Distributed Training (Contd.)

Arvind Krishnamurthy

Material adapted from slides by Tianqi Chen & Zhihao Jia (CMU), Minjia Zhang (UIUC)

How can we parallelize ML training?

Goals:

- Scale with training data size (ensure that compute efficiency is high)
- Scale with model size (ensure that memory efficiency is high)

Approaches:

- Data parallelism
- Model parallelism (tensor & pipeline)

Training State

- Model parameters: eventually becomes the released model
- Gradients: how the loss function varies with small changes to parameters
- Optimizer state: maintains information about how parameters change over time
- Activations: intermediate results from the forward phase required for back-propagating gradients

Data Parallelism

- Approach:
 - Split data into batches for each training iteration
 - Further split batch into mini-batches, each processed by a separate node
 - Perform forward/backwards pass to generate per-node gradients
 - Accumulate gradients across nodes
 - Update parameters based on averaged gradients



1. Partition training data into batches

2. Compute the gradients of each batch on a GPU

3. Aggregate gradients across GPUs

Collectives Synchronous - Communication Handling

Compute Nodes directly talk to each other to globally reduce their gradients and update the model through *All-Reduce* communication pattern.



ALL-REDUCE Combine data from all senders; deliver the result to all participants

Other variants – ReduceScatter

Combine data from all senders; distribute result across participants



Other variants -- AllGather

Gather messages from all; deliver gathered data to all participants



Data Parallelism

- Does it achieve high compute efficiency?
- Does it achieve high memory efficiency as in being able to run large models?

Model parallelism

- No node maintains the entire model
- Two forms:
 - Tensor parallelism
 - Pipeline parallelism

Tensor Model Parallelism



• Partition parameters/gradients within a layer



Tensor Model Parallelism (partition output)



Tensor Parallelism

- Compute efficiency?
- Memory efficiency?

Pipeline Parallelism

- Divide a mini-batch into multiple micro-batches
- Pipeline the forward/backward computations across micro-batches
- Generally combined with model parallelism



Figure from GShard: Scaling Giant Models with Conditional Computation and Automatic Sharding

Pipeline Parallelism

- Compute efficiency?
- Memory efficiency?

Revisiting Data Parallelism

- How much can we achieve with just simple modifications to data parallelism?
- Can we improve DP's memory efficiency?



A 16-layer transformer model = 1 layer



Each cell represents GPU memory used by its corresponding transformer layer



• FP16 parameter



- FP16 parameter
- FP16 Gradients



- FP16 parameter
- FP16 Gradients
- FP32 Optimizer States
 - Gradients, Variance, Momentum, Parameters



- FP16 parameter : 2M bytes
- FP16 Gradients : 2M bytes
- FP32 Optimizer States : 16M bytes
 - Gradients, Variance, Momentum, Parameters

M = number of parameters in the model

Example 1B parameter model -> 20GB/GPU

Memory consumption doesn't include:

Input batch + activations

ZeRO-DP: ZeRO powered Data Parallelism

- ZeRO removes the redundancy across data parallel process
- Stage 1: partitioning optimizer states
- Stage 2: partitioning gradients







ZeRO Stage 1



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs
- Run Forward across the transformer blocks



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs
- Run Forward across the transformer blocks



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs
- Run Forward across the transformer blocks



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs
- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs
- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs
- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs
- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients and ReduceScatter to average



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs
- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients and ReduceScatter to average



- ZeRO Stage 1
- Partitions optimizer states across GPUs
- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients and ReduceScatter to average
- Update the FP32 weights with ADAM optimizer



- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients and ReduceScatter to average
- Update the FP32 weights with ADAM optimizer



- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients and ReduceScatter to average
- Update the FP32 weights with ADAM optimizer
- Update the FP16 weights



- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients and ReduceScatter to average
- Update the FP32 weights with ADAM optimizer
- Update the FP16 weights
- All Gather the FP16 weights to complete the iteration



- Run Forward across the transformer blocks
- Backward propagation to generate FP16 gradients and AllReduce to average
- Update the FP32 weights with ADAM optimizer
- Update the FP16 weights
- All Gather the FP16 weights to complete the iteration

ZeRO: Zero Redundancy Optimizer

- Progressive memory savings and communication volume
- Turning NLR 17.2B is powered by Stage 1 and Megatron





- Partitioning gradients across GPUs
- The forward process remains the same as stage 1



- Partitioning gradients across GPUs
- Perform Reduce right after back propagation of each layer



- Partitioning gradients across GPUs
- Only one GPU keeps the gradients after Reduce



- Partitioning gradients across GPUs
- Reduce gradients on GPUs responsible for updating parameters



- Partitioning gradients across GPUs
- Reduce gradients on GPUs responsible for updating parameters

ZeRO: Zero Redundancy Optimizer

- Progressive memory savings and communication volume
- Turning NLR 17.2B is powered by Stage 1 and Megatron



In data parallel training, all GPUs keep <u>all</u> parameters during training



• In ZeRO, model parameters are partitioned across GPUs



- In ZeRO, model parameters are partitioned across GPUs
- GPUs broadcast their parameters during forward (allgather)



- In ZeRO, model parameters are partitioned across GPUs
- Parameters are discarded right after use



- In ZeRO, model parameters are partitioned across GPUs
- GPUs broadcast their parameters again during backward





ZeRO: Zero Redundancy Optimizer

- ZeRO has three different stages
- Progressive memory savings and communication volume



Memory Footprint of Large Models – Activations

L - number of transformer layers

s - sequence length

h - hidden dimension size

a - number of attention heads

b - batch size

p - precision



476 Memory 238 119 59 29 14 12 4 8 16 32

Batch size

GPU memory required for storing activations for 10B model

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2205.05198.pdf

Activation Stashing vs Checkpointing

• Stashing of activations is the baseline strategy here

Drawing inspired by https://github.com/cybertronai/gradient-checkpointing

Activation Stashing vs Checkpointing

- Stashing of activations is the baseline strategy here
- Activation checkpointing is a technique used for reducing the memory footprint at the cost of more compute



Drawing inspired by https://github.com/cybertronai/gradient-checkpointing

These nodes are being recomputed and kept in memory temporarily (not all at the same time)

Summary

- Forms of parallelism
 - Data parallelism
 - Model parallelism
- Memory optimizations in data parallelism
 - ZeRO: zero redundancy optimizer
 - Reduce activation storage by activation checkpointing