Bayesian Filtering

Dieter Fox

Probabilistic Robotics

Key idea: Explicit representation of uncertainty

(using the calculus of probability theory)

- Perception = state estimation
- Control = utility optimization

Bayes Filters: Framework

- **Given:**
  - Stream of observations *z* and action data *u:*

$$d_t = \{u_1, z_2 \ldots, u_{t-1}, z_t\}$$

  - Sensor model *P(z|x).*
  - Action model *P(x|u,x')*.
  - Prior probability of the system state *P(x).*
- **Wanted:**
  - Estimate of the state *X* of a dynamical system.
  - The posterior of the state is also called **Belief**:

$$Bel(x_t) = P(x_t \mid u_1, z_2 \ldots, u_{t-1}, z_t)$$

Markov Assumption



$$p(z_t \mid x_{0:t}, z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) = p(z_t \mid x_t)$$
$$p(x_t \mid x_{1:t-1}, z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) = p(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, u_t)$$

Underlying Assumptions

- Static world
- Independent noise
- Perfect model, no approximation errors

Bayes Filters

z = observation
u = action
x = state

$$Bel(x_t) = P(x_t \mid u_1, z_2 \ldots, u_{t-1}, z_t)$$

Bayes
$$= \eta\ P(z_t \mid x_t, u_1, z_2, \ldots, u_{t-1})\ P(x_t \mid u_1, z_2, \ldots, u_{t-1})$$

Markov
$$= \eta\ P(z_t \mid x_t)\ P(x_t \mid u_1, z_2, \ldots, u_{t-1})$$

Total prob.
$$= \eta\ P(z_t \mid x_t) \int P(x_t \mid u_1, z_2, \ldots, u_{t-1}, x_{t-1})\ P(x_{t-1} \mid u_1, z_2, \ldots, u_{t-1})\ dx_{t-1}$$

Markov
$$= \eta\ P(z_t \mid x_t) \int P(x_t \mid u_{t-1}, x_{t-1})\ P(x_{t-1} \mid u_1, z_2, \ldots, u_{t-1})\ dx_{t-1}$$

$$= \eta\ P(z_t \mid x_t) \int P(x_t \mid u_{t-1}, x_{t-1})\ Bel(x_{t-1})\ dx_{t-1}$$

Bayes Filters are Familiar!

$$Bel(x_t) = \eta\ P(z_t \mid x_t) \int P(x_t \mid u_t, x_{t-1})\ Bel(x_{t-1})\ dx_{t-1}$$

- Kalman filters
- Particle filters
- Hidden Markov models
- Dynamic Bayesian networks
- Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes (POMDPs)

Localization

"Using sensory information to locate the robot in its environment is the most fundamental problem to providing a mobile robot with autonomous capabilities." [Cox '91]

- **Given**
  - Map of the environment.
  - Sequence of sensor measurements.
- **Wanted**
  - Estimate of the robot's position.
- **Problem classes**
  - Position tracking
  - Global localization
  - Kidnapped robot problem (recovery)

Bayes Filters for Robot Localization



Probabilistic Kinematics

- Odometry information is inherently noisy.



Proximity Measurement

- Measurement can be caused by …
  - a known obstacle.
  - cross-talk.
  - an unexpected obstacle (people, furniture, …).
  - missing all obstacles (total reflection, glass, …).
- Noise is due to uncertainty …
  - in measuring distance to known obstacle.
  - in position of known obstacles.
  - in position of additional obstacles.
  - whether obstacle is missed.

Mixture Density



$$P(z \mid x,m) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\text{hit}} \\ \alpha_{\text{unexp}} \\ \alpha_{\text{max}} \\ \alpha_{\text{rand}} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} P_{\text{hit}}(z \mid x,m) \\ P_{\text{unexp}}(z \mid x,m) \\ P_{\text{max}}(z \mid x,m) \\ P_{\text{rand}}(z \mid x,m) \end{pmatrix}$$

Model parameters learned via EM

Approximation Results



Representations for Bayesian Robot Localization



Discrete Grid Filters

Piecewise Constant Representation



Grid-based Localization



Sonars and Occupancy Grid Map





Tree-based Representation

Idea: Represent density using a variant of Octrees



Tree-based Representations

- Efficient in space and time
- Multi-resolution



Particle Filters

Particle Filters

- Represent belief by random samples
- Estimation of non-Gaussian, nonlinear processes

- Monte Carlo filter, Survival of the fittest, Condensation, Bootstrap filter, Particle filter

- Filtering: [Rubin, 88], [Gordon et al., 93], [Kitagawa 96]
- Computer vision: [Isard and Blake 96, 98]
- Dynamic Bayesian Networks: [Kanazawa et al., 95]d

Importance Sampling



Weight samples: $w = f / g$

Particle Filter Algorithm

$$Bel(x_t) = \eta\, p(z_t \mid x_t) \int p(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, u_{t-1})\, Bel(x_{t-1})\, dx_{t-1}$$

draw x^i_{t-1} from $Bel(x_{t-1})$

draw x^i_t from $p(x_t \mid x^i_{t-1}, u_{t-1})$

Importance factor for x^i_t:

$$w^i_t = \frac{\text{target distribution}}{\text{proposal distribution}}$$

$$= \frac{\eta\; p(z_t \mid x_t)\; p(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, u_{t-1})\; Bel\;(x_{t-1})}{p(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, u_{t-1})\; Bel\;(x_{t-1})}$$

$$\propto p(z_t \mid x_t)$$

Particle Filters



Sensor Information: Importance Sampling

$$Bel(x) \leftarrow \alpha\ p(z \mid x)\ Bel^{-}(x)$$

$$w \leftarrow \frac{\alpha\ p(z \mid x)\ Bel^{-}(x)}{Bel^{-}(x)} = \alpha\ p(z \mid x)$$



Robot Motion

$$Bel^{-}(x) \leftarrow \int p(x \mid u, x')\ Bel(x')\ \mathrm{d}x'$$



Sensor Information: Importance Sampling

$$Bel(x) \leftarrow \alpha\ p(z \mid x)\ Bel^{-}(x)$$

$$w \leftarrow \frac{\alpha\ p(z \mid x)\ Bel^{-}(x)}{Bel^{-}(x)} = \alpha\ p(z \mid x)$$



Robot Motion

$$Bel^{-}(x) \leftarrow \int p(x \mid u, x')\ Bel(x')\ \mathrm{d}x'$$



Sample-based Localization (sonar)



Using Ceiling Maps for Localization

[Dellaert et al. 99]

Vision-based Localization



Global Localization Using Vision



Localization for AIBO robots



Adaptive Sampling



KLD-Sampling: Sonar



[NIPS-01, IJRR-03]

KLD-Sampling: Laser



Kalman Filters

Bayes Filter Reminder

- Prediction

$$\overline{bel}(x_t) = \int p(x_t \mid u_t, x_{t-1})\, bel(x_{t-1})\, dx_{t-1}$$

- Correction

$$bel(x_t) = \eta\, p(z_t \mid x_t)\, \overline{bel}(x_t)$$

Gaussians

$p(x) \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

Univariate



$p(\mathbf{x}) \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})}$$

Multivariate



Gaussians and Linear Functions



Kalman Filter Updates in 1D

$$\overline{bel}(x_t) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mu}_t = a_t \mu_{t-1} + b_t u_t \\ \overline{\sigma}_t^2 = a_t^2 \sigma_t^2 + \sigma_{act,t}^2 \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad K_t = \frac{\overline{\sigma}_t^2}{\overline{\sigma}_t^2 + \overline{\sigma}_{obs,t}^2}$$

$$\overline{bel}(x_t) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t \\ \overline{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad K_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t (C_t \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$$



Kalman Filter Algorithm

1. Algorithm **Kalman_filter**(μ_{t-1}, Σ_{t-1}, u_t, z_t):

2. Prediction:
3. $\overline{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$
4. $\overline{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$

5. Correction:
6. $K_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t (C_t \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$
7. $\mu_t = \overline{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \overline{\mu}_t)$
8. $\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \overline{\Sigma}_t$

9. Return μ_t, Σ_t

Nonlinear Dynamic Systems

- Most realistic robotic problems involve nonlinear functions

$$x_t = g(u_t, x_{t-1})$$

$$z_t = h(x_t)$$

Linearity Assumption Revisited



Non-linear Function



EKF Linearization (1)



EKF Linearization (2)



EKF Linearization (3)



Particle Filter Projection



Density Extraction



Sampling Variance



EKF Algorithm

1. **Extended_Kalman_filter**($\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t$):

2. Prediction:
3. $\bar{\mu}_t = g(u_t, \mu_{t-1})$ $\longleftarrow$ $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$
4. $\bar{\Sigma}_t = G_t \Sigma_{t-1} G_t^T + R_t$ $\longleftarrow$ $\bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$

5. Correction:
6. $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t H_t (H_t \bar{\Sigma}_t H_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$ $\longleftarrow$ $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$
7. $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - h(\bar{\mu}_t))$ $\longleftarrow$ $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t)$
8. $\Sigma_t = (I - K_t H_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t$ $\longleftarrow$ $\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t$
9. Return μ_t, Σ_t

$$H_t = \frac{\partial h(\bar{\mu}_t)}{x_t} \qquad G_t = \frac{\partial g(u_t, \mu_{t-1})}{x_{t-1}}$$

Landmark-based Localization



EKF Prediction Step



EKF Observation Prediction Step



EKF Correction Step



Estimation Sequence (1)



Estimation Sequence (2)



Comparison to GroundTruth



EKF Summary

- Highly efficient: Polynomial in measurement dimensionality k and state dimensionality n:

  $$O(k^{2.376} + n^2)$$

- Not optimal!
- Can diverge if nonlinearities are large!
- Works surprisingly well even when all assumptions are violated!

Linearization via Unscented Transform



EKF UKF

UKF Sigma-Point Estimate (2)



EKF UKF

UKF Sigma-Point Estimate (3)



EKF UKF

Unscented Transform

Sigma points

$$\chi^0 = \mu$$

$$\chi^i = \mu \pm \left(\sqrt{(n+\lambda)\Sigma}\right)_i$$

Weights

$$w_m^0 = \frac{\lambda}{n+\lambda} \qquad w_c^0 = \frac{\lambda}{n+\lambda} + (1-\alpha^2+\beta)$$

$$w_m^i = w_c^i = \frac{1}{2(n+\lambda)} \qquad \text{for } i = 1,\ldots,2n$$

Pass sigma points through nonlinear function

$$\psi^i = g(\chi^i)$$

Recover mean and covariance

$$\mu' = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_m^i \psi^i$$

$$\Sigma' = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_c^i (\psi^i - \mu)(\psi^i - \mu)^T$$

UKF Prediction Step



UKF Observation Prediction Step



UKF Correction Step



EKF Correction Step



Estimation Sequence



EKF



PF



UKF

Estimation Sequence



EKF



UKF

Prediction Quality



EKF



UKF

UKF Summary

- Highly efficient: Same complexity as EKF, with a constant factor slower in typical practical applications
- Better linearization than EKF: Accurate in first two terms of Taylor expansion (EKF only first term)
- Derivative-free: No Jacobians needed
- Still not optimal!

Rao-Blackwellised Particle Filters

Ball Tracking in RoboCup



- Extremely noisy (nonlinear) motion of observer
- Inaccurate sensing, limited processing power
- Interactions between target and

Goal: Unified framework for modeling the ball and its interactions.

t

Tracking Techniques

- Kalman Filter
  - Highly efficient, robust (even for nonlinear)
  - Uni-modal, limited handling of nonlinearities
- Particle Filter
  - Less efficient, highly robust
  - Multi-modal, nonlinear, non-Gaussian
- Rao-Blackwellised Particle Filter, MHT
  - Combines PF with KF
  - Multi-modal, highly efficient

Dynamic Bayes Network for Ball Tracking



Robot Location



Robot and Ball Location (and velocity)



Ball-Environment Interactions



Ball-Environment Interactions



Integrating Discrete Ball Motion Mode



Grab Example (1)



Grab Example (2)



Inference: Posterior Estimation



$$p(b_k, m_k, r_k \mid z^b_{1:k}, z^l_{1:k}, u_{1:k-1})$$

Rao-Blackwellised PF for Inference

§ Represent posterior by random samples

§ Each sample

$$s_i = \langle r_i, m_i, b_i \rangle = \langle \langle x, y, \theta \rangle_i, m_i, \langle \mu, \Sigma \rangle_i \rangle$$

contains robot location, ball mode, ball Kalman filter

§ Generate individual components of a particle stepwise using the factorization

$$p(b_k, m_{1:k}, r_{1:k} \mid z_{1:k}, u_{1:k-1}) =$$
$$p(b_k \mid m_{1:k}, r_{1:k}, z_{1:k}, u_{1:k-1})\, p(m_{1:k} \mid r_{1:k}, z_{1:k}, u_{1:k-1}) \cdot p(r_{1:k} \mid z_{1:k}, u_{1:k-1})$$

Rao-Blackwellised Particle Filter for Inference



§ Draw a sample from the previous sample set:

$$\langle r_{k-1}^{(i)}, m_{k-1}^{(i)}, b_{k-1}^{(i)} \rangle$$

Generate Robot Location



$$r_k^{(i)} \sim p(r_k \mid r_{k-1}^{(i)}, m_{k-1}^{(i)}, b_{k-1}^{(i)}, z_k, u_{k-1}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\langle r_k^{(i)}, \_, \_ \right\rangle$$

Generate Ball Motion Model



$$m_k^{(i)} \sim p(m_k \mid r_k^{(i)}, m_{k-1}^{(i)}, b_{k-1}^{(i)}, z_k, u_{k-1}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\langle r_k^{(i)}, m_k^{(i)}, \_ \right\rangle$$

Update Ball Location and Velocity



$$b_k^{(i)} \sim p(b_k \mid r_k^{(i)}, m_k^{(i)}, b_{k-1}^{(i)}, z_k) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\langle r_k^{(i)}, m_k^{(i)}, b_k^{(i)} \right\rangle$$

Importance Resampling

§ Weight sample by

$$w_k^{(i)} \propto p(z_k^l \mid r_k^{(i)})$$

if observation is landmark detection and by

$$w_k^{(i)} \propto \sum_{M_k} p(z_k^b \mid M_k, r_k^{(i)}, b_{k-1}^{(i)}) \, p(M_k \mid r_k^{(i)}, m_{k-1}^{(i)}, b_{k-1}^{(i)}, u_{k-1})$$

if observation is ball detection.

§ Resample

Ball-Environment Interaction



Ball-Environment Interaction



Tracking and Finding the Ball

- Cluster ball samples by discretizing pan / tilt angles
- Uses negative information





Experiment: Real Robot

- Robot kicks ball 100 times, tries to find it afterwards
- Finds ball in 1.5 seconds on average



Simulation Runs



Comparison to KF* (optimized for straight motion)



Comparison to KF' (inflated prediction noise)



Orientation Errors



Conclusions

- Bayesian filters are the most successful technique in robotics (vision?)
- Many instances (Kalman, particle, grid, MHT, RBPF, …)
- Special case of dynamic Bayesian networks
- Recently: hierarchical models