CSEP505: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Haskell Typeclasses and Monads;

> Dan Grossman Autumn 2016

Acknowledgments

- Slide content liberally appropriated with permission from Kathleen Fisher, Tufts University
- She in turn acknowledges Simon Peyton Jones, Microsoft Research, Cambridge "for some of these slides"
- And then I probably introduced errors and weaknesses as I changed them [and added the material on the Monad type-class and wrote the accompanying code file]...
- Also note: This lecture relies heavily on lec9.hs
- · Then onto OOP as a separate topic (acks not applicable)

Lecture 9 CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

2

Generics vs. Overloading [again]

- · Parametric polymorphism:
 - Single algorithm may be given many types
 - Type variable may be replaced by any type
 - If f::t->t then f::Int->Int, f::Bool->Bool, ...
- Overloading
 - Single symbol may refer to more than one algorithm
 - Each algorithm may have different type
 - Choice of algorithm determined by type context
 - + has types Int->Int->Int and Float->Float->Float, but not t->t->t for arbitrary t

Lecture 9

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

Why overloading?

Many useful functions are not parametric

Can member work for any list type?

member :: [a] -> a -> Bool

No! Only for types a for that support equality

Can sort work for any list type?

sort :: [a] -> [a]

No! Only for types a that support ordering

• Can serialize work for any type?

serialize :: a -> String

No! Only for types a that support ordering

Lecture 9 CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

How you do this in OCaml/SML

The general always-works approach is have callers pass function(s) to perform the operations:

Works fine but:

- A pain to thread the function(s) everywhere
- End up wanting a record of functions, a "dictionary"
- Now have to thread right dictionaries to right places
- Types get a little messier?

See code Part 1

- Part 1 of lec9.hs does "explicit dictionary passing"
 - Works fine in Haskell and would work fine in OCaml too
 - Lets us use write "generic" algorithms provided caller gives a dictionary (e.g., double or sumOfSquares)
 - Can even use dictionaries to build other dictionaries (e.g., complexDictMaker)
 - Funny dictionaries can produce funny results (e.g., fortyTwo)

Lecture 9 CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman 5 Lecture 9 CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

Enter Type Classes

Type-classes are built-in support for implicit dictionary-passing

- · Concise types to describe [records of] overloaded functions
- Sophisticated standard library of type classes for [all the] common purposes
- But nothing "privileged" in the library/language: Users can declare their own type classes (nothing special about ==, +, etc.)
- Interacts well enough with type inference [won't study the "magic"]

And/but:

- · Ends up "taking over the language and standard library"
- Lots of fancy features that are super-useful, but we'll have time for just a quick exposure beyond the basics

Lecture 9

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

7

Type Class Design Overview

- [Step 0: Do *not* try to compare these things to OOP classes and such; they are different. Will study OOP next.]
- · Step 1: Type class declarations
 - Define a set of [typed] operations and give the set a name
 - Example: The Eq a type-class has operations == and /= both of type a -> a -> Bool
- · Step 2: Instance declarations
 - Specify the implementations for a particular type
 - Examples: for Int, == is integer equality, for String, == is string equality (but could have decided case-insensitive)
- · Step 3: Qualified types
 - Use qualified types to express that a polymorphic type must be an instance of your type class
- Example: member' :: Eq a => [a] -> a -> Bool

Lecture 9

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

8

Qualified types

```
member' :: Eq a => [a] -> a -> Bool
```

- · Very roughly like a bound on the type variable
 - Caller must instantiate type variable with a type that is known to be an instance of the class
 - Callee may assume the type is an instance of the class (so can use the operations)
 - So "fewer" callers type-check and "more" callees type-check
- · At run-time, the right dictionary will be implicitly passed and used
 - Call-site "knows which dictionary"
 - Calls in callee "use the dictionary"

Lecture 9

 $CSE\ P505\ Autumn\ 2016\ Dan\ Grossman$

9

11

More Examples

Lecture 9

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

10

Our own classes and instances

- The class declaration gives names and types to operations
- An instance declaration provides the operations' implementations

```
class MyNum a where
  plus' :: a -> a -> a
   times' :: a -> a -> a
  neg'
        :: a -> a
  zero' :: a
instance MyNum Int where
   times' = (*)
  neg' = \langle x - \rangle -1 * x
zero' = 0
instance MyNum Float where
  plus'
         = (+)
   times' = (*)
          = \x -> -1.0 * x
   neq'
   zero' = 0.0
```

Then use them

• Use qualified types to write algorithms over overloaded operations

Lecture 9 CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

Lecture 9

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

12

Compositionality of functions

Overloaded functions can be defined using other overloaded functions

```
square :: Num a => a -> a
square x = x * x

quadAndFour :: Num a => a -> (a,Int)
quadAndFour x = (square x * square x, square 2)

eg = quadAndFour 3.0 -- (81.0, 4)
```

 quadAndFour "doesn't know" what dictionary it was passed, but it knows which dictionary to pass to each of its calls to square

Lactura 0

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

13

Compositionality of Instances

- Can use qualified instances to build compound instances in terms of simpler ones
- Simple example from standard library:

· A little more complicated example: see lec9.hs for

instance MyNum a => MyNum (Complex a) ...

e 9 CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

. . .

Subclasses

- Can specify "any instance of class Foo must also be an instance of class Bar"
 - Example: Ord a subclass of Eq
 - Example: Fractional a subclass of Num
 - (Fractional supports real division and reciprocals)
- · Easy to define:

```
class Eq a => Ord a where -- defines Ord a
```

- · An instance must provide everything in the superclass (too)
- · Makes a qualified type "provide more"
- This still isn't OOP classes [we are defining and passing dictionaries separately and with static type resolution]

Lecture 9

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

15

17

Default methods

- · A class declaration can provide default implementations
 - Including in terms of other implementations
 - Instances can override the default or not
 - Example: not-equal as not of equal
 - Example: >= as > or ==
 - Example: arbitrary result like 42

```
-- Minimal complete definition: (==) or (/=)

class Eq a where

(==) :: a -> a -> Bool

x == y = not (x /= y)

(/=) :: a -> a -> Bool

x /= y = not (x == y)
```

 This still isn't OOP classes [we are defining and passing dictionaries separately and with static type resolution]

Lecture 9

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

16

No, really, it's not OOP

Dictionaries and method suites (vtables) are similar

But

- As we have said:
 - Dictionaries "travel" separately from values
 - Method resolution is static in Haskell, based on types
- Also:
 - Constrains polymorphism, does not introduce subtyping
 - Can add instance declarations for types "retroactively"
 - Dictionary selection can depend on result types:

```
fromInteger :: Num a => Integer -> a
```

Topics to skip

Very useful for practical programming but a bit off our trajectory:

- deriving to get automatic instances from data definitions
 - Example: Show a tree
- Support for numeric literals using the fromInteger operation that lets you use 0, 3, 79, etc. in any instance of Num
- · Interaction with type inference
 - Mostly "works fine"
 - Various details, including do not reuse operation names across classes in same scope

Lecture 9 CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

Lecture 9

 $CSE\ P505\ Autumn\ 2016\ Dan\ Grossman$

Now constructor classes

- · Recall:
 - Int, [Int], Complex Int, Bool, Int -> Int, etc. are types
 - [-], Tree, etc. are type constructors (given a type, produce a type)
- · We can define type classes for type constructors
 - Nothing really "new" here
 - Harder to read at first, but "arity" of the constructor is inferred from use in class declaration
- · See Part 3 of lec9.hs for instances and uses of this example:

```
class HasMap g where
map' :: (a -> b) -> g a -> g b
```

Lecture 9

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

19

21

Now back to monad

- Monad is a constructor class just like HasMap (!!)
 - "Required" operations are >>= and return
 - Default operations for things like >>
 - Io is "just" one "special" instance of monad
 - There are many useful instances of monad
 - Any instance of monad can use do-notation since it's just sugar for calls to >>=
- See Parts 4, 5, and 6 of lec9.hs to blow your mind ©

Lecture 9

CSE P505 Autumn 2016 Dan Grossman

20

Summary of all that (!) ☺

- "Part 4"
 - Monad is a constructor typeclass
 - Instance Monad Maybe' gives intuitive definitions to >>= and return
 - do-notation for "maybe" can be much less painful than life without it
- "Part 5"
 - Naturally, can write code generic over "which monad instance"
 - So can reuse combinators like

sequence :: Monad m => [m a]-> m [a]

- "Part 6
 - State monad definition is purely functional but looks-and-feels like imperative programming when using it

Other cheats

- So type classes seem to work pretty well
 - Haskell has, over time, committed to them ever-more fully
- · Without them, you can:
 - Do explicit dictionary passing
 - "Cheat" in various ways:
 - SML: special support for Eq and nothing else
 - Oh also +, *, etc. for int and float
 - OCaml: cheat on key functions like hash and = being allegedly fully polymorphic but can fail at runtime and/or violate abstractions
- C++: OOP or code duplication, neither of which is the same??

Lecture 9

 $CSE\ P505\ Autumn\ 2016\ Dan\ Grossman$

22