

CSE 573: Artificial Intelligence


Hanna Hajishirzi




slides adapted from
Dan Klein, Pieter Abbeel ai.berkeley.edu
And Dan Weld, Luke Zettlemoyer

Search

- Agents that Plan Ahead
- Search Problems
- Uninformed Search Methods
 - Depth-First Search
 - Breadth-First Search
 - Uniform-Cost Search




Agents that Plan




Reflex Agents


- Reflex agents:
 - Choose action based on current percept (and maybe memory)
 - May have memory or a model of the world's current state
 - Do not consider the future consequences of their actions
 - **Consider how the world IS**
- *Can a reflex agent be rational?*



Video of Demo Reflex Optimal




Video of Demo Reflex Odd




Planning Agents


- Planning agents:
 - Ask “what if”
 - Decisions based on (hypothesized) consequences of actions
 - Must have a model of how the world evolves in response to actions
 - Must formulate a goal (test)
 - **Consider how the world WOULD BE**
- Optimal vs. complete planning
- Planning vs. replanning



Video of Demo Replanning



Video of Demo Mastermind




Search Problems




Search Problems

- A **search problem** consists of:

- A state space




- A successor function
(with actions, costs)




- A start state and a goal test
- A **solution** is a sequence of actions (a plan) which transforms the start state to a goal state

Search: it is not just for agents

Route
Planning



Hardware
verification




Planning optimal
repair sequences



- Search:
Modeling the world




Example: Traveling in Romania



- State space:
 - Cities
- Successor function:
 - Roads: Go to adjacent city with cost = distance
- Start state:
 - Arad
- Goal test:
 - Is state == Bucharest?
- Solution?

What's in a State Space?

The **world state** includes every last detail of the environment



A **search state** keeps only the details needed for planning (abstraction)


- **Problem: Pathing**
 - States: (x,y) location
 - Actions: NSEW
 - Successor: update location only
 - Goal test: is $(x,y) = \text{END}$
- **Problem: Eat-All-Dots**
 - States: $\{(x,y), \text{dot booleans}\}$
 - Actions: NSEW
 - Successor: update location and possibly a dot boolean
 - Goal test: dots all false

Parsing Natural Language

- Input:

- Set of states
- Operations
- Start state
- Goal state (test)


This lecture is about search algorithms.



- Output:

State Space Sizes?


- World state:
 - Agent positions: 120
 - Food count: 30
 - Ghost positions: 12
 - Agent facing: NSEW
- How many
 - World states?
 $120 \times (2^{30}) \times (12^2) \times 4$
 - States for pathing?
120
 - States for eat-all-dots?
 $120 \times (2^{30})$



State Representation


- Real-world applications:
 - Requires approximations and heuristics
 - Need to design state representation so that search is feasible
 - Only focus on important aspects of the state
 - E.g., Use features to represent world states

Safe Passage




- Problem: eat all dots while keeping the ghosts perma-scared
- What does the state space have to specify?
 - (agent position, dot booleans, power pellet booleans, remaining scared time)

State Space Graphs and Search Trees




State Space Graphs

- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
 - Nodes are (abstracted) world configurations
 - Arcs represent successors (action results)
 - The goal test is a set of goal nodes (maybe only one)
- In a state space graph, each state occurs only once!
- We can rarely build this full graph in memory (it's too big), but it's a useful idea




Search Trees




- A search tree:
 - The start state is the root node
 - Children correspond to successors
 - Nodes show states, but correspond to PLANS that achieve those states
 - **For most problems, we can never actually build the whole tree**

State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees




Each NODE in in the search tree is an entire PATH in the state space graph.

We construct both on demand – and we construct as little as possible.



State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees

Consider this 4-state graph:




How big is its search tree (from S)?




State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees

Consider this 4-state graph:




How big is its search tree (from S)?




Important: Lots of repeated structure in the search tree!


Tree Search



Search Example: Romania



Searching with a Search Tree



- Search:
 - Expand out potential plans (tree nodes)
 - Maintain a **fringe** of partial plans under consideration
 - Try to expand as few tree nodes as possible


General Tree Search

```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) returns a solution, or failure
  initialize the search tree using the initial state of problem
  loop do
    if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure
    choose a leaf node for expansion according to strategy
    if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
    else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree
  end
```

- Important ideas:
 - Fringe
 - Expansion
 - Exploration strategy
- Main question: which fringe nodes to explore?

Recap: Search

- Search problem:
 - States (configurations of the world)
 - Actions and costs
 - Successor function (world dynamics)
 - Start state and goal test
- Search tree:
 - Nodes: represent plans for reaching states
- Search algorithm:
 - Systematically builds a search tree
 - Chooses an ordering of the fringe (unexplored nodes)



Search Algorithms

- Uninformed Search Methods
 - Depth-First Search
 - Breadth-First Search
 - Uniform-Cost Search
- Heuristic Search Methods
 - Best First / Greedy Search
 - A*

Depth-First Search





Depth-First Search


Strategy: expand a deepest node first

Implementation:

Fringe is a LIFO stack



Search Algorithm Properties



Search Algorithm Properties


- Complete: Guaranteed to find a solution if one exists?
- Optimal: Guaranteed to find the least cost path?
- Time complexity?
- Space complexity?

- Cartoon of search tree:

- b is the branching factor
- m is the maximum depth
- solutions at various depths


- Number of nodes in entire tree?

- $1 + b + b^2 + \dots + b^m = O(b^m)$




Depth-First Search (DFS) Properties

- What nodes DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time $O(b^m)$
- How much space does the fringe take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so $O(bm)$
- Is it complete?
 - m could be infinite, so only if we prevent cycles (more later)
- Is it optimal?
 - No, it finds the "leftmost" solution, regardless of depth or cost





Breadth-First Search



Breadth-First Search


Strategy: expand a shallowest node first

Implementation: Fringe is a **FIFO queue**




Breadth-First Search (BFS) Properties

- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time $O(b^s)$
- How much space does the fringe take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^s)$
- Is it complete?
 - s must be finite if a solution exists, so yes!
- Is it optimal?
 - Only if costs are all 1 (more on costs later)




BFS

Algorithm		Complete	Optimal	Time	Space
DFS	w/ Path Checking	Y	N	$O(b^m)$	$O(bm)$
BFS		Y	Y*	$O(b^s)$	$O(b^s)$




Quiz: DFS vs BFS




- When will BFS outperform DFS?
- When will DFS outperform BFS?

Iterative Deepening

- Idea: get DFS's space advantage with BFS's time / shallow-solution advantages
 - Run a DFS with depth limit 1. If no solution...
 - Run a DFS with depth limit 2. If no solution...
 - Run a DFS with depth limit 3.
- Isn't that wastefully redundant?
 - Generally most work happens in the lowest level searched, so not so bad!




Cost-Sensitive Search



BFS finds the shortest path in terms of number of actions. It does not find the least-cost path. We will now cover a similar algorithm which does find the least-cost path.

How?



Uniform Cost Search



Uniform Cost Search


Strategy: *expand a cheapest node first:*

Fringe is a priority queue
(priority: cumulative cost)





Uniform Cost Search (UCS) Properties

- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ϵ , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ϵ
 - Takes time $O(b^{C^*/\epsilon})$ (exponential in effective depth)
- How much space does the fringe take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{C^*/\epsilon})$
- **Is it complete?**
 - Assuming best solution has a finite cost and minimum arc cost is positive, yes!
- **Is it optimal?**
 - Yes!




Uniform Cost Issues


- Remember: UCS explores increasing cost contours
- The good: UCS is complete and optimal!
- The bad:
 - Explores options in every "direction"
 - No information about goal location
- We'll fix that soon!




Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- DFS, BFS, or UCS? (part 1)



Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- DFS, BFS, or UCS? (part 2)




Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- DFS, BFS, or UCS? (part 3)




The One Queue

- All these search algorithms are the same except for fringe strategies
 - Conceptually, all fringes are priority queues (i.e. collections of nodes with attached priorities)
 - Practically, for DFS and BFS, you can avoid the $\log(n)$ overhead from an actual priority queue, by using stacks and queues
 - Can even code one implementation that takes a variable queuing object



Search and Models

- Search operates over models of the world
 - The agent doesn't actually try all the plans out in the real world!
 - Planning is all "in simulation"
 - Your search is only as good as your models...



To Do:

- Try python practice (PS 0)
 - Won't be graded
- PS 1 on the website
 - Start ASAP
 - Submission: Canvas
- Website:
 - Do readings for search algorithms
 - Try this search visualization tool
 - <http://qiao.github.io/PathFinding.js/visual/>