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## Camera Calibration



The idea is to snap images at different depths and get a lot of 2D-3D point correspondences.
$\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{y} 1, \mathrm{z} 1, \mathrm{u} 1, \mathrm{v} 1$ $x 2, y 2, z 1, u 2, v 2$
$x n, y n, z n, u n, v n$

Then solve a system of equations to get camera parameters.

## Camera Parameters

A camera is described by several parameters

- Translation T of the optical center from the origin of world coords
- Rotation R of the image plane
- focal length $f$, principal point ( $x_{c}^{\prime}, y_{c}^{\prime}$ ), pixel size $\left(s_{x}, s_{y}\right)$
- blue parameters are called "extrinsics," red are "intrinsics"
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Projection equation
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- The projection matrix models the cumulative effect of all parameters
- Useful to decompose into a series of operations
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- Useful to decompose into a series of operations
- The definitions of these parameters are not completely standardized
- especially intrinsics-varies from one book to another


## Stereo



## Amount of horizontal movement is ...

...inversely proportional to the distance from the camera


## Depth from Stereo

- Goal: recover depth by finding image coordinate $x^{\prime}$ that corresponds to $x$



## Depth from disparity



See Chapter 12 of Shapiro and Stockman Text.

$$
\text { disparity }=x-x^{\prime}=\frac{B \cdot f}{z}
$$

Disparity is inversely proportional to depth.

## Depth from Stereo

- Goal: recover depth by finding image coordinate $x^{\prime}$ that corresponds to x
- Sub-Problems

1. Calibration: How do we recover the relation of the cameras (if not already known)?
2. Correspondence: How do we search for the matching point $x^{\prime}$ ?


## Correspondence Problem



- We have two images taken from cameras with different intrinsic and extrinsic parameters
- How do we match a point in the first image to a point in the second? How can we constrain our search?


## Key idea: Epipolar constraint



Potential matches for $x$ have to lie on the corresponding line $l^{\prime}$.

Potential matches for $x^{\prime}$ have to lie on the corresponding line $I$.

## Epipolar geometry: notation



- Baseline - line connecting the two camera centers
- Epipoles
= intersections of baseline with image planes
= projections of the other camera center
- Epipolar Plane - plane containing baseline (1D family)


## Epipolar geometry: notation



- Baseline - line connecting the two camera centers
- Epipoles
= intersections of baseline with image planes
= projections of the other camera center
- Epipolar Plane - plane containing baseline (1D family)
- Epipolar Lines - intersections of epipolar plane with image planes (always come in corresponding pairs)


## Example: Converging cameras



## Example: Motion parallel to image plane



## Epipolar constraint: Calibrated case



- Assume that the intrinsic and extrinsic parameters of the cameras are known
- We can multiply the projection matrix of each camera (and the image points) by the inverse of the calibration matrix to get normalized image coordinates
- We can also set the global coordinate system to the coordinate system of the first camera. Then the projection matrices of the two cameras can be written as $[\mathbf{I} \mid \mathbf{0}]$ and $[\mathbf{R} \mid \mathbf{t}]$


## Simplified Matrices for the 2 Cameras

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=(\mathbf{I} \mid \mathbf{0}) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l|l}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\
\hline \mathbf{0} & 1
\end{array}\right)=(\mathrm{R} \mid \mathrm{T})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Epipolar constraint: Calibrated case



The vectors $R x, t$, and $x^{\prime}$ are coplanar

## Epipolar constraint: Calibrated case



## Epipolar constraint: Calibrated case



- $\boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{x}$ is the epipolar line associated with $\boldsymbol{x}\left(I^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{x}\right)$
- $\boldsymbol{E}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ is the epipolar line associated with $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{I}=\boldsymbol{E}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)$
- $\boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{e}=0$ and $\boldsymbol{E}^{\top} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}=0$
- $\boldsymbol{E}$ is singular (rank two)
- $E$ has five degrees of freedom


## Moving on to stereo...

## Fuse a calibrated binocular stereo pair to produce a depth image

image 1

image 2


Dense depth map


Many of these slides adapted frbom Steve Seitz and Lana Lazebnik

## Stereo image rectification

- Reproject image planes onto a common plane parallel to the line between camera centers
- Pixel motion is horizontal after this transformation
- Two homographies ( $3 \times 3$ transform), one for each input image reprojection
> C. Loop and Z. Zhang. Computing Rectifying Homographies for Stereo Vision. IEEE Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 1999.


## Example

Unrectified


Rectified



- Slide a window along the right scanline and compare contents of that window with the reference window in the left image
- Matching cost: SSD, SAD, or normalized correlation


## Correspondence search



Norm. corr

## Results with window search <br> Data



Window-based matching
Ground truth


## Using more than two images



Multi-View Stereo for Community Photo Collections M. Goesele, N. Snavely, B. Curless, H. Hoppe, S. Seitz
 Proceedings of ICCV 2007,

## 3D model

- "Digital copy" of real object
- Allows us to
- Inspect details of object
- Measure properties
- Reproduce in different material
- Many applications
- Cultural heritage preservation
- Computer games and movies
- City modelling
- E-commerce



## Applications: cultural heritage

SCULPTEUR European project


## Applications: art



Block Works Precipitate III 2004
Mild steel blocks $80 \times 46 \times 66 \mathrm{~cm}$


Domain Series Domain VIII Crouching 1999 Mild steel bar $81 \times 59 \times 63 \mathrm{~cm}$


## Applications: structure engineering



BODY / SPACE / FRAME, Antony Gormley, Lelystad, Holland


## Applications: 3D indexation



## Applications: archaeology

## - "forma urbis romae" project

## Fragments of the City: Stanford's Digital Forma Urbis Romae Project

David Koller, Jennifer Trimble, Tina Najbjerg, Natasha Gelfand, Marc Levoy
Proc. Third Williams Symposium on Classical Architecture, Journal of Roman Archaeology supplement, 2006.
forma urbis romae

















1186 fragments









## Applications: large scale modelling


[Furukawa10]



## Applications: Medicine



| expert's order | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| images |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| learning | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 10 |
| a-lmk | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 10 |
| mirror | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| m-lmk | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 8 |
| plane | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 8 |

## Scanning technologies

- Laser scanner, coordinate measuring machine
- Very accurate
- Very Expensive
- Complicated to use


Contura CMM

## Medical Scanning System



## The "Us" Data Set (subset)



## 3d shape from photographs

"Estimate a 3d shape that would generate the input photographs given the same material, viewpoints and illumination"


## Photometric Stereo

- Estimate the surface normals of a given scene given multiple 2D images taken from the same viewpoint, but under different lighting conditions.
- Basic photometric stereo required a Lambertian reflectance model:

$$
\mathrm{I}=\rho \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}
$$

where I is pixel intensity, $\mathbf{n}$ is the normal, $\mathbf{v}$ is the lighting direction, and $\rho$ is diffuse albedo constant, which is a reflection coefficient.

## Basic Photometric Stereo

(a)


## Basic Photometric Stereo



## Basic Photometric Stereo

- K light sources
- Lead to K images $R_{1}(p, q), \ldots, R_{K}(p, q)$ each from just one of the light sources being on
- For any $(p, q)$, we get $K$ intensities $I_{1}, \ldots I_{K}$
- Leads to a set of linear equations of the form

$$
I_{k}=\rho n \bullet v_{k}
$$

- Solving leads to a surface normal map.


## Photometric Stereo

Inputs

3D normals


## 3d shape from photographs

## Photograph based 3d reconstruction is:

$\checkmark$ practical
$\checkmark$ fast
$\checkmark$ non-intrusive
$\checkmark$ low cost
$\checkmark$ Easily deployable outdoors
$x$ "low" accuracy
$\times$ Results depend on material properties

## Reconstruction

- Generic problem formulation: given several images of the same object or scene, compute a representation of its 3D shape



## Reconstruction

- Generic problem formulation: given several images of the same object or scene, compute a representation of its 3D shape
- "Images of the same object or scene"
- Arbitrary number of images (from two to thousands)
- Arbitrary camera positions (camera network or video sequence)
- Calibration may be initially unknown
- "Representation of 3D shape"
- Depth maps
- Meshes
- Point clouds
- Patch clouds
- Volumetric models
- Layered models


## Multiple-baseline stereo


M. Okutomi and T. Kanade, "A Multiple-Baseline Stereo System," IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 15(4):353-363 (1993).

## Reconstruction from silhouettes

- Can be computed robustly
- Can be computed efficiently

$\pi$ 3



## Reconstruction from Silhouettes

- The case of binary images: a voxel is photoconsistent if it lies inside the object's silhouette in all views

Binary Images


## Reconstruction from Silhouettes

- The case of binary images: a voxel is photoconsistent if it lies inside the object's silhouette in all views


## Binary Images



Finding the silhouette-consistent shape (visual hull):

- Backproject each silhouette
- Intersect backprojected volumes


## Calibrated Image Acquisition



Calibrated Turntable


Selected Dinosaur Images


Selected Flower Images


- Space Carving Algorithm
- Initialize to a volume V containing the true scene
- Choose a voxel on the outside of the volume

Project to visible input images
Carve if not photo-consistent (inside object's silhouette)
K. N. Kutulakos and S. M. Seitz, $\underline{\text { A Theory of Shape by Space Carving, ICCV } 1999}$

## Our 4-camera light-striping stereo system

(now deceased)


## Calibration Object

The idea is to snap images at different depths and get a lot of 2D-3D point correspondences.


## Surface Modoling and Display from Range and Color Data

| Kari Pulli | UW |
| :--- | :--- |
| Michael Chen | MSR |
| Tom Duchamp | UW |
| Hugues Hoppe | MSR |
| John | MCDonald |
| UW |  |
| Linda Shapiro | UW |
| Werner Stuetzle | UW |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { UW }= & \text { University of washington } \\
& \text { Seattle, wA USA } \\
M S R= & \text { Microsoft Research } \\
& \text { Redmond, wA USA }
\end{array}
$$

## Introdiction

## Goal

- develop robust algorithms for constructing 3D models from range \& color data
- use those models to produce realistic renderings of the scanned objects



## Surface Reconstuction

Step 1: Data acquisition
Obtain range data that covers the object. Filter, remove background.
Step 2: Registration
Register the range maps into a common coordinate system.

Step 3: Integration
Integrate the registered range data into a single surface representation.
Step 4: Optimization
Fit the surface more accurately to the data, simplify the representation.

## Carve space in cubes



## Label cubes

- Project cube to image plane (hexagon)
- Test against data in the hexagon


## 3D space is made up of many cubes.



OUTSIDE
one of many cubes in virtual 3D cube space

## Scveral views

Processing order: FOR EACH cube FOR EACH View


Rules:
any view thinks cube's out $\Rightarrow$ it's out
every view thinks cube's in
$\Rightarrow$ it's in
else
$\Rightarrow$ it's at boundary

## Hfierarchical space carving

- Big cubes $\Rightarrow$ fast, poor results
- Small cubes $\Rightarrow$ slow, more accurate results
- Combination $=$ octrees

RULES: •cube's out $\Rightarrow$ done

- cube's in $\Rightarrow$ done
- else $\quad \Rightarrow$ recurse
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- Small cubes $\Rightarrow$ slow, more accurate results
- Combination $=$ octrees
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## The rest of the chair



## Sane for a busky pup

1


4


5

6


## Optinining the dad mesh



Registered points


Initial mesh


Optimized mesh

## View dependent textuning



## our viewer



## More: Space Carving Results: African Violet



Imput Tmage (1 of 45)
Reconstruction


More: Space Carving Results: Hand


## Stereo from community photo collections

- Up to now, we've always assumed that camera calibration is known
- For photos taken from the Internet, we need structure from motion techniques to reconstruct both camera positions and 3D points.



Head Reconstruction from Uncalibrated Internet Photos

- Input: Internet photos in different poses and expressions

- Output: 3D model of the head



# Recognizing Deformable Shapes 

Salvador Ruiz Correa (CSE/EE576 Computer Vision I)

## Goal

- We are interested in developing algorithms for recognizing and classifying deformable object shapes from range data.

- This is a difficult problem that is relevant in several application fields.


## What Kind Of Deformations?



## Component-Based Methodology



## Numeric Signatures



## The Spin Image Signature

$P$ is the selected vertex.
$X$ is a contributing point of the mesh.

$\alpha$ is the perpendicular distance from $X$ to $P^{\prime}$ s surface normal.
$\beta$ is the signed perpendicular distance from $X$ to $P$ 's tangent plane.

## Spin Image Construction

- A spin image is constructed
- about a specified oriented point o of the object surface
- with respect to a set of contributing points $C$, which is controlled by maximum distance and angle from o.
- It is stored as an array of accumulators $S(\alpha, \beta)$ computed via:
- For each point c in C(o)

1. compute $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for $c$.
2. increment $S(\alpha, \beta)$


## Numeric Signatures: Spin Images



- Rich set of surface shape descriptors.
- Their spatial scale can be modified to include local and non-local surface features.
- Representation is robust to scene clutter and occlusions.


## Components



## How To Extract Shape Class Components?

Training Set


Component Detector $\sqrt{1}$

Grown components around seeds


## Component Extraction Example

## Selected 8 seed points by hand



Grow one region at the time (get one detector per component)

Labeled<br>Surface Mesh

Region
Growing


Detected components on a training sample

## How To Combine Component Information?



## Symbolic Signatures



## Symbolic Signature



## Symbolic Signatures Are Robus $\dagger$ To Deformations



Relative position of components is stable across deformations:
experimental evidence

## Architecture of Classifiers



Learns Components And Their Geometric Relationships

3
Symbolic Signatures

## Proposed Architecture

Verify spatial configuration of the components


Two classification stages

## Experimental Validation

> Recognition Tasks: 4 (T1-T4) Classification Tasks: 3 (T5-T7)

No. Experiments: 5470


Recognition


Classification

## Shape Classes



## Enlarging Training Sets Using Virtual

 SamplesMorphs


Global Morphing Operators


## Task 1: Recognizing Single Objects (1)

- No. Shape classes: 9.
- Training set size: 400 meshes.
- Testing set size: 200 meshes.
- No. Experiments: 1960.
- No. Component detectors:3.
- No. Symbolic signature detectors: 1.
- Numeric signature size: $40 \times 40$.
- Symbolic signature size: 20x20.
- No clutter and occlusion.


## Task 1: Recognizing Single Objects (2)

- Snowman: 93\%.
- Rabbit: 92\%.
- Dog: 89\%.
- Cat: 85.5\%.
- Cow: 92\%.
- Bear: 94\%.
- Horse: 92.7\%.
- Human head: 97.7\%.
- Human face: 76\%.


Recognition rates (true positives)
(No clutter, no occlusion, complete models)

## Main Contributions (2)

- A region growing algorithm for learning shape class components.
- A novel architecture of classifiers for abstracting the geometry of a shape class.
- A validation of our methodology in a set of large scale recognition and classification experiments aimed at applications in scene analysis and medical diagnosis.

