CSE 573: Artificial Intelligence Winter 2019

Bayes Nets

Hanna Hajishirzi

Many slides adapted from Pieter Abbeel, Dan Klein, Dan Weld, Stuart Russell, Andrew Moore & Luke Zettlemoyer

Probabilistic Models

- Models describe how (a portion of) the world works
- Models are always simplifications
 - May not account for every variable
 - May not account for all interactions between variables
 - "All models are wrong; but some are useful."
 - George E. P. Box
- What do we do with probabilistic models?
 - We (or our agents) need to reason about unknown variables, given evidence
 - Example: explanation (diagnostic reasoning)
 - Example: prediction (causal reasoning)

Bayes' Nets: Big Picture

- Two problems with using full joint distribution tables as our probabilistic models:
 - Unless there are only a few variables, the joint is WAY too big to represent explicitly
 - Hard to learn (estimate) anything empirically about more than a few variables at a time
- Bayes' nets: a technique for describing complex joint distributions (models) using simple, local distributions (conditional probabilities)
 - More properly called graphical models
 - We describe how variables locally interact
 - Local interactions chain together to give global, indirect interactions

Example Bayes' Net: Car



Example Bayes' Net: Insurance



Graphical Model Notation

- Nodes: variables (with domains)
 - Can be assigned (observed) or unassigned (unobserved)



- Arcs: interactions
 - Indicate "direct influence" between variables
 - Formally: encode conditional independence (more later)
- For now: imagine that arrows mean direct causation (in general, they don't!)



Example: Coin Flips

N independent coin flips



No interactions between variables: absolute independence

Example: Traffic

- Variables:
 - R: It rains
 - T: There is traffic
- Model 1: independence









Which one is better?

Example: Alarm Network

- Variables
 - B: Burglary
 - A: Alarm goes off
 - M: Mary calls
 - J: John calls
 - E: Earthquake!



Bayes' Net Semantics

- A set of nodes, one per variable X
- A directed, acyclic graph
- A conditional distribution for each node
 - A collection of distributions over X, one for each combination of parents' values

 $P(X|a_1\ldots a_n)$

- CPT: conditional probability table
- Description of a noisy "causal" process



A Bayes net = Topology (graph) + Local Conditional Probabilities

Probabilities in BNs



- Bayes' nets implicitly encode joint distributions
 - As a product of local conditional distributions
 - To see what probability a BN gives to a full assignment, multiply all the relevant conditionals together:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$$

• Example:

P(+cavity, +catch, -toothache)



Probabilities in BNs

Why are we guaranteed that setting

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$$

results in a proper joint distribution?

• Chain rule (valid for all distributions):

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | x_1 \dots x_{i-1})$$

<u>Assume</u> conditional independences:

$$P(x_i|x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}) = P(x_i|parents(X_i))$$

$$\rightarrow$$
 Consequence: $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$

- Not every BN can represent every joint distribution
 - The topology enforces certain conditional independencies

Example: Coin Flips



P(h, h, t, h) = P(X1 = h)P(X2 = h)P(X3 = t)P(X4 = h)

Only distributions whose variables are absolutely independent can be represented by a Bayes ' net with no arcs.

Example: Traffic



Example: Alarm Network



-b

-b

-b

+e

-е

-e

-a

+a

-a

0.71

0.001

0.999

$$P(+b, -e, +a, -j, +m) =$$

Example: Alarm Network



Example: Traffic

Causal direction



+r	+t	3/16
+r	-t	1/16
-r	+t	6/16
-r	-t	6/16

Example: Reverse Traffic

Reverse causality?



P(T,R)

+r	+t	3/16
+r	-t	1/16
-r	+t	6/16
-r	-t	6/16

Causality?

When Bayes' nets reflect the true causal patterns:

- Often simpler (nodes have fewer parents)
- Often easier to think about
- Often easier to elicit from experts
- BNs need not actually be causal
 - Sometimes no causal net exists over the domain (especially if variables are missing)
 - E.g. consider the variables *Traffic* and *Drips*
 - End up with arrows that reflect correlation, not causation
- What do the arrows really mean?
 - Topology may happen to encode causal structure
 - Topology really encodes conditional independence

 $P(x_i|x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}) = P(x_i|parents(X_i))$

Bayes' Nets

- A Bayes' net is an efficient encoding of a probabilistic model of a domain
- Questions we can ask:
 - Inference: given a fixed BN, what is P(X | e)?
 - Representation: given a BN graph, what kinds of distributions can it encode?
 - Modeling: what BN is most appropriate for a given domain?



Bayes' Net Semantics

- A directed, acyclic graph, one node per random variable
- A conditional probability table (CPT) for each node
 - A collection of distributions over X, one for each combination of parents' values

 $P(X|a_1\ldots a_n)$

- Bayes' nets implicitly encode joint distributions
 - As a product of local conditional distributions
 - To see what probability a BN gives to a full assignment, multiply all the relevant conditionals together:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$$

Bayes' Nets



Probabilistic Inference

Conditional Independences

Learning Bayes' Nets from Data

Inference

 Inference: calculating some useful quantity from a joint probability distribution

• Examples:

Posterior probability

$$P(Q|E_1 = e_1, \dots E_k = e_k)$$

Most likely explanation:

 $\operatorname{argmax}_q P(Q = q | E_1 = e_1 \dots)$

Inference by Enumeration

- General case:
 - Evidence variables:
 - Query* variable:
 - Hidden variables:
- $E_{1} \dots E_{k} = e_{1} \dots e_{k}$ Q $H_{1} \dots H_{r}$ $X_{1}, X_{2}, \dots X_{n}$ All variables

 $P(Q, e_1 \dots e_k) = \sum_{h_1 \dots h_r} P(\underbrace{Q, h_1 \dots h_r, e_1 \dots e_k}_{X_1, X_2, \dots X_n})$

• We want:

* Works fine with multiple query variables, too

 $P(Q|e_1 \dots e_k)$

 Step 1: Select the entries consistent with the evidence

-3

-1

5

0

Pa

0.05

0.25

0.2

0.01

0.07

Step 2: Sum out H to get joint of Query and evidence

Step 3: Normalize



 $Z = \sum_{q} P(Q, e_1 \cdots e_k)$ $P(Q|e_1 \cdots e_k) = \frac{1}{Z} P(Q, e_1 \cdots e_k)$

Inference by Enumeration in Bayes' Net

- Given unlimited time, inference in BNs is easy
- Reminder of inference by enumeration by example:

 $P(B \mid +j,+m) \propto_B P(B,+j,+m)$

e,a

$$= \sum_{e,a} P(B, e, a, +j, +m)$$



= P(B)P(+e)P(+a|B,+e)P(+j|+a)P(+m|+a) + P(B)P(+e)P(-a|B,+e)P(+j|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)PP(B)P(-e)P(+a|B,-e)P(+j|+a)P(+m|+a) + P(B)P(-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(+j|-a)P(+m|-a)P(+m|-a)P(-a|B,-e)P(+j|-a)P(-a|B,-e)P(+j|-a)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,-e)P(-a|B,

Size of a Bayes' Net

 How big is a joint distribution over N Boolean variables?

2^N

 How big is an N-node net if nodes have up to k parents?
O(N * 2^{k+1}) Both give you the power to calculate

 $P(X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n)$

- BNs: Huge space savings!
- Also easier to elicit local CPTs
- Also faster to answer queries (coming)