

Learning Theory

Machine Learning – CSE546

Carlos Guestrin

University of Washington

November 25, 2013

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

1

What now...

- We have explored **many** ways of learning from data
- But...
 - How good is our classifier, really?
 - How much data do I need to make it “good enough”?

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

2

A simple setting...

- Classification
 - N data points
 - **Finite** number of possible hypothesis (e.g., dec. trees of depth d)
- A learner finds a hypothesis h that is **consistent** with training data
 - Gets zero error in training – $\text{error}_{\text{train}}(h) = 0$
- What is the probability that h has more than ϵ true error?
 - $\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) \geq \epsilon$

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

3

How likely is a bad hypothesis to get N data points right?

- Hypothesis h that is **consistent** with training data → got N i.i.d. points right
 - h “bad” if it gets all this data right, but has high true error
- Prob. h with $\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) \geq \epsilon$ gets one data point right

- Prob. h with $\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) \geq \epsilon$ gets N data points right

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

4

But there are many possible hypothesis that are consistent with training data

How likely is learner to pick a bad hypothesis

- Prob. h with $\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) \geq \epsilon$ gets N data points right
- There are k hypothesis consistent with data
 - How likely is learner to pick a bad one?

Union bound

- $P(A \text{ or } B \text{ or } C \text{ or } D \text{ or } \dots)$

How likely is learner to pick a bad hypothesis

- Prob. a particular h with error_{true}(h) $\geq \epsilon$ gets N data points right
- There are k hypothesis consistent with data
 - How likely is it that learner will pick a bad one out of these k choices?

Generalization error in finite hypothesis spaces [Haussler '88]

- **Theorem:** Hypothesis space H finite, dataset D with N i.i.d. samples, $0 < \epsilon < 1$: for any learned hypothesis h that is consistent on the training data:

$$P(\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) > \epsilon) \leq |H|e^{-N\epsilon}$$

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

9

Using a PAC bound

- Typically, 2 use cases: $P(\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) > \epsilon) \leq |H|e^{-N\epsilon}$
 - 1: Pick ϵ and δ , give you N
 - 2: Pick N and δ , give you ϵ

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

10

Summary: Generalization error in finite hypothesis spaces [Haussler '88]

- **Theorem:** Hypothesis space H finite, dataset D with N i.i.d. samples, $0 < \epsilon < 1$: for any learned hypothesis h that is consistent on the training data:

$$P(\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) > \epsilon) \leq |H|e^{-N\epsilon}$$

Even if h makes zero errors in training data, may make errors in test

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

11

Limitations of Haussler '88 bound

- $P(\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) > \epsilon) \leq |H|e^{-N\epsilon}$

- Consistent classifier

- Size of hypothesis space

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

12

What if our classifier does not have zero error on the training data?

- A learner with **zero** training errors may make mistakes in test set
- What about a learner with $error_{train}(h)$ in training set?

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

13

Simpler question: What's the expected error of a hypothesis?

- The error of a hypothesis is like estimating the parameter of a coin!
- Chernoff bound: for N i.i.d. coin flips, x^1, \dots, x^N , where $x^j \in \{0, 1\}$. For $0 < \epsilon < 1$:

$$P\left(\theta - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N x^j > \epsilon\right) \leq e^{-2N\epsilon^2}$$

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

14

Using Chernoff bound to estimate error of a single hypothesis

$$P\left(\theta - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N x^j > \epsilon\right) \leq e^{-2N\epsilon^2}$$

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

15

But we are comparing many hypothesis: **Union bound**

For each hypothesis h_i :

$$P(\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h_i) - \text{error}_{\text{train}}(h_i) > \epsilon) \leq e^{-2N\epsilon^2}$$

What if I am comparing two hypothesis, h_1 and h_2 ?

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

16

Generalization bound for $|H|$ hypothesis

- **Theorem:** Hypothesis space H finite, dataset D with N i.i.d. samples, $0 < \epsilon < 1$: for any learned hypothesis h :

$$P(\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h_i) - \text{error}_{\text{train}}(h_i) > \epsilon) \leq e^{-2N\epsilon^2}$$

PAC bound and Bias-Variance tradeoff

$$P(\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) - \text{error}_{\text{train}}(h) > \epsilon) \leq e^{-2N\epsilon^2}$$

or, after moving some terms around,
with probability at least $1-\delta$:

$$\text{error}_{\text{true}}(h) \leq \text{error}_{\text{train}}(h) + \sqrt{\frac{\ln |H| + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{2N}}$$

- **Important: PAC bound holds for all h , but doesn't guarantee that algorithm finds best h !!!**

What about the size of the hypothesis space?

$$N \geq \frac{\ln |H| + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{2\epsilon^2}$$

- How large is the hypothesis space?

Boolean formulas with m binary features

$$N \geq \frac{\ln |H| + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{2\epsilon^2}$$



Number of decision trees of depth k

$$N \geq \frac{\ln |H| + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{2\epsilon^2}$$

Recursive solution

Given m attributes

H_k = Number of decision trees of depth k

$H_0 = 2$

H_{k+1} = (#choices of root attribute) *
(# possible left subtrees) *
(# possible right subtrees)

$$= m * H_k * H_k$$

Write $L_k = \log_2 H_k$

$L_0 = 1$

$L_{k+1} = \log_2 m + 2L_k$

So $L_k = (2^k - 1)(1 + \log_2 m) + 1$

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

21

PAC bound for decision trees of depth k

$$N \geq \frac{2^k \log m + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{\epsilon^2}$$

- Bad!!!

- Number of points is exponential in depth!

- But, for N data points, decision tree can't get too big...

Number of leaves never more than number data points

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

22

Number of Decision Trees with k Leaves

- Number of decision trees of depth k is really really big:
 - $\ln |H|$ is about $2^k \log m$
- Decision trees with up to k leaves:
 - $|H|$ is about $m^k k^{2k}$
 - A very loose bound

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

23

PAC bound for decision trees with k leaves – Bias-Variance revisited

$$\ln |H_{\text{DTs } k \text{ leaves}}| \leq 2k(\ln m + \ln k)$$

$$error_{true}(h) \leq error_{train}(h) + \sqrt{\frac{\ln |H| + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{2N}}$$

$$error_{true}(h) \leq error_{train}(h) + \sqrt{\frac{2k(\ln m + \ln k) + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{2N}}$$

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

24

What did we learn from decision trees?

- Bias-Variance tradeoff formalized

$$error_{true}(h) \leq error_{train}(h) + \sqrt{\frac{2k(\ln m + \ln k) + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{2N}}$$

- Moral of the story:
Complexity of learning not measured in terms of size hypothesis space, but in maximum *number of points* that allows consistent classification
 - Complexity N – no bias, lots of variance
 - Lower than N – some bias, less variance

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

25

What about continuous hypothesis spaces?

$$error_{true}(h) \leq error_{train}(h) + \sqrt{\frac{\ln |H| + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{2N}}$$

- Continuous hypothesis space:
 - $|H| = \infty$
 - Infinite variance???
- **As with decision trees, only care about the maximum number of points that can be classified exactly!**
 - **Called VC dimension... see readings for details**

©Carlos Guestrin 2005-2013

26

What you need to know

- Finite hypothesis space
 - Derive results
 - Counting number of hypothesis
 - Mistakes on Training data
- Complexity of the classifier depends on number of points that can be classified exactly
 - Finite case – decision trees
 - Infinite case – VC dimension
- Bias-Variance tradeoff in learning theory
- Remember: will your algorithm find best classifier?