

CSEP 521: Applied Algorithms Lecture 12 - Stream Algorithms: Frequency Estimates

Richard Anderson
February 11, 2021



Announcements

-

Frequency Moments

- Compute the sum of powers of frequency of elements
- Higher moments put more emphasis on most frequent items

F_0, F_1

- $F_0 = \sum f_i^0$
 - We will define $0^0 = 0$ here
 - $f_i^0 = 1$ if $f_i > 0$
 - Hence, F_0 is just the number of items. See lecture 11
- $F_1 = \sum f_i^1 = N$

$$F_2 = \sum f_i^2$$

- A, B, B, A, C, D, A, D, B, A, A, D
- $f_A = 5$
- $f_B = 3$
- $f_C = 1$
- $f_D = 3$
- $F_2 = 5^2 + 3^2 + 1^2 + 3^2 = 25 + 9 + 1 + 9 = 44$

Variance

- $\text{Var}(X) = E[(X-\mu)^2] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$
- Measure of the skew of the distribution

Join estimation

- F_2 gives the number of pairs in the self join of R and R
- Also applies to the number of pairs in a join of R and S

Basic Algorithm – Tug-of-war algorithm

- Choose a random hash function $h: U \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$

```

Y = 0;
foreach x in stream
  Y += h(x);
return Y2;

```

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^M f_j h(j)$$

Hash function assumption
Pairwise independence

- Assumptions on the hash function
 - $\text{Prob}[h(x) = -1] = 0.5$
 - $\text{Prob}[h(x) = 1] = 0.5$
- Hash values are pairwise independent
 - $\text{Prob}[h(x) = h(y)] = 1/m$
 - Knowing the value of $h(x)$ tells you nothing about the value of $h(y)$
 - Independent random variables

Tug-of-war algorithm is a good estimator of F_2

- Result – Expected value of Y^2 is F_2

Analysis

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^M f_j h(j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{E}(Y^2) &= \mathbf{E}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^M f_j h(j)\right)^2\right) \\
 &= \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^M f_i h(i) f_j h(j)\right) \\
 &= \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^M f_j^2 h(j)^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} f_i h(i) f_j h(j)\right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^M f_j^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} f_i f_j \mathbf{E}(h(i)h(j))
 \end{aligned}$$

If X and Y are independent Random Variables,
 $\mathbf{E}(XY) = \mathbf{E}(X)\mathbf{E}(Y)$

$$\text{For } i \neq j, \quad \text{Prob}[h(i) = h(j)] = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\mathbf{E}(h(i)h(j)) = \mathbf{E}(h(i))\mathbf{E}(h(j)) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$$

Improving the algorithm

- Space requirement is just one register
- Improve performance by using more space
- Compute multiple estimates using independent hash functions
 - This is where generating multiple hash functions is important
- Two different ways of combining estimates
 - $E = (1/k)(E_1 + E_2 + \dots + E_k)$
 - $E' = \text{Median}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k)$
- These two methods are combined to get the AKS algorithm

Overall result

- Efficient approximation for F_2
 - $(1 + \epsilon)$ approximation with probability at least $(1 - \delta)$
 - Space requirement $O((1/\epsilon^2) \log(1/\delta)(\log M + \log N))$
- Extend to higher moments

Deeper analysis, compute $\text{Var}(Y^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(Y^4) &= \mathbf{E}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^M f_j h(j)\right)^4\right) \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{\ell=1}^M f_i f_j f_k f_\ell h(i)h(j)h(k)h(\ell)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^M \sum_{\ell=1}^M f_i f_j f_k f_\ell \mathbf{E}(h(i)h(j)h(k)h(\ell)) \end{aligned}$$

Need four-wise independence to simplify expectation expression

Universal Family of Hash Functions

- Really good practical hash functions exist
 - Fast and good distribution of keys
 - Cryptographic hash functions are difficult to invert and more work
- Choose a random hash function
 - Set of hash functions $H: U \rightarrow [1..m]$
- Universal property
 - For all x, y in U , with $x \neq y$, if h is chosen at random from H

$$\text{Prob}[h(x) = h(y)] \leq \frac{1}{m}$$
- This is a minimal property for good hash functions
- Practical universality families exist, so mathematically sound algorithms could be implemented

Carter-Wegman hash functions

- Hashing from $[0..p-1]$ to $[0..p-1]$
- p is a moderate sized prime ($p \geq 2^{32}$)
- $h_{ab}(x) = (ax + b) \bmod p$ where $0 \leq a < p$ and $0 \leq b < p$
- If a and b are chosen at random, $x \neq y$, then $\text{Prob}[h_{ab}(x) = h_{ab}(y)] = \frac{1}{p}$
- $h_{ab}(x)$ and $h_{ab}(y)$ are independent

Pairwise independence

- Suppose $x \neq y$, and $0 \leq x, y \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq u, v \leq p-1$
- The equations (with unknowns a and b) have a unique solution
 - $(ax + b) \bmod p = u$
 - $(ay + b) \bmod p = v$
- Hence $\text{Prob}[h(x) = u \text{ and } h(y) = v] = 1/p^2$ proving independence

k-wise independence

- Hash functions such that $h(x_1), h(x_2), \dots, h(x_k)$ are probabilistically independent
- Important mathematical tool to prove rigorous bounds
- Parameterized hash functions to allow random generation
- In practice, other hash functions may be used which have a seed that can be set

Generalized Carter-Wegman hash functions 4-wise independence

- Hashing from $[0..p-1]$ to $[0..p-1]$
- p is a moderate sized prime ($p \equiv 2^{32}$)
- $h_{abcd}(x) = (ax^2 + bx^2 + cx + d) \bmod p$ where $0 \leq a, b, c, d \leq p-1$
- Proof of independence is similar to the 2-wise case.
- Show $h_{abcd}(w) = q, h_{abcd}(x) = r, h_{abcd}(y) = s, h_{abcd}(z) = t$ has a unique solution for a, b, c, d over $[0..p-1]$