Congestion Control

Diya Joy and Peter Gunarso

Outline

- Congestion Control
 - Discussion: TCP
- QUIC: Successor to TCP?
 - Discussion: QUIC

Key Terms

- **TCP**: Transmission Control Protocol
- **Congestion**: Sudden drop in network performance
 - Happens when input rate > output rate
- **Congestion Control**: Techniques to manage congestion in a network
- **Equilibrium**: When input rate = output rate and windows are full of data
 - No congestion!

Equilibrium Challenges

- 1. Connection doesn't get to equilibrium
- 2. Sender breaks equilibrium by sending too many packets
- 3. Equilibrium can't be reached because of resource limits along path

1. Getting to Equilibrium

- A simple TCP connection is "self-clocking"
 - Client sends new packets when it gets acks
 - Sender sends new acks when it gets packets
- Bootstrapping problem how can we get to equilibrium

Slow Start

- Add a congestion window (cwnd)
- Start with a cwnd size of 1
- On each ack, increase cwnd by 1



Slow Start



2. Conservation at equilibrium

• Problem: packets that were delayed but will eventually arrive will be retransmitted, making the congestion worse

- TCP Solution: $R \leftarrow aR + (1-a)M$
 - Retransmit timer interval = $\Box R$
- Proposed solution: Estimate variance instead of using a fixed β value
 - Retransmit timer interval = mean + variance

RTT Estimation

Figure 5: Performance of an RFC793 retransmit timer



Figure 6: Performance of a Mean+Variance retransmit timer



3. Adapting to the path

- Timeouts probably come from congestion in the network
 - Packet loss becomes a good signal for congestion
 - No packet loss is a signal for no congestion
- Can we avoid congestion by reacting to a lost packet?

AIMD

- Additive increase, multiplicative decrease
 - Increase incrementally, decrease exponentially



AIMD

• Should be implemented in tandem with slow start



AIMD - Fairness



Summary

- Congestion control is all in implementation details
- We aim for equilibrium (packets in = packets out)
- Challenges and Solutions
 - $\circ \quad \ \ \mathsf{Getting} \ \mathsf{to} \ \mathsf{Equilibrium} \ \mathsf{ Slow} \ \mathsf{Start}$
 - $\circ \qquad \text{Maintaining Equilibrium} \rightarrow \text{RTT Estimation}$
 - \circ Adapting to Network Conditions \rightarrow AIMD

Discussion Question:

TCP is the standard in internet communication. What are some areas of improvement? Which situations would these improvements be useful in?



QUIC

The TCP Killer?

QUIC

- Protocol built on top of UDP
- Made to be equivalent to TCP with lower latency
- Supported by Chrome, Chrome derivatives, and Firefox



Figure 1: QUIC in the traditional HTTPS stack.

Where can TCP be beaten?

- Connection Handshakes
- Head-of-line delay
- Retransmission Ambiguity

TCP/TLS Handshakes



QUIC Handshakes



Figure 4: Timeline of QUIC's initial 1-RTT handshake, a subsequent successful 0-RTT handshake, and a failed 0-RTT handshake.

QUIC Handshake Latency



Figure 7: Comparison of handshake latency for $QUIC_g$ and TCP_g versus the minimum RTT of the connection. Solid lines indicate the mean handshake latency for all connections, including 0-RTT connections. The dashed line shows the handshake latency for only those $QUIC_g$ connections that did not achieve a 0-RTT handshake. Data shown is for Desktop connections, mobile connections look similar.

Stream Multiplexing

- Stream: Reliable bidirectional bytestream
 - TCP uses one stream per connection
- QUIC supports multiple streams per connection
 - Avoids head-of-line blocking in other streams when a packet is lost

Loss Recovery

- Retransmission Ambiguity
 - An issue in RTT estimation
 - Stems from TCP use of seq num
 - Conflates data ordering and packet transmission
 - transmiss
 - ACK sent for original packet, long RTT
- Case 2:

• ACK sent for retransmission, short RTT



QUIC Performance



More QUIC Features

- Granular Flow Control
 - Stream Level
 - Connection Level
- Completed authenticated and mostly encrypted packets
- Bring-your-own congestion control
 - TCP congestion control methods still apply

Discussion Question:

Is QUIC really the TCP killer?

Things to consider:

- TCP is very well established
- Any downsides of QUIC?
 - Any downsides of building on UDP?

