M}-2$ ) pages of $S$ is called a "block" for each (M-2) pages ps of $S$ do for each page pr of R do
for each tuple s in ps
for each tuple $r$ in pr do
if $r$ and $s$ join then output $(r, s)$


## Block Nested Loop Join

- Group of (M-2) pages of $S$ is called a "block" for each (M-2) pages ps of $S$ do for each page pr of R do Main memory
hash-join
$(\mathrm{M}-1) \mathrm{ps} \bowtie \mathrm{pr}$ for each tuple s in ps for each tuple $r$ in pr do if $r$ and $s$ join then output( $r, s$ )
$B(S)+B(S) B(R) /(M-2) \quad$ disk I/Os. WHY?


## Block Nested Loop Join


$B(S)+B(S) B(R) /(M-2)$ disk $/ / O s$.

## External Memory Algorithms

- Selection and index-join
- Nested loop join
- Partitioned hash-join, a.k.a. grace join
- Merge-join


## Partitioned Hash-Join a.k.a. Grace Join

- $R \bowtie S$, both bigger than main memory
- Step 1:
- Hash partition both $R$ and $S$
- Store buckets on disk
- Step 2:
- Read one S-bucket in main memory
- Join with corresponding R-bucket
- Repeat for all buckets


## Step 1: Hash-partition

- Partition R into buckets, on disk
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## Step 1: Hash-partition

- Partition R into buckets, on disk
- Partition S


## Relations
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- Read one S-backed; hash-partition it using h2 ( $\neq \mathrm{h}$ )
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## Step 2: Join Buckets

## $R \bowtie S$

- Read one S-backed; hash-partition it using h2 ( $\neq \mathrm{h}$ )
- Scan corresponding R bucket and join Buckets


One entire S-bucket fits in $M$ if $B(S) / M \leq M$, or $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{S}) \leq \mathrm{M}^{2}$. WHY?

## Step 2: Join Buckets

## $R \bowtie S$

- Read one S-backed; hash-partition it using h2 ( $\neq \mathrm{h}$ )
- Scan corresponding R bucket and join Buckets


One entire S-bucket fits in $M$ if $B(S) / M \leq M$, or $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{S}) \leq \mathrm{M}^{2}$. WHY?

## Partitioned Hash Join

- Cost: 3B(R) + 3B(S)
- Assumption: $\min (B(R), B(S)) \leq M^{2}$


## Hybrid Hash Join Algorithm

- Assume we have extra memory available
- Partition S into k buckets
$t$ buckets $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{t}$ stay in memory
k-t buckets $S_{t+1}, \ldots, S_{k}$ to disk
- Partition R into k buckets
- First t buckets join immediately with S
- Rest k-t buckets go to disk
- Finally, join k-t pairs of buckets:

$$
\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}+1}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{t}+1}\right),\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}+2}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{t}+2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)
$$

## Hybrid Hash Join Algorithm

How to choose k and t ?

- The first t buckets must fin in $M$ : $t / k * B(S) \leq M$
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## Hybrid Hash Join Algorithm

How to choose k and t ?

- The first t buckets must fin in $M$ : $\quad t / k * B(S) \leq M$
- Need room for k-t additional pages: k-t $\leq \mathrm{M}$
- Thus:
$t / k$ * $B(S)+k-t \leq M$

Assuming t/k * $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{S}) \gg \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{t}$ :
$t / k=M / B(S)$

## Hybrid Hash Join Algorithm

- How many I/Os?
- Cost of partitioned hash join: $3 B(R)+3 B(S)$
- Hybrid join saves $2 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{Os}$ for a $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{k}$ fraction of buckets
- Hybrid join saves $2 t / k(B(R)+B(S)) \quad I / O s$

Cost: $(3-2 t / k)(B(R)+B(S))=(3-2 M / B(S))(B(R)+B(S))$

## External Memory Algorithms

- Selection and index-join
- Nested loop join
- Partitioned hash-join, a.k.a. grace join
- Merge-join


## Merge-Sort

- Problem: Sort a file of size B with memory M
- Will discuss only 2-pass sorting, for when $\mathrm{B} \leq \mathrm{M}^{2}$


## Merge-Sort: Step 1

- Phase one: load M pages in memory, sort



## Merge-Sort: Step 2

- Merge $\mathrm{M}-1$ runs into a new run
- Result: runs of length $M(M-1) \approx M^{2}$


Assuming $\mathrm{B} \leq \mathrm{M}^{2}$, we are done

## Merge-Sort

- Cost:
- Read+write+read $=3 B(R)$
- Assumption: $B(R)<=M^{2}$
- Other considerations
- In general, a lot of optimizations are possible


## Summary

- Three EM join algorithms:
- Nested loop join
- Hash-partitioned aka Grace Join
- Merge join
- Easy adaptation to other operators:
- Group-by, union, difference
- 2 pass can be extended to N pass

