

Solver-aided reasoning

UW CSE P 504

Outline

- Primer on solver-aided reasoning
- SMTLIB and Z3
- Examples

What is a SAT solver?

What is a SAT solver?

- Takes a **formula** (propositional logic) as input.

$$(X1 \vee X2) \wedge (\neg X1 \vee X3) \wedge (X1 \vee \neg X3) \wedge (\neg X2 \vee \neg X3)$$

What is a SAT solver?

- Takes a **formula** (propositional logic) as input.
- Returns a **model** (an assignment that satisfies the formula).

$(X1 \vee X2) \wedge (\neg X1 \vee X3) \wedge (X1 \vee \neg X3) \wedge (\neg X2 \vee \neg X3)$



SAT solver



$X1 = \text{true}, X2 = \text{false}, X3 = \text{T}$

Z3: an SMT solver



- SMT = Satisfiability Modulo Theories
- Input language: SMT-LIB
 - Print to the screen.
 - **Declare variables** and functions.

```
(echo "Running Z3...")  
(declare-const a Int)
```

Z3: an SMT solver

- SMT = Satisfiability Modulo Theories
- Input language: SMT-LIB
 - Print to the screen.
 - **Declare variables** and functions.
 - **Define constraints**.

```
(echo "Running Z3...")  
(declare-const a Int)  
(assert (> a 0))
```

Z3: an SMT solver

- SMT = Satisfiability Modulo Theories
- Input language: SMT-LIB
 - Print to the screen.
 - **Declare variables** and functions.
 - **Define constraints**.
 - **Check satisfiability** and **obtain a model**.
 - ...

```
(echo "Running Z3...")  
(declare-const a Int)  
(assert (> a 0))  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

Which question does this code answer?

Z3: an SMT solver

- SMT = Satisfiability Modulo Theories
- Input language: SMT-LIB
 - Print to the screen.
 - **Declare variables** and functions.
 - **Define constraints**.
 - **Check satisfiability** and **obtain a model**.
 - ...

```
(echo "Running Z3...")  
(declare-const a Int)  
(assert (> a 0))  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

This code is asking the question:
Does an integer greater than 0 exist?

Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$?

```
1 int plusEqualsMult(int a, int b) {  
2     assert b > 0;  
3     if (a + b == a * b) {  
4         return 1;  
5     }  
6     return 0;  
7 }
```

Does this method ever return 1?

Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$?

```
1 int plusEqualsMult(int a, int b) {  
2   assert b > 0;  
3   if (a + b == a * b) {  
4     return 1;  
5   }  
6   return 0;  
7 }
```

```
(declare-const a Int)  
(declare-const b Int)  
  
(assert (> b 0))  
(assert (= (+ a b) (* a b)))  
  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

Does this method ever return 1? Let's ask Z3...

Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$ (but not be 0 or 4)?



```
1 int getNumber(int a, int b, int c) {  
2     if (c==0) return 0;  
3     if (c==4) return 0;  
4     if (a + b < c) return 1;  
5     if (a + b > c) return 2;  
6     if (a * b == c) return 3;  
7     return 4;  
8 }
```

Does this method ever return 3?
What constraints must be satisfied?

Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$ (but not be 0 or 4)?

```
1 int getNumber(int a, int b, int c) {  
2     if (c==0) return 0;  
3     if (c==4) return 0;  
4     if (a + b < c) return 1;  
5     if (a + b > c) return 2;  
6     if (a * b == c) return 3;  
7     return 4;  
8 }
```

All of the following must be true:

- $!(c == 0)$
- $!(c == 4)$
- $!(a + b < c)$
- $!(a + b > c)$
- $a * b == c$

Does this method ever return 3?

Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$ (but not be 0 or 4)?

```
1 int getNumber(int a, int b, int c) {  
2   if (c==0) return 0;  
3   if (c==4) return 0;  
4   if (a + b < c) return 1;  
5   if (a + b > c) return 2;  
6   if (a * b == c) return 3;  
7   return 4;  
8 }
```

All of the following must be true:

- $!(c == 0)$
- $!(c == 4)$
- $!(a + b < c)$
- $!(a + b > c)$
- $a * b == c$

$$(a + b == c) \wedge (a * b == c) \wedge (c \neq 0) \wedge (c \neq 4)$$

Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$ (but not be 0 or 4)?

```
1 int getNumber(int a, int b, int c) {  
2   if (c==0) return 0;  
3   if (c==4) return 0;  
4   if (a + b < c) return 1;  
5   if (a + b > c) return 2;  
6   if (a * b == c) return 3;  
7   return 4;  
8 }
```

All of the following must be true:

- $!(c == 0)$
- $!(c == 4)$
- $!(a + b < c)$
- $!(a + b > c)$
- $a * b == c$

```
(declare-const a Int)  
(declare-const b Int)  
(declare-const c Int)  
  
(assert (not (= c 0)))  
(assert (not (= c 4)))  
(assert (not (< (+ a b) c)))  
(assert (not (> (+ a b) c)))  
(assert (= (* a b) c))  
  
(check-sat)
```

Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$ (but not be 0 or 4)?

```
1 int getNumber(int a, int b, int c) {  
2     if (c==0) return 0;  
3     if (c==4) return 0;  
4     if (a + b < c) return 1;  
5     if (a + b > c) return 2;  
6     if (a * b == c) return 3;  
7     return 4;  
8 }
```

All of the following must be true:

- $!(c == 0)$
- $!(c == 4)$
- $!(a + b < c)$
- $!(a + b > c)$
- $a * b == c$



Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$ (but not be 0 or 4)?

```
1 int getNumber(int a, int b, int c) {  
2     if (c==0) return 0;  
3     if (c==4) return 0;  
4     if (a + b < c) return 1;  
5     if (a + b > c) return 2;  
6     if (a * b == c) return 3;  
7     return 4;  
8 }
```

All of the following must be true:

- $!(c == 0)$
- $!(c == 4)$
- $!(a + b < c)$
- $!(a + b > c)$
- $a * b == c$

There is no solution among the integers.

When run on your computer, can this routine return 3?

Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$ (but not be 0 or 4)?

```
1 int getNumber(int a, int b, int c) {  
2   if (c==0) return 0;  
3   if (c==4) return 0;  
4   if (a + b < c) return 1;  
5   if (a + b > c) return 2;  
6   if (a * b == c) return 3;  
7   return 4;  
8 }
```

All of the following must be true:

- $!(c == 0)$
- $!(c == 4)$
- $!(a + b < c)$
- $!(a + b > c)$
- $a * b == c$

Z3 supports bitvectors of arbitrary size.
Let's model **32-bit ints** and ask again.

Can $a+b$ equal $a*b$ (but not be 0 or 4)?

```
1 int getNumber(int a, int b, int c) {
2   if (c==0) return 0;
3   if (c==4) return 0;
4   if (a + b < c) return 1;
5   if (a + b > c) return 2;
6   if (a * b == c) return 3;
7   return 4;
8 }
```

All of the following must be true:

- $!(c == 0)$
- $!(c == 4)$
- $!(a + b < c)$
- $!(a + b > c)$
- $a * b == c$

```
(define-sort Int32 () (_ BitVec 32))
(declare-const a Int32)
(declare-const b Int32)
(declare-const c Int32)

(assert (not (= c #x00000000)))
(assert (not (= c #x00000004)))
(assert (not (bvslt (bvadd a b) c)))
(assert (not (bvsgt (bvadd a b) c)))
(assert (= (bvmul a b) c))

(check-sat)
(get-model)
```

Reasoning about program equivalence

```
1 int add(int a, int b) {  
2   return a + b;  
3 }  
4  
5 int mul(int a, int b) {  
6   return a * b;  
7 }
```

Are these two procedures semantically equivalent?

Reasoning about program equivalence

```
1 int add(int a, int b) {  
2   return a + b;  
3 }  
4  
5 int mul(int a, int b) {  
6   return a * b;  
7 }
```

```
(declare-const a Int)  
(declare-const b Int)  
  
(declare-const add Int)  
(declare-const mul Int)  
  
(assert (= add (+ a b)))  
(assert (= mul (* a b)))  
(assert (= add mul))  
  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

Are these two procedures semantically equivalent?

Reasoning about program equivalence

```
1 int add(int a, int b) {  
2   return a + b;  
3 }  
4  
5 int mul(int a, int b) {  
6   return a * b;  
7 }
```

```
(declare-const a Int)  
(declare-const b Int)  
  
(declare-const add Int)  
(declare-const mul Int)  
  
(assert (= add (+ a b)))  
(assert (= mul (* a b)))  
(assert (= add mul))  
  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

Yes, for $a=2$ and $b=2$.
What have we actually proven here?

Reasoning about program equivalence

```
1 int add(int a, int b) {  
2   return a + b;  
3 }  
4  
5 int mul(int a, int b) {  
6   return a * b;  
7 }
```

```
(declare-const a Int)  
(declare-const b Int)  
  
(declare-const add Int)  
(declare-const mul Int)  
  
(assert (= add (+ a b)))  
(assert (= mul (* a b)))  
(assert (= add mul))  
  
(check-sat)  
(get-model)
```

For **universal claims**, our goal is to **prove** the absence of counter examples (i.e., the defined constraints are **unsat**)!

An alternative to 64-bit xor

My  key was broken.

I had to code like this:

```
float avogadro = 5.02e+23 + 1e+23
```

But then I needed to do xor (exclusive or).

Instead of $x \wedge y$, I wrote: $x + y - 2 * (x \& y)$

Was I right?

Claim: $x \oplus y = x + y - 2 * (x \& y)$

```
(declare-const x (_ BitVec 64))
```

```
(declare-const y (_ BitVec 64))
```

```
:: (x + y) - ((x & y) << 1)
```

```
(assert (not (= (bvxor x y)
```

```
              (bvsb
```

```
                (bvadd x y)
```

```
                (bvshl (bvand x y) (_ bv1 64))))))
```

```
(check-sat)
```

Summary

- Solver-aided reasoning is used for testing and verification.
- SMT solvers:
 - Provide one solution, if one exists.
 - Are commonly used to find counter-examples (or prove unsat).
 - Support many theories that can model program semantics.
 - Usually support a standard language (SMT-lib).
- The challenge is to model a problem as a constraint system.
A few examples:
 - Statistical test selection
 - Data-structure synthesis
 - Program synthesis
- Many higher-level DSLs and language bindings exist.

In-class 7: formal methods