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Dynamic Typing

JavaScript:

```javascript
function foo(a, b) {
    t1 = a.x;   // runtime field lookup
    t2 = b.y(); // runtime method lookup
    t3 = t1 + t2; // runtime dispatch on ‘+’
    return t3;
}
```
Overview

- **Self**
  - 20+ year old research language
  - One of earliest JIT compilation systems
  - Pioneered techniques used today

- **JavaScript**
  - Self with a Java syntax
  - Much recent work to optimize
Self

- Prototype-based pure object-oriented language.
- Designed by Randall Smith (Xerox PARC) and David Ungar (Stanford University).
  - Successor to Smalltalk-80.
  - “Self: The power of simplicity” appeared at OOPSLA ‘87.
  - Initial implementation done at Stanford; then project shifted to Sun Microsystems Labs.
  - Vehicle for implementation research.
- Self 4.3 available from Sun web site
Design Goals

- Occam’s Razor: Conceptual economy
  - Everything is an object.
  - Everything done using messages.
  - No classes
  - No variables
- Concreteness
  - Objects should seem “real.”
  - GUI to manipulate objects directly
How successful?

- Self is a very well-designed language.
- Few users: not a popular success
  - Not clear why.
- However, many research innovations
  - Very simple computational model.
  - Enormous advances in compilation techniques.
  - Influenced the design of Java compilers.
Language Overview

- Dynamically typed.
- Everything is an object.
- All computation via message passing.
- Creation and initialization done by copying example object.
- Operations on objects:
  - send messages
  - add new slots
  - replace old slots
  - remove slots
Objects and Slots

Object consists of named slots.

- **Data**
  - Such slots return contents upon evaluation; so act like variables

- **Assignment**
  - Set the value of associated slot

- **Method**
  - Slot contains Self code

- **Parent**
  - References existing object to inherit slots
Messages and Methods

- When message is sent, object searched for slot with name.
- If none found, all parents are searched.
  - Runtime error if more than one parent has a slot with the same name.
- If slot is found, its contents evaluated and returned.
  - Runtime error if no slot found.
Messages and Methods

- **obj x** → **3**
- **obj print** → *print point object*
- **obj x: 4** → **obj**

*after setting x to 4.*

- **clone** → ...
- **parent***
- **print** → ...
- **parent***
  - **x** → **3**
  - **x**: ←
Mixing State and Behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parent*</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>add points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parent*</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x:</td>
<td>←</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y:</td>
<td>←</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parent*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Object Creation

- To create an object, we copy an old one.
- We can add new methods, override existing ones, or even remove methods.
- These operations also apply to parent slots.
Changing Parent Pointers

```
p jump.
p eatFly.
p parent: prince.
p dance.
```
Changing Parent Pointers

frog

jump  ...  prince
eatFly  ...  dance
eatCake  ...

parent*

parent*: ℓ
name  Charles
name: ℓ

p jump.
p eatFly.
p parent: prince.
p dance
Disadvantages of classes?

- Classes require programmers to understand a more complex model.
  - To make a new kind of object, we have to create a new class first.
  - To change an object, we have to change the class.
  - Infinite meta-class regression.

- But: Does Self require programmer to reinvent structure?
  - Common to structure Self programs with *traits*: objects that simply collect behavior for sharing.
Contrast with C++

- C++
  - Restricts expressiveness to ensure efficient implementation.

- Self
  - Provides unbreakable high-level model of underlying machine.
  - Compiler does fancy optimizations to obtain acceptable performance.
Implementation Challenges I

- Many, many slow function calls:
  - Function calls generally somewhat expensive.
  - Dynamic dispatch makes message invocation even slower than typical procedure calls.
  - OO programs tend to have lots of small methods.
  - Everything is a message: even variable access!

“The resulting call density of pure object-oriented programs is staggering, and brings naïve implementations to their knees” [Chambers & Ungar, PLDI 89]
Implementation Challenges II

- No static type system
  - Each reference could point to any object, making it hard to find methods statically.
- No class structure to enforce sharing
  - Each object having a copy of its methods leads to space overheads.

Optimized Smalltalk-80 roughly 10 times slower than optimized C.
Optimization Strategies

- Avoid per object space requirements.
- Compile, don’t interpret.
- Avoid method lookup.
- Inline methods wherever possible.
  - Saves method call overhead.
  - Enables further optimizations.
Clone Families

Avoid per object data
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Dynamic Compilation

- Method is converted to byte codes when entered.
- Compiled to machine code when first executed.
- Code stored in cache
  - if cache fills, previously compiled method flushed.
- Requires entire source (byte) code to be available.
Lookup Cache

- Cache of recently used methods, indexed by (receiver type, message name) pairs.
- When a message is sent, compiler first consults cache
  - if found: invokes associated code.
  - if absent: performs general lookup and potentially updates cache.
- Berkeley Smalltalk would have been 37% slower without this optimization.
Static Type Prediction

- Compiler predicts types that are unknown but likely:
  - Arithmetic operations (+, -, <, etc.) have small integers as their receivers 95% of time in Smalltalk-80.
  - \texttt{ifTrue} had Boolean receiver 100% of the time.
- Compiler inlines code (and test to confirm guess):
  
  ```
  if type = smallInt jump to method_smallInt
call general_lookup
  ```
Inline Caches

- First message send from a *call site*:
  - general lookup routine invoked
  - call site back-patched
    - is previous method still correct?
      - yes: invoke code directly
      - no: proceed with general lookup & backpatch

- Successful about 95% of the time
- All compiled implementations of Smalltalk and Self use inline caches.
Polymorphic Inline Caches

- Typical call site has <10 distinct receiver types.
  - So often can cache all receivers.
- At each call site, for each new receiver, extend patch code:

  ```
  if type = rectangle jump to method_rect
  if type = circle jump to method_circle
  call general_lookup
  ```

- After some threshold, revert to simple inline cache (megamorphic site).
- Order clauses by frequency.
- Inline short methods into PIC code.
Customized Compilation

- Compile several copies of each method, one for each receiver type.
- Within each copy:
  - Compiler knows the type of self
  - Calls through self can be statically selected and inlined.
- Enables downstream optimizations.
- Increases code size.
Type Analysis

- Constructed by compiler by flow analysis.
- Type: set of possible maps for object.
  - Singleton: know map statically
  - Union/Merge: know expression has one of a fixed collection of maps.
  - Unknown: know nothing about expression.
- If singleton, we can inline method.
- If type is small, we can insert type test and create branch for each possible receiver (type casing).
Message Splitting

- Type information above a merge point is often better.
- Move message send "before" merge point:
  - duplicates code
  - improves type information
  - allows more inlining
PICS as Type Source

- Polymorphic inline caches build a call-site specific type database as the program runs.
- Compiler can use this runtime information rather than the result of a static flow analysis to build type cases.
- Must wait until PIC has collected information.
  - When to recompile?
  - What should be recompiled?
- Initial fast compile yielding slow code; then dynamically recompile hotspots.
Performance Improvements

- Initial version of Self was 4-5 times slower than optimized C.
- Adding type analysis and message splitting got within a factor of 2 of optimized C.
- Replacing type analysis with PICS improved performance by further 37%.
Impact on Java

Self with PICs → Sun cancels Self → Animorphics

Smalltalk → Java becomes popular

Sun buys A.J. → Animorphics

Java Hotspot
Summary of Self

“Power of simplicity”
- Everything is an object: no classes, no variables.
- Provides high-level model that can’t be violated (even during debugging).
- Fancy optimizations recover reasonable performance.
- Many techniques now used in Java compilers.
- Papers describing various optimization techniques available from Self web site.

http://research.sun.com/self/
JavaScript

- Self-like language with Java syntax
  - Dynamic OO language
  - Prototypes instead of classes
  - Nothing to do with Java beyond syntax

- Originated in Netscape

- “Standard” on today’s browsers
V8 (Google Chrome)

- Three primary features
  - Fast property access
    - Hidden classes
  - Dynamic compiler
    - Compile on first invocation
    - Inline caching with back patching
  - Generational garbage collection
    - Segmented by types
- See http://code.google.com/apis/v8/design.html
High-performance JavaScript

Self approach:
- V8 (Google Chrome)
- SquirrelFish Extreme (Safari / WebKit)

Trace compilation:
- TraceMonkey (Firefox)
- Tamarin (Adobe Flash/Flex)

No time to cover today; see *Tracing for web 3.0*, Chang et al, Virtual Execution Env 2009, etc.