CSE 573: Artificial Intelligence Winter 2019

Adversarial Search

Hanna Hajishirzi

Based on slides from Dan Klein, Luke Zettlemoyer

Many slides over the course adapted from either Stuart Russell or Andrew Moore

Outline

- Adversarial Search
 - Minimax search
 - α-β search
 - Evaluation functions

Game Playing State-of-the-Art

- Checkers: Chinook ended 40-year-reign of human world champion Marion Tinsley in 1994. Used an endgame database defining perfect play for all positions involving 8 or fewer pieces on the board, a total of 443,748,401,247 positions. 2007: Checkers is now solved!
- Chess: Deep Blue defeated human world champion Gary Kasparov in a six-game match in 1997. Deep Blue examined 200 million positions per second, used very sophisticated evaluation and undisclosed methods for extending some lines of search up to 40 ply. Current programs are even better, if less historic.
- **Othello:** Human champions refuse to compete against computers, which are too good.
- Go: DeepMind AlphaGo beat European champions (2 dan) in 2015 and (9 dan) in 2016 using deep reinforcement learning. In go, b > 300, previously most programs use pattern knowledge bases to suggest plausible moves, along with aggressive pruning.
- Pacman: unknown

Adversarial Search



Game Playing

- Many different kinds of games!
- Choices:
 - Deterministic or stochastic?
 - One, two, or more players?
 - Perfect information (can you see the state)?
- Want algorithms for calculating a strategy (policy) which recommends a move in each state



Deterministic Games

- Many possible formalizations, one is:
 - States: S (start at s₀)
 - Players: P={1...N} (usually take turns)
 - Actions: A (may depend on player / state)
 - Transition Function: $S \times A \rightarrow S$
 - Terminal Test: $S \rightarrow \{t, f\}$
 - Terminal Utilities: $S \times P \rightarrow R$
- Solution for a player is a policy: $S \rightarrow A$

Single-Agent Trees



Value of States



Deterministic Single-Player

- Deterministic, single player, perfect information:
 - Know the rules, action effects, winning states
 - E.g. Freecell, 8-Puzzle, Rubik's cube
- … it's just search!
- Slight reinterpretation:
 - Each node stores a value: the best outcome it can reach
 - This is the maximal outcome of its children (the max value)
 - Note that we don't have path sums as before (utilities at end)
- After search, can pick move that leads to best node



Multi-agent Games





Zero-Sum Games

- Agents have opposite utilities (values on outcomes)
- Lets us think of a single value that one maximizes and the other minimizes
- Adversarial, pure competition

General Games

- Agents have independent utilities (values on outcomes)
- Cooperation, indifference, competition, & more are possible
- More later on non-zero-sum games

Adversarial Game Trees



Minimax Values



V(s) =known

Deterministic Two-Player

- E.g. tic-tac-toe, chess, checkers
- Zero-sum games
 - Agents have opposite utilities
 - One player maximizes result
 - The other minimizes result

Minimax search

- A state-space search tree
- Players alternate
- Choose move to position with highest minimax value = best achievable utility against best play



Tic-tac-toe Game Tree



Minimax Example



Minimax Search

- function MAX-VALUE(state) returns a utility value if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state) $v \leftarrow -\infty$ for a, s in SUCCESSORS(state) do $v \leftarrow MAX(v, MIN-VALUE(s))$ return v
- function MIN-VALUE(state) returns a utility value if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state) $v \leftarrow \infty$ for a, s in SUCCESSORS(state) do $v \leftarrow MIN(v, MAX-VALUE(s))$ return v

Minimax Properties

- Optimal?
 - Yes, against perfect player. Otherwise?
- Time complexity?
 - O(b^m)
- Space complexity?
 - O(bm)



- For chess, $b \approx 35$, $m \approx 100$
 - Exact solution is completely infeasible
 - But, do we need to explore the whole tree?