DATA516/CSED516 Scalable Data Systems and Algorithms Lecture 4 Distributed Query Evaluation

## Announcements

- Project proposals due on Friday
- Reviews due every week
- HW2 due next Monday

Coming soon: guest lecturers!

- Cloud Databases: Shan Shan Huang, RelationalAl
- Graph Databases: Mingxi Wu, Tigergraph

### Distributed Query Processing Algorithms

## Horizontal Data Partitioning

- Block Partition, a.k.a. Round Robin:
   Partition tuples arbitrarily s.t. size(R<sub>1</sub>)≈ ... ≈ size(R<sub>P</sub>)
- Hash partitioned on attribute A:
  - Tuple t goes to chunk i, where  $i = h(t.A) \mod P + 1$
- Range partitioned on attribute A:

– Partition the range of A into  $-\infty = v_0 < v_1 < ... < v_P = \infty$ 

– Tuple t goes to chunk i, if  $v_{i-1} < t.A < v_i$ 

## Notation

When a relation R is distributed to p servers, we draw the picture like this:



Here  $R_1$  is the fragment of R stored on server 1, etc

$$R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \cdots \cup R_P$$

## Uniform Load and Skew

- $|\mathbf{R}| = \mathbf{N}$  tuples, then  $|\mathbf{R}_1| + |\mathbf{R}_2| + ... + |\mathbf{R}_p| = \mathbf{N}$
- We say the load is uniform when:
  |R<sub>1</sub>| ≈ |R<sub>2</sub>| ≈ ... ≈ |R<sub>p</sub>| ≈ N/p
- Skew means that some load is much larger: max<sub>i</sub> |R<sub>i</sub>| >> N/p

We design algorithms for uniform load, discuss skew later

## Parallel Algorithm

• Selection  $\sigma$ 

• Join ⋈

• Group by y

## **Parallel Selection**

Data:  $R(\underline{K}, A, B, C)$ Query:  $\sigma_{A=v}(R)$ , or  $\sigma_{v1 < A < v2}(R)$ 

- Block partitioned:
  - All servers must scan and filter the data
- Hash partitioned:
  - Can have all servers scan and filter the data
  - Or can optimize and only have some servers do work
- Range partitioned
  - Also only some servers need to do the work

## Parallel GroupBy

Data: $R(\underline{K}, A, B, C)$ Query: $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ 

- Discuss in class how to compute in each case:
- R is hash-partitioned on A
- R is block-partitioned or hash-partitioned on K

## Parallel GroupBy

Data: $R(\underline{K}, A, B, C)$ Query: $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ 

- Discuss in class how to compute in each case:
- R is hash-partitioned on A
  - Each server i computes locally  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R_i)$
- R is block-partitioned or hash-partitioned on K
  - Need to reshuffle data on A first (next slide)
  - Then compute locally  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R_i)$

Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C)

Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ 

• R is block-partitioned or hash-partitioned on K



Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C)

Query: γ<sub>A,sum(C)</sub>(R)

• R is block-partitioned or hash-partitioned on K



- Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C)
- Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$
- R is block-partitioned or hash-partitioned on K



- Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C)
- Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$
- R is block-partitioned or hash-partitioned on K



- Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C)
- Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$
- R is block-partitioned or hash-partitioned on K



Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C)

Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ 

• R is block-partitioned or hash-partitioned on K



- Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C)
- Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$
- R is block-partitioned or hash-partitioned on K



Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C) Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ 

Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C) Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ 

**Step 0**: [Optimization] each server i computes local group-by:  $T_i = \gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R_i)$ 

Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C) Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ 

**Step 0**: [Optimization] each server i computes local group-by:  $T_i = \gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R_i)$ 

**Step 1**: partitions tuples in  $T_i$  using hash function h(A):  $T_{i,1}, T_{i,2}, ..., T_{i,p}$ then send fragment  $T_{i,j}$  to server j

Data: R(<u>K</u>, A, B, C) Query:  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ 

**Step 0**: [Optimization] each server i computes local group-by:  $T_i = \gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R_i)$ 

**Step 1**: partitions tuples in  $T_i$  using hash function h(A):  $T_{i,1}, T_{i,2}, ..., T_{i,p}$ then send fragment  $T_{i,j}$  to server j

**Step 2**: receive fragments, union them, then group-by  $R_{j}^{'} = T_{1,j} \cup ... \cup T_{p,j}$ Answer<sub>j</sub> =  $\gamma_{A, sum(C)} (R_{j}^{'})$ 

# Example Query with Group By

# Example Query with Group By

### SELECT a, sum(b) as sb FROM R WHERE c > 0 GROUP BY a

γ a, sum(b)→sb | σ<sub>c>0</sub> | R

# Example Query with Group By

Machine 2



Machine 1

1/3 of R

 $\gamma$  a, sum(b) $\rightarrow$ sb  $\sigma_{c>0}$ R Machine 3

















## **Pushing Aggregates Past Union**

The rule that allowed us to do early summation is:

 $\gamma_{A,sum(B)\to C}(R_1 \cup R_2) =$ 

 $= \gamma_{A,sum(D) \rightarrow B}(\gamma_{A,sum(B) \rightarrow D}(R_1) \cup \gamma_{A,sum(B) \rightarrow D}(R_2))$ 

For example:

- $R_1$  has  $B = x, y, z; R_2$  has B = u, w
- Then: x+y+z+u+w = (x+y+z) + (u+w)

## Pushing Aggregates Past Union

Which other rules can we push past union?

- Sum?
- Count?
- Avg?
- Max?
- Median?

## **Pushing Aggregates Past Union**

### Which other rules can we push past union?

- Sum?
- Count?
- Avg?
- Max?
- Median?

Distributive	Algebraic	Holistic
$sum(a_1+a_2++a_9)=sum(sum(a_1+a_2+a_3)+sum(a_4+a_5+a_6)+sum(a_7+a_8+a_9))$	avg(B) = sum(B)/count(B)	median(B)

## Speedup and Scaleup

Consider the query  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ Assume the local runtime for group-by is linear O(|R|)

If we double number of nodes P, what is the runtime?

If we double both P and size of R, what is the runtime?

## Speedup and Scaleup

Consider the query  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ Assume the local runtime for group-by is linear O(|R|)

If we double number of nodes P, what is the runtime?

• Half (chunk sizes become <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>)

If we double both P and size of R, what is the runtime?

• Same (chunk sizes remain the same)

## Speedup and Scaleup

Consider the query  $\gamma_{A,sum(C)}(R)$ Assume the local runtime for group-by is linear O(|R|)

If we double number of nodes P, what is the runtime?

• Half (chunk sizes become <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>)

If we double both P and size of R, what is the runtime?

• Same (chunk sizes remain the same)

#### But only if the data is without skew!
Data:R(K1,A, C), S(K2, B, D)Query: $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$ 



Initially, R and S are block partitioned. Notice: they may be stored in DFS (recall MapReduce)

Data:R(K1,A, C), S(K2, B, D)Query: $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$ 



Initially, R and S are block partitioned. Notice: they may be stored in DFS (recall MapReduce)

Data:R(K1,A, C), S(K2, B, D)Query: $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$ 



Initially, R and S are block partitioned. Notice: they may be stored in DFS (recall MapReduce)

# Parallel Join: $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$ Data:R(K1,A,C), S(K2, B, D)Query: $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$



Initially, R and S are block partitioned. Notice: they may be stored in DFS (recall MapReduce)

- Step 1
  - Every server holding any chunk of R partitions its chunk using a hash function h(t.A)
  - Every server holding any chunk of S partitions its chunk using a hash function h(t.B)
- Step 2:
  - Each server computes the join of its local fragment of R with its local fragment of S

# **Optimization for Small Relations**

- When joining R and S
- If |R| >> |S|
  - Leave R where it is
  - Replicate entire S relation across nodes
- Also called a small join or a broadcast join







. . .





DATA516/CSED516 - Fall 2020



. . .









# **Example Query Execution**

Find all orders from today, along with the items ordered











Order(oid, item, date), Line(item, ...)



#### Example 2

SELECT \* FROM R, S, T WHERE R.b = S.c AND S.d = T.e AND (R.a - T.f) > 100



#### $\dots$ WHERE R.b = S.c AND S.d = T.e AND (R.a - T.f) > 100



DATA516/CSED516 - Fall 2020

#### ... WHERE R.b = S.c AND S.d = T.e AND (R.a - T.f) > 100



... WHERE R.b = S.c AND S.d = T.e AND (R.a - T.f) > 100



... WHERE R.b = S.c AND S.d = T.e AND (R.a - T.f) > 100



... WHERE R.b = S.c AND S.d = T.e AND (R.a - T.f) > 100



# Skew

#### Skew

- Skew in the input: a data value has much higher frequency than others
- Skew in the output: a server generates many more values than others, e.g. join
- Skew in the computation

# Simple Skew Handling Techniques

For range partition:

- Ensure each range gets same number of tuples
- E.g.:  $\{1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \rightarrow [1,2]$  and [3,6]
- Eq-depth v.s. eq-width histograms

# Simple Skew Handling Techniques

Skew in the computation:

- Create more partitions than nodes

   "virtual servers"
- And be smart about scheduling the partitions
- Note: MapReduce uses this technique

#### **Skew for Hash Partition**

Relation R(A,B,C,...), we hash-partition on A If A is a key: we expect a uniform partition

#### **Skew for Hash Partition**

Relation R(A,B,C,...), we hash-partition on A If A is a key: we expect a uniform partition If A is not a key:

- Some value A=v may occur very many times
  - The "Justin Bieber" effect 🙂

- v is called a "heavy hitter"

#### **Skew for Hash Partition**

Relation R(A,B,C,...), we hash-partition on A If A is a key: we expect a uniform partition If A is not a key:

- Some value A=v may occur very many times
  - The "Justin Bieber" effect 🙂
  - v is called a "heavy hitter"
- All records with same value v are hashed to the same server i
- Partition R<sub>i</sub> is much larger than |R|/p; skew!!

#### Discussion

Distributed joins: usually hash- or broadcast-join Heavy hitter values will significantly degrade performance of a hash-join

- Observation 1: there are "few" heavy hitter values (why?)
- **Observation 2**: we can compute the heavy hitter values rather easily (how?)

Rest of the lecture: How many times can v occur before it is a heavy hitter?

# **Analyzing Heavy Hitters**

- We will discuss how to choose the threshold such that a value that occurs more times than the threshold becomes a "heavy hitters"
- This analysis is based on Cernoff bounds, which is a general technique that is useful in statistics and randomized algorithm

- We hash-partition them to P nodes
- When is the partitioning uniform?

- We hash-partition them to P nodes
- When is the partitioning uniform?
   Uniform: each node has O(N/P) items

- We hash-partition them to P nodes
- When is the partitioning uniform?
   Uniform: each node has O(N/P) items
   Skew: some node has >> N/P items

- We hash-partition them to P nodes
- When is the partitioning uniform?
   Uniform: each node has O(N/P) items
   Skew: some node has >> N/P items
- 1. Due to the hash function h, or
- 2. Due to skew in the data

#### Role of the Hash Function

Assume  $v_1, ..., v_N$  are distinct Hash function computes  $h(v_i) \in \{1,...,P\}$ 

- If h is <u>fixed</u> then we can find bad items that will overload one server; how?
- If h is <u>random</u>: <u>balls-in-bins</u> problem; we analyze it using the Cernoff bound

Note: very many variants

# The Cernoff Bound

Bernoulli r.v.:  $X_1, ..., X_N \in \{0,1\}$ For all i,  $Pr(X_i = 1) = \mu \in (0,1)$ We are interested in  $Y = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ 

Fact:  $E[Y] = N\mu$ Theorem (Cernoff bound)  $Pr(Y > (1 + \delta)E[Y]) \le exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{3}E[Y]\right)$ 

#### Role of the Hash Function

Fix one server j;

Define indicator variables:  

$$X_1 = [h(v_1) = j], \dots, X_N = [h(v_N) = j]$$
  
 $Pr(X_1 = 1) = \dots = Pr(X_N = 1) = 1/P$ 

Load of server j: Load(j) =  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ Expected load: E[Load(j)] = N/P
Load of server j: Load(j) =  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ Expected load: E[Load(j)] =  $\frac{N}{P}$ 

Load of server j: Load(j) =  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ Expected load: E[Load(j)] =  $\frac{N}{P}$  Why?

**Case 1**:  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  distinct; then  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  are iid.

Load of server j: Load(j) =  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ Expected load: E[Load(j)] =  $\frac{N}{P}$  Why?

**Case 1**: v<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>N</sub> distinct; then  $X_1, ..., X_N$  are iid. Skew at j Cernoff:  $\Pr\left(\text{Load}(j) > (1 + \delta)\frac{N}{P}\right) \le exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{3}\frac{N}{P}\right)$ 

Load of server j: Load(j) =  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ Expected load: E[Load(j)] =  $\frac{N}{P}$  Why?

**Case 1**: v<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>N</sub> distinct; then  $X_1, ..., X_N$  are iid. Skew at j Cernoff:  $Pr\left(Load(j) > (1 + \delta)\frac{N}{P}\right) \le exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{3}\frac{N}{P}\right)$ Union bound:  $Pr(Skew) \le P \cdot exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{3}\frac{N}{P}\right)$ Skew at 1 or at 2 ... or at P

**Case 1**:  $v_1, ..., v_N$  distinct:  $Pr(Skew) \le P \cdot exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2 N}{3 P}\right)$ 

Discussion: usually N >> P

**Case 1**: v<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>N</sub> distinct:  $Pr(Skew) \le P \cdot exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2 N}{3 P}\right)$ 

Discussion: usually N >> P

• E.g. want load/server < 30% above expected, then  $\delta = 0.3$  Assume N=10<sup>9</sup> and P=10<sup>3</sup>

**Case 1**: v<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>N</sub> distinct:  $Pr(Skew) \le P \cdot exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2 N}{3 P}\right)$ 

Discussion: usually N >> P

• E.g. want load/server < 30% above expected, then  $\delta = 0.3$  Assume N=10<sup>9</sup> and P=10<sup>3</sup>  $Pr(Skew) \le 1000 \cdot e^{-\frac{0.09}{3}10^6} = 1000 \cdot e^{-3 \cdot 10^4} \approx 0$ 

**Case 1**:  $v_1, ..., v_N$  distinct:  $Pr(Skew) \le P \cdot exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{3}\frac{N}{P}\right)$ 

Discussion: usually N >> P

• Start worrying only when  $N \approx P \ln P$  (why?)

- Don't write your own has function!
- Randomize it (how?)
- Make sure N >> P (if not, why parallelize?)
- Then Load = O(N/P)

Take away: a good hash function shall not cause skew!

**Case 2**: v<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>N</sub> have duplicates Call v<sub>i</sub> a <u>heavy hitter</u> if it occurs >> N/P times

**Case 2**:  $v_1$ , ...,  $v_N$  have duplicates Call  $v_i$  a <u>heavy hitter</u> if it occurs >> N/P times

Fact if there exists a heavy hitter, then there exists a server j s.t. Load(j)  $\gg \frac{N}{P}$ 

**Case 2**:  $v_1$ , ...,  $v_N$  have duplicates Call  $v_i$  a <u>heavy hitter</u> if it occurs >> N/P times

Fact if there exists a heavy hitter, then there exists a server j s.t. Load(j)  $\gg \frac{N}{P}$ 

Therefore: Pr(*Skew*)=1

**Case 2**:  $v_1$ , ...,  $v_N$  have duplicates Call  $v_i$  a <u>heavy hitter</u> if it occurs >> N/P times

Fact if there exists a heavy hitter, then there exists a server j s.t. Load(j)  $\gg \frac{N}{P}$ 

Therefore: Pr(Skew)=1

No hash function can handle heavy hitters

**Case 3**:  $v_1, ..., v_N$  have duplicates, no heavy hitters Assume each value occurs  $\frac{N}{cP}$  times, for c > 1 $\underbrace{v_1, v_1, ..., v_1}_{\frac{N}{cP}}, \underbrace{v_2, v_2, ..., v_2}_{\frac{N}{cP}}, ...$ 

**Case 3**: v<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>N</sub> have duplicates, no heavy hitters Assume each value occurs  $\frac{N}{cP}$  times, for c > 1  $v_1, v_1, ..., v_1, v_2, v_2, ..., v_2, ...$  $X_1 = [h(v_1) = j], X_2 = [h(v_2) = j], ...$  cP distinct values

**Case 3**:  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  have duplicates, no heavy hitters Assume each value occurs  $\frac{N}{cP}$  times, for c > 1 $v_1, v_1, \dots, v_1, v_2, v_2, \dots, v_2, \dots$  $X_1 = [h(v_1) = j], X_2 = [h(v_2) = j], \dots$  $Y = \sum_{i} X_{i}$  E[Y] = c  $Load(j) = Y \frac{N}{cP}$  $\Pr(\text{Skew}) \leq P \cdot \Pr(Y > (1 + \delta)E[Y])$ 

**Case 3**:  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  have duplicates, no heavy hitters Assume each value occurs  $\frac{N}{cP}$  times, for c > 1 $v_1, v_1, \dots, v_1, v_2, v_2, \dots, v_2, \dots$  $X_1 = [h(v_1) = j], X_2 = [h(v_2) = j], \dots$  $Y = \sum_{i} X_{i}$  E[Y] = c  $Load(j) = Y \frac{N}{cP}$  $\Pr(\text{Skew}) \leq \mathbf{P} \cdot \Pr(Y > (1 + \delta)E[Y]) \leq \mathbf{P} \cdot exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2 c}{3}\right)$ 

**Case 3**:  $v_1, \ldots, v_N$  have duplicates, no heavy hitters Assume each value occurs  $\frac{N}{cP}$  times, for c > 1 $v_1, v_1, \dots, v_1, v_2, v_2, \dots, v_2, \dots$  $X_1 = [h(v_1) = j], X_2 = [h(v_2) = j], \dots$  $Y = \sum_{i} X_{i}$  E[Y] = c  $Load(j) = Y \frac{N}{cP}$  $\Pr(\text{Skew}) \le \mathbb{P} \cdot \Pr(Y > (1 + \delta)E[Y]) \le \mathbb{P} \cdot exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2 c}{3}\right)$ Need  $c \ge \ln P$ 

## Discussion

Use library hash function! Randomize!

- When each value occurs  $\leq \frac{N}{P \cdot ln P}$  times, then  $Load \leq (1 + \delta) \frac{N}{P}$  with high probability
- When some value occurs  $\gg \frac{N}{P}$  times, the load will be skewed
- Gray area: when values occur  $\approx \frac{N}{P}$  times: it can be shown that  $Load \approx \frac{N \cdot \ln(P)}{P}$

# SkewJoin

Main idea: separate the heavy hitters from the light hitters

- Hash join the light hitters: the partition is uniform because they are light
- Broadcast join the heavy hitters: works because there are very few heavy hitters

Query:  $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$ , R.A = foreign key, S.A=key

Query:  $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$ , R.A = foreign key, S.A=key

• Step 1: find the *heavy hitters* in R.A

- I.e. find the values v=R.A that occur  $\geq \frac{N}{P}$  times

– There are  $\leq$  P heavy hitters! Broadcast them

Query:  $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$ , R.A = foreign key, S.A=key

• Step 1: find the *heavy hitters* in R.A

- I.e. find the values v=R.A that occur  $\geq \frac{N}{P}$  times

– There are ≤ P heavy hitters! Broadcast them

• Step 2: each sever partitions locally:  $R = R_{light} \cup R_{heavy}, S = S_{light} \cup S_{heavy}$ Notice:  $|S_{heavy}| \le P$  (i.e. it is small)

Query:  $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$ , R.A = foreign key, S.A=key

• Step 1: find the *heavy hitters* in R.A

- I.e. find the values v=R.A that occur  $\geq \frac{N}{p}$  times

– There are ≤ P heavy hitters! Broadcast them

- Step 2: each sever partitions locally:  $R = R_{light} \cup R_{heavy}, S = S_{light} \cup S_{heavy}$ Notice:  $|S_{heavy}| \le P$  (i.e. it is small)
- Step 3: hash-join  $R_{light} \bowtie S_{light}$

Query:  $R \bowtie_{A=B} S$ , R.A = foreign key, S.A=key

• Step 1: find the *heavy hitters* in R.A

- I.e. find the values v=R.A that occur  $\geq \frac{N}{p}$  times

– There are ≤ P heavy hitters! Broadcast them

- Step 2: each sever partitions locally:  $R = R_{light} \cup R_{heavy}, S = S_{light} \cup S_{heavy}$ Notice:  $|S_{heavy}| \le P$  (i.e. it is small)
- Step 3: hash-join  $R_{light} \bowtie S_{light}$
- Step 4: broadcast join  $R_{heavy} \bowtie S_{heavy}$

## Discussion

- Many distributed query processors do not handle skew well
- (Project idea: how does your favorite engine handle skewed data?)
- In practice, you may need to partition skewed data manually