

CSE P 501 – Compilers

Code Shape II – Objects & Classes
Hal Perkins
Autumn 2005

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-1

Agenda for Today

- Object representation and layout
- Field access
- What is `this`?
- Object creation - `new`
- Method calls
 - Dynamic dispatch
 - Method tables
 - Super
- Runtime type information

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-2

OverLoading and Hiding

```
class One {
    int tag;
    int it;
    void setTag() { tag = 1; }
    int getTag() { return tag; }
    void setIt(int it) {this.it = it;}
    int getIt() { return it; }
}

class Two extends One {
    int it;
    void setTag() {
        tag = 2; it = 3;
    }
    int getThat() { return it; }
    void resetIt() {
        super.setIt(42);
    }
}
```

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-3

What does this program print?

```
class One {
    int tag;
    int it;
    void setTag() { tag = 1; }
    int getTag() { return tag; }
    void setIt(int it) {this.it = it;}
    int getIt() { return it; }
}

class Two extends One {
    int it;
    void setTag() {
        tag = 2; it = 3;
    }
    int getThat() { return it; }
    void resetIt() { super.setIt(42); }
}

public static void main(String[] args) {
    Two two = new Two();
    One one = two;

    one.setTag();
    System.out.println(one.getTag());

    one.setIt(17);
    two.setTag();
    System.out.println(two.getIt());
    System.out.println(two.getThat());
    two.resetIt();
    System.out.println(two.getIt());
    System.out.println(two.getThat());
}
```

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-4

Your Answer Here

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-5

Object Representation

- The naive explanation is that an object contains
 - Fields declared in its class and in all superclasses
 - Redeclaration of a field hides superclass instance
 - Methods declared in its class and in all superclasses
 - Redeclaration of a method overrides (replaces)
 - But overridden methods can still be accessed by super...
- When a method is called, the method inside that particular object is called
 - But we don't want to really implement it this way – we only want one copy of each method's code

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-6

Actual representation

- Each object contains
 - An entry for each field (variable)
 - A pointer to a runtime data structure describing the class
 - Key component: method dispatch table
- Basically a C/C++ struct
- Fields hidden by declarations in extended classes are *still* allocated in the object and are accessible from superclass methods

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-7

Method Dispatch Tables

- Often known as “vtables”
- One pointer per method
- Offsets fixed at compile time
- One instance of this per class, not per object

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-8

Method Tables and Inheritance

- Simple implementation
 - Method table for extended class has pointers to methods declared in it
 - Method table also contains a pointer to parent class method table
 - Method dispatch
 - Look in current table and use it if local
 - Look in parent class table if not local
 - Repeat
 - Actually used in some dynamic systems (e.g. SmallTalk, etc.)

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-9

O(1) Method Dispatch

- Idea: First part of method table for extended class has pointers in same order as parent class
 - BUT* pointers actually refer to overriding methods if these exist
 - \therefore Method dispatch is indirect using fixed offsets known at compile time – O(1)
 - In C: `*(object->vtbl[offset])(parameters)`
- Pointers to additional methods in extended class are included in the table following inherited/overridden ones

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-10

Method Dispatch Footnotes

- Still want pointer to parent class method table for other purposes
 - Casts and instanceof
- Multiple inheritance requires more complex mechanisms
 - Also multiple interfaces in perverse situations(!)

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-11

Perverse Example Revisited

```
class One {
    int tag;
    int it;
    void setTag() { tag = 1; }
    int getTag() { return tag; }
    void setIt(int it) { this.it = it; }
    int getIt() { return it; }
}
class Two extends One {
    int it;
    void setTag() {
        tag = 2; it = 3;
    }
    int getThat() { return it; }
    void resetIt() { super.setIt(42); }
}

public static void main(String[] args) {
    Two two = new Two();
    One one = two;

    one.setTag();
    System.out.println(one.getTag());

    one.setIt(17);
    two.setTag();
    System.out.println(two.getIt());
    System.out.println(two.getThat());
    two.resetIt();
    System.out.println(two.getIt());
    System.out.println(two.getThat());
}
```

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-12

Implementation & Trace

11/8/2005 © 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE L-13

Now What?

- Need to explore
 - Object layout in memory
 - Compiling field references
 - Implicit and explicit use of "this"
 - Representation of vtables
 - Object creation – new
 - Code for dynamic dispatch
 - Including implementing "super.f"
 - Runtime type information – instanceof and casts

11/8/2005 © 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE L-14

Object Layout

- Typically, allocate fields sequentially
- Follow processor/OS alignment conventions when appropriate
- Use first 32 bits of object for pointer to method table/class information
- Objects are allocated on the heap
 - No actual representation in the generated code

11/8/2005 © 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE L-15

Local Variable Field Access

- Source


```
int n = obj.fld;
```
- X86
 - Assuming that obj is a local variable in the current method


```
mov  eax,[ebp+offset_obj] ; load obj
mov  eax,[eax+offset_fld] ; load fld
mov  [ebp+offset_n],eax   ; store n
```

11/8/2005 © 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE L-16

Local Fields

- A method can refer to fields in the receiving object either explicitly as "this.f" or implicitly as "f"
 - Both compile to the same code – an implicit "this." is assumed if not present
- Mechanism: a reference to the current object is an implicit parameter to every method
 - Can be in a register or on the stack

11/8/2005 © 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE L-17

Source Level View

- When you write


```
void setIt(int it) {
    this.it = it;
}
...
obj.setIt(42);
```
- You really get


```
void setIt(ObjType this,
           int it) {
    this.it = it;
}
...
setIt(obj,42);
```

11/8/2005 © 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE L-18

x86 Conventions (C++)

- ecx is traditionally used as “this”
- Add to method call
 - mov ecx, receivingObject ; ptr to object
 - Do this after arguments are evaluated and pushed, right before dynamic dispatch code (more about that to come)
 - Need to save ecx in a temporary or on the stack in methods that call other non-static methods
 - One possibility: add to prologue
 - Following examples aren't careful about this

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-19

x86 Local Field Access

- Source
 - int n = fld; or int n = this.fld;
- X86
 - mov eax, [ecx+offset_{fld}] ; load fld
 - mov [ebp+offset_n], eax ; store n

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-20

x86 Method Tables (vtbls)

- We'll generate these in the assembly language source program
- Need to pick a naming convention for method labels; suggestion:
 - For methods, classname\$methodname
 - Need something more sophisticated to implement overloading
 - For the vtables themselves, classname\$\$
- First method table entry points to superclass table
- Also useful: second entry points to default (0-argument) constructor (if you have constructors)
 - Makes implementation of super() particularly simple

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-21

Method Tables For Perverse Example

```

class One {
    void setTag() { ... }
    int getTag() { ... }
    void setIt(int it) { ... }
    int getIt() { ... }
}

class Two extends One {
    void setTag() { ... }
    int getThat() { ... }
    void resetIt() { ... }
}

.data
One$$ dd 0 ; no superclass
      dd One$One
      dd One$setTag
      dd One$getTag
      dd One$setIt
      dd One$getIt
Two$$ dd One$$ ; parent
      dd Two$Two
      dd Two$setTag
      dd One$getTag
      dd One$setIt
      dd One$getIt
      dd Two$getThat
      dd Two$resetIt
    
```

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-22

Method Table Footnotes

- Key point: First four non-constructor method entries in Two's method table are pointers to methods declared in One in *exactly the same order*
 - ∴ Compiler knows correct offset for a particular method *regardless of whether that method is overridden*

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-23

Object Creation – new

- Steps needed
 - Call storage manager (malloc or similar) to get the raw bits
 - Store pointer to method table in the first 4 bytes of the object
 - Call a constructor (pointer to new object, this, in ecx)
 - Result of new is pointer to the constructed object

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-24

Object Creation

- Source

```
One one = new One(...);
```

- X86

```
push  nBytesNeeded      ; obj size + 4
call   mallocEquiv      ; addr of bits
                        ; returned in eax
add    esp,4             ; pop
      nBytesNeeded
lea   edx,One$$         ; get method table
```

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-25

Constructor

- Only special issue here is generating call to superclass constructor
 - Same issues as super.method(...) calls – we'll defer for now

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-26

Method Calls

- Steps needed

- Push arguments as usual
- Put pointer to object in ecx (new this)
- Get pointer to method table from first 4 bytes of object
- Jump indirectly through method table
- Restore ecx to point to current object (if needed)
 - Useful hack: push it in the function prologue so it is always in the stack frame at a known location

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-27

Method Call

- Source

```
obj.meth(...);
```

- X86

```
<push arguments from right to left> ; (if needed)
mov  ecx,[ebp+offset_obj] ; get pointer to object
mov  eax,[ecx] ; get pointer to method table
call dword ptr [eax+offset_meth] ; call indirect via method tbl
<pop arguments> ; (if needed)
mov  ecx,[ebp+offset_ecxtemp] ; (if needed)
```

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-28

Handling super

- Almost the same as a regular method call with one extra level of indirection

- Source

```
super.meth(...);
```

- X86

```
<push arguments from right to left> ; (if needed)
mov  ecx,[ebp+offset_obj] ; get pointer to object
mov  eax,[ecx] ; get method tbl pointer
mov  eax,[eax] ; get parent's method tbl pointer
call dword ptr [eax+offset_meth] ; indirect call
<pop arguments> ; (if needed)
```

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-29

Runtime Type Checking

- Use the method table for the class as a “runtime representation” of the class
- The test for “o instanceof C” is
 - Is o's method table pointer == &C\$\$?
 - If so, result is “true”
 - Recursively, get the superclass's method table pointer from the method table and check that
 - Stop when you reach Object (or a null pointer, depending on how you represent things)
 - If no match when you reach the top of the chain, result is “false”

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-30



Coming Attractions

- Code Generation
- Two models
 - Simple tree walk, which is adequate to complete the project
 - More standard instruction selection/scheduling/register allocation regime
- Rest of the quarter – survey of optimization plus special topics

11/8/2005

© 2002-05 Hal Perkins & UW CSE

L-31