## CSE P 501 – Compilers

Code Shape I – Basic Constructs

Hal Perkins

Spring 2018

## Agenda

- Mapping source code to x86-64
  - Mapping for other common architectures is similar
- This lecture: basic statements and expressions
  - We'll go quickly since this is review for many, fast orientation for others, and pretty straightforward
- Next: Object representation, method calls, and dynamic dispatch

Footnote: These slides include more than is specifically needed for the course project

#### Review: Variables

- For us, all data will be either:
  - In a stack frame (method local variables)
  - In an object (instance variables)
- Local variables accessed via %rbp movq -16(%rbp),%rax
- Object instance variables accessed via an offset from an object address in a register
  - Details later

## Conventions for Examples

- Examples show code snippets in isolation
  - Much the way we'll generate code for different parts of the AST in a compiler visitor pass
- Register %rax used here as a generic example
  - Rename as needed for more complex code using multiple registers
- 64-bit data used everywhere
- A few peephole optimizations shown for a flavor of what's possible
  - Some might be easy to do in the compiler project

# What we're skipping for now

- Real code generator needs to deal with many things like:
  - Which registers are busy at which point in the program
  - Which registers to spill into memory when a new register is needed and no free ones are available
  - Dealing with different sizes of data
  - Exploiting the full instruction set

#### Code Generation for Constants

Source

17

x86-64

movq \$17,%rax

- Idea: realize constant value in a register
- Optimization: if constant is 0

xorq %rax,%rax

(but some processors do better with movq \$0,%rax – and this has changed over time, too)

## **Assignment Statement**

Source

```
var = exp;
```

x86-64

```
<code to evaluate exp into, say, %rax>
movq %rax,offset<sub>var</sub>(%rbp)
```

#### **Unary Minus**

- Source -exp
- x86-64
   <code evaluating exp into %rax>
   negq %rax
- Optimization
  - Collapse -(-exp) to exp
- Unary plus is a no-op

## Binary +

Source

```
exp_1 + exp_2
```

• x86-64

```
<code evaluating exp<sub>1</sub> into %rax>
<code evaluating exp<sub>2</sub> into %rdx>
addq %rdx,%rax
```

## Binary +

- Some optimizations
  - If exp<sub>2</sub> is a simple variable or constant, don't need to load it into another register first. Instead:

```
addq exp<sub>2</sub>,%rax
```

- Change exp<sub>1</sub> + (-exp<sub>2</sub>) into exp<sub>1</sub>-exp<sub>2</sub>
- If exp<sub>2</sub> is 1incq %rax
  - Somewhat surprising: whether this is better than addq \$1,%rax depends on processor implementation and has changed over time

# Binary -, \*

- Same as +
  - Use subq for (but not commutative!)
  - Use imulg for \*
- Some optimizations
  - Use left shift to multiply by powers of 2
  - If your multiplier is slow or you've got free scalar units and multiplier is busy, you can do 10\*x = (8\*x)+(2\*x)
    - But might be slower depending on microarchitecture
  - Use x+x instead of 2\*x, etc. (often faster)
  - Can use leaq (%rax,%rax,4),%rax to compute 5\*x, then addq %rax,%rax to get 10\*x, etc. etc.
  - Use decq for x-1 (but check: subq \$1 might be faster)

# Signed Integer Division

- Ghastly on x86-64
  - Only works on 128-bit int divided by 64-bit int
    - (similar instructions for 64-bit divided by 32-bit in 32-bit x86)
  - Requires use of specific registers
  - Very slow (~50 clocks)
- Source exp<sub>1</sub> / exp<sub>2</sub>
- x86-64

```
<code evaluating exp<sub>1</sub> into %rax ONLY>
<code evaluating exp<sub>2</sub> into %ebx>
cqto  # extend to %rdx:%rax, clobbers %rdx
idivq %ebx  # quotient in %rax, remainder in %rdx
```

#### **Control Flow**

- Basic idea: decompose higher level operation into conditional and unconditional gotos
- In the following, j<sub>false</sub> is used to mean jump when a condition is false
  - No such instruction on x86-64
  - Will have to realize with appropriate instruction to set condition codes followed by conditional jump
  - Normally don't need to actually generate the value "true" or "false" in a register
    - But this is a useful shortcut hack for the project

#### While

- Source while (cond) stmt
- x86-64

```
test: <code evaluating cond>
j_{false} done
<code for stmt>
j_{false} done
```

#### done:

 Note: In generated asm code we need to have unique labels for each loop, conditional statement, etc.

## Optimization for While

Put the test at the end:

jmp test

loop: <code for stmt>

test: <code evaluating cond>

j<sub>true</sub> loop

- Why bother?
  - Pulls one jmp instruction out of the loop
  - May avoid a pipeline stall on jmp on each iteration
    - Although modern processors will often predict control flow and avoid the stall – x86-64 does this particularly well
- Easy to do from AST or other IR; not so easy if generating code on the fly (e.g., recursive descent 1-pass compiler)

#### Do-While

- Source do stmt while(cond)
- x86-64

#### If

```
    Source
        if (cond) stmt
    x86-64
        <code evaluating cond>
        j<sub>false</sub> skip
        <code for stmt>
        skip:
```

#### If-Else

```
    Source

       if (cond) stmt₁ else stmt₂
x86-64
           <code evaluating cond>
           j<sub>false</sub> else
           <code for stmt<sub>1</sub>>
           jmp done
   else: <code for stmt<sub>2</sub>>
   done:
```

# Jump Chaining

- Observation: naïve implementation can produce jumps to jumps (if-else if-...-else; or nested loops or conditionals, ...)
- Optimization: if a jump has as its target an unconditional jump, change the target of the first jump to the target of the second
  - Repeat until no further changes
  - Often done in peephole optimization pass after initial code generation

## **Boolean Expressions**

What do we do with this?

- Expression that evaluates to true or false
  - Could generate the value (0/1 or whatever the local convention is)
  - But normally we don't want/need the value –
     we're only trying to decide whether to jump
    - (Although for our project we might simplify and always produce the value)

## Code for exp1 > exp2

- Basic idea: Generated code depends on context:
  - What is the jump target?
  - Jump if the condition is true or if false?
- Example: evaluate exp1 > exp2, jump on false, target if jump taken is L123

```
<evaluate exp1 to %rax>
<evaluate exp2 to %rdx>
cmpq %rdx,%rax
jng L123
```

# Boolean Operators: !

Source

! exp

- Context: evaluate exp and jump to L123 if false (or true)
- To compile !, just reverse the sense of the test: evaluate exp and jump to L123 if true (or false)

# Boolean Operators: && and | |

- In C/C++/Java/C#/many others, these are short-circuit operators
  - Right operand is evaluated only if needed
- Basically, generate the if statements that jump appropriately and only evaluate operands when needed

## Example: Code for &&

 Source if  $(\exp_1 \&\& \exp_2)$  stmt x86-64 <code for exp<sub>1</sub>> j<sub>false</sub> skip <code for exp<sub>2</sub>> j<sub>false</sub> skip <code for stmt> skip:

# Example: Code for | |

```
    Source

      if (\exp_1 || \exp_2) stmt
x86-64
             <code for exp_1>
            j<sub>true</sub> doit
             <code for exp<sub>2</sub>>
            j<sub>false</sub> skip
    doit: <code for stmt>
    skip:
```

## Realizing Boolean Values

- If a boolean value needs to be stored in a variable or method call parameter, generate code needed to actually produce it
- Typical representations: 0 for false, +1 or -1 for true
  - C specifies 0 and 1 if stored; we'll use that
  - Best choice can depend on machine instructions;
     normally some convention is established during
     the primeval history of the architecture

## Boolean Values: Example

```
Source
      var = bexp;
x86-64
           <code for bexp>
                genFalse
           J<sub>false</sub>
           movq $1,%rax
           jmp storelt
   genFalse:
           movq $0,%rax
                                          # or xorq
   storelt:
           movq %rax,offset<sub>var</sub>(%rbp) # generated by asg stmt
```

## Better, If Enough Registers

Source
 var = bexp;
 x86-64
 xorq %rax,%rax # or movq \$0,%rax
 <code for bexp>
 j<sub>false</sub> store
 incq %rax # or movq \$1,%rax
 store:
 movq %rax,offset<sub>var</sub>(%rbp) # generated by asg

- Better: use movecc instruction to avoid conditional jump
- Can also use conditional move instruction for sequences like x = y<z ? y : z</li>

#### Better yet: setcc

Source var = x < y;</li>

x86-64

```
movq offset<sub>x</sub>(%rbp),%rax # load x
cmpq offset<sub>y</sub>(%rbp),%rax # compare to y
setl %al # set low byte %rax to 0/1
movzbq %al,%rax # zero-extend to 64 bits
movq %rax,offset<sub>var</sub>(%rbp) # gen. by asg stmt
```

#### Other Control Flow: switch

- Naïve: generate a chain of nested if-else if statements
- Better: switch statement is intended to allow O(1) selection, provided the set of switch values is reasonably compact
- Idea: create a 1-D array of jumps or labels and use the switch expression to select the right one
  - Need to generate equivalent of an if to ensure expr.
     value is within bounds (& avoid wild jump/segfault)

#### **Switch**

Source

```
switch (exp) {
    case 0: stmts<sub>0</sub>;
    case 1: stmts<sub>1</sub>;
    case 2: stmts<sub>2</sub>;
```

"break" is an unconditional jump to the end of switch

```
x86-64:
        <put exp in %rax>
        "if (\% rax < 0 \mid | \% rax > 2)
            jmp defaultLabel"
```

swtab(,%rax,8),%rax \*%rax jmp .data

swtab:

movq

.quad LO

.quad L1

.quad L2

.text

L0:  $\langle stmts_0 \rangle$ 

L1:  $\langle stmts_1 \rangle$ 

L2:  $\langle stmts_2 \rangle$ 

#### **Arrays**

- Several variations
- C/C++/Java
  - O-origin: an array with n elements contains variables a[0]...a[n-1]
  - 1 dimension (Java); 1 or more dimensions using row major order (C/C++)
- Key step is evaluate subscript expression, then calculate the location of the corresponding array element

## **0-Origin 1-D Integer Arrays**

Source exp<sub>1</sub>[exp<sub>2</sub>]

x86-64

```
<evaluate exp<sub>1</sub> (array address) in %rax>
<evaluate exp<sub>2</sub> in %rdx>
address is (%rax,%rdx,8) # if 8 byte elements
```

#### 2-D Arrays

- Subscripts start with 0
- C/C++, etc. specify row-major order
  - E.g., an array with 3 rows and 2 columns is stored in sequence: a(0,0), a(0,1), a(1,0), a(1,1), a(2,0), a(2,1)
- Fortran specifies column-major order
  - Exercises: What is the layout? How do you calculate location of a[i][j]? What happens when you pass array references between Fortran and C/C++ code?
- Java does not have "real" 2-D arrays. A Java 2-D array is a pointer to a list of pointers to the rows
  - And rows may have different lengths (ragged arrays)

# a[i][j] in C/C++/etc.

- If a is a "real" 0-origin, 2-D array, to find a[i][j], we need to know:
  - Values of i and j
  - How many columns (but not rows!) the array has
- Location of a[i][j] is:
  - Location of a + (i\*(#of columns) + j) \* sizeof(elt)
- Can factor to pull out allocation-time constant part and evaluate that once – no recalculating at runtime; only calculate part depending on i, j
  - Details in most compiler books

#### **Coming Attractions**

- Code Generation for Objects
  - Representation
  - Method calls
  - Inheritance and overriding
- Strategies for implementing code generators
- Code improvement optimization