CSE 544 Principles of Database Management Systems

Lectures 7 and 8 DBMS Architecture and Query Execution

Announcements

- Project proposals: please sign up for a 15' meeting on Friday
 - You will present your proposal (5')
 - We discuss it (5')
 - Additional questions/comments (5')
- Homework 2 is due on Friday
- Homework 3 is posted

Outline

- Architecture of a DBMS
- Steps involved in processing a query
- Operator implementations

Architecture of DBMS

• Reading: Architecture of a DBMS, chap. 1 and 2

Architecture of DBMS



Why Multiple Processes

- DBMS listens to requests from clients
- Each request = one SQL command
- Need to handle multiple requests concurrently, hence, multiple processes

Multiple Processes



CSE 544 - Winter 2018

Process Models

Discuss pro/cons for each model

- Process per DBMS worker
- Thread per DBMS worker
- Process pool

Admission Control



CSE 544 - Winter 2018

Outline

- Architecture of a DBMS
- Steps involved in processing a query
- Operator implementations

Query Optimization



CSE 544 - Winter 2018



Example Database Schema

Supplier(sno,sname,scity,sstate)
Part(pno,pname,psize,pcolor)
Supply(sno,pno,price)

View: Suppliers in Seattle

CREATE VIEW NearbySupp AS SELECT sno, sname FROM Supplier WHERE scity='Seattle' AND sstate='WA'

Example Query

• Find the names of all suppliers in Seattle who supply part number 2

SELECT sname FROM NearbySupp WHERE sno IN (SELECT sno FROM Supplies WHERE pno = 2)

Lifecycle of a Query (1)

• Step 0: admission control

- User connects to the db with username, password
- User sends query in text format

Step 1: Query parsing

- Parses query into an internal format
- Performs various checks using catalog:
 Correctness, authorization, integrity constraints

Step 2: Query rewrite

- View rewriting, flattening, decorrelation, etc.

View Rewriting, Flattening

Original query:

```
SELECT sname
FROM NearbySupp
WHERE sno IN ( SELECT sno
FROM Supplies
WHERE pno = 2)
```

View rewriting = view inlining = view expansion

Flattening = unnesting

```
Rewritten query:

SELECT S.sname

FROM Supplier S, Supplies U

WHERE S.scity='Seattle' AND S.sstate='WA'

AND S.sno = U.sno

AND U.pno = 2;
```

Decorrelation Supply(sno,pno,price)

Decorrelation



Decorrelation



Decorrelation



EXCEPT = set difference



Lifecycle of a Query (2)

Step 3: Query optimization

- Find an efficient query plan for executing the query
- We will spend next lecture on this topic

• A query plan is

- Logical query plan: an extended relational algebra tree
- Physical query plan: with additional annotations at each node

Extended Algebra Operators

- Union ∪, intersection ∩, difference -
- Selection σ
- **P**rojection π
- Join 🖂
- Duplicate elimination δ
- Grouping and aggregation γ
- Sorting τ
- Rename ρ







- Most optimizers operate on individual query blocks
- A query block is an SQL query with **no nesting**
 - Exactly one
 - SELECT clause
 - FROM clause
 - At most one
 - WHERE clause
 - GROUP BY clause
 - HAVING clause

Typical Plan For Block



Physical Query Plan



Final Step in Query Processing

Step 4: Query execution

- How to synchronize operators?
- How to pass data between operators?
- Standard approach:
 - Iterator interface and
 - Pipelined execution or
 - Intermediate result materialization

Each operator implements three methods:

- open()
- next()
- close()

Example "on the fly" selection operator

Example "on the fly" selection operator

```
// initializes operator state
// and sets parameters
void open (...);
```

Example "on the fly" selection operator

```
// initializes operator state
// and sets parameters
void open (...);
```

```
// calls next() on its inputs
// processes an input tuple
// produces output tuple(s)
// returns null when done
Tuple next ();
```

Example "on the fly" selection operator

```
// initializes operator state
// and sets parameters
void open (...);
```

```
// calls next() on its inputs
// processes an input tuple
// produces output tuple(s)
// returns null when done
Tuple next ();
```

```
// cleans up (if any)
void close ();
```

Example "on the fly" selection operator

```
interface Operator {
```

```
// initializes operator state
// and sets parameters
void open (...);
```

```
// calls next() on its inputs
// processes an input tuple
// produces output tuple(s)
// returns null when done
Tuple next ();
```

```
// cleans up (if any)
void close ();
```

}

Example "on the fly" selection operator

```
interface Operator {
```

```
// initializes operator state
// and sets parameters
void open (...);
```

```
// calls next() on its inputs
// processes an input tuple
// produces output tuple(s)
// returns null when done
Tuple next ();
```

```
// cleans up (if any)
void close ();
```

Example "on the fly" selection operator

```
interface Operator {
   // initializes operator state
   // and sets parameters
   void open (...);
```

```
// calls next() on its inputs
// processes an input tuple
// produces output tuple(s)
// returns null when done
Tuple next ();
```

```
class Select implements Operator {...
  void open (Predicate p, Operator
             child) {this.p = p;
      this.child=child; child.open();
  }
  Tuple next () {
    boolean found = false;
    Tuple r = null;
    while (!found) {
       r = child.next();
       if (r == null) break;
       found = p(r);
    }
```

```
// cleans up (if any)
void close ();
```
Implementing Query Operators with the Iterator Interface

Example "on the fly" selection operator

```
interface Operator {
   // initializes operator state
   // and sets parameters
   void open (...);
   // calls next() on its inputs
```

```
// processes an input tuple
// produces output tuple(s)
// returns null when done
Tuple next ();
```

```
// cleans up (if any)
void close ();
```

```
class Select implements Operator {...
  void open (Predicate p, Operator
             child) {this.p = p;
      this.child=child; child.open();
  }
  Tuple next () {
    boolean found = false;
    Tuple r = null;
    while (!found) {
       r = child.next();
       if (r == null) break;
       found = p(r);
    return r;
```

Implementing Query Operators with the Iterator Interface

Example "on the fly" selection operator

```
interface Operator {
 // initializes operator state
 // and sets parameters
 void open (...);
 // calls next() on its inputs
 // processes an input tuple
 // produces output tuple(s)
 // returns null when done
 Tuple next ();
```

// cleans up (if any)

void close ();

```
class Select implements Operator {...
  void open (Predicate p, Operator
             child) {this.p = p;
      this.child=child; child.open();
  }
  Tuple next () {
    boolean found = false;
    Tuple r = null;
    while (!found) {
       r = child.next();
       if (r == null) break;
       found = p(r);
    }
    return r;
  void close () { child.close(); }
}
```

Implementing Query Operators with the Iterator Interface

```
interface Operator {
```

```
// initializes operator state
// and sets parameters
void open (...);
```

```
// calls next() on its inputs
// processes an input tuple
// produces output tuple(s)
// returns null when done
Tuple next ();
```

```
// cleans up (if any)
void close ();
```

Query plan execution

```
Operator q = parse("SELECT ...");
q = optimize(q);
```

```
q.open();
while (true) {
  Tuple t = q.next();
  if (t == null) break;
  else printOnScreen(t);
}
q.close();
```































Supplier(<u>sid</u>, sname, scity, sstate) Supply(<u>sid</u>, pno, que Blocked Execution



Supplier(<u>sid</u>, sname, scity, sstate) Supply(<u>sid</u>, pno, que Blocked Execution



Pipelined Execution

 Applies parent operator to tuples directly as they are produced by child operators

Benefits

- No operator synchronization issues
- Saves cost of writing intermediate data to disk
- Saves cost of reading intermediate data from disk
- Good resource utilizations on single processor
- This approach is used whenever possible

Pipelined Execution



Intermediate Tuple Materialization

- Writes the results of an operator to an intermediate table on disk
- Necessary for some operator implementations
- When operator needs to examine the same tuples multiple times

Intermediate Tuple Materialization



CSE 544 - Winter 2018



Outline

- Architecture of a DBMS
- Steps involved in processing a query
- Operator implementations

Multiple Processes



The Mechanics of Disk



Disk Access Characteristics

• Disk latency

- Time between when command is issued and when data is in memory
- Equals = seek time + rotational latency
- Seek time = time for the head to reach cylinder
 - 10ms 40ms
- Rotational latency = time for the sector to rotate
 - Rotation time = 10ms
 - Average latency = 10ms/2
- Transfer time = typically 40MB/s

Basic factoid: disks always read/write an entire block at a time



- Data must be in RAM for DBMS to operate on it!
- Table of <frame#, pageid> pairs is maintained

Buffer Manager

Needs to decide on page replacement policy

- LRU
- Clock algorithm

Both work well in OS, but not always in DB

Enables the higher levels of the DBMS to assume that the needed data is in main memory.

Arranging Pages on Disk

A disk is organized into blocks (a.k.a. pages)

- blocks on same track, followed by
- blocks on same cylinder, followed by
- blocks on adjacent cylinder

A file should (ideally) consists of sequential blocks on disk, to minimize seek and rotational delay.

For a sequential scan, pre-fetching several pages at a time is a big win!

Issues

- Managing free blocks
- File Organization
- Represent the records inside the blocks
- Represent attributes inside the records

Managing Free Blocks

- Linked list of free blocks
- Or bit map

File Organization



File Organization

Better: directory of pages


Page Formats

Issues to consider

- 1 page = fixed size (e.g. 8KB)
- Records: ۲
 - Fixed length
 - Variable length
- Record id = RID
 - Typically RID = (PageID, SlotNumber)

Why do we need RID's in a relational DBMS ?

Page Formats

Fixed-length records: packed representation



Problems?

Page Formats



Variable-length records

Record Formats: Fixed Length

Product(pid, name, descr, maker)

pid name descr maker $\leftarrow L1 \rightarrow L2 \qquad L3 \qquad L4$

Base address (BAddress = B+L1+L2

- Information about field types same for all records in a file; stored in system catalogs.
- Finding *i'th* field requires scan of record.
- Note the importance of schema information!

Record Header



timestamp (e.g. for MVCC)

Need the header because:

- The schema may change for a while new+old may coexist
- Records from different relations may coexist



Place the fixed fields first: F1 Then the variable length fields: F2, F3, F4 Null values take 2 bytes only Sometimes they take 0 bytes (when at the end)

BLOB

- Binary large objects
- Supported by modern database systems
- E.g. images, sounds, etc.
- Storage: attempt to cluster blocks together

CLOB = character large object

• Supports only restricted operations

File Organizations

- Heap (random order) files: Suitable when typical access is a file scan retrieving all records.
- Sorted Files Best if records must be retrieved in some order, or only a `range' of records is needed.
- Indexes Data structures to organize records via trees or hashing.
 - Like sorted files, they speed up searches for a subset of records, based on values in certain ("search key") fields
 - Updates are much faster than in sorted files.

Multiple Processes



Cost Parameters

- In database systems the data is on disk
- Parameters:
 - B(R) = # of blocks (i.e., pages) for relation R
 - T(R) = # of tuples in relation R
 - V(R, a) = # of distinct values of attribute a
 - M = # pages available in main memory
- Cost = total number of I/Os
- Convention: writing the final result to disk is not included

One-pass Algorithms

Selection $\sigma(R)$, projection $\Pi(R)$

- Both are *tuple-at-a-time* algorithms
- Cost: B(R), the cost of scanning the relation

Main Memory Join Algorithms

Three standard main memory algorithms:

- Hash join
- Nested loop join
- Sort-merge join

Review in class

One Pass Hash Join

Hash join: $R \bowtie S$

- Scan R, build buckets in main memory
- Then scan S, probe hash table to join
- Cost: B(R) + B(S)
- One pass algorithm when B(R) <= M

- Tuple-based nested loop R ⋈ S
- R is the outer relation, S is the inner relation

<u>for</u> each tuple r in R <u>do</u> for each tuple s in S do

if r and s join then output (r,s)

• Cost: B(R) + T(R) B(S)

Page-at-a-time Refinement

for each page of tuples r in R do for each page of tuples s in S do for all pairs of tuples if r and s join then output (r,s)

• Cost: B(R) + B(R)B(S)

- We can be much more clever
- How would you compute the join in the following cases ?
 What is the cost ?

$$-$$
 B(R) = 1000, B(S) = 2, M = 4

$$-$$
 B(R) = 1000, B(S) = 3, M = 4

$$-$$
 B(R) = 1000, B(S) = 6, M = 4





Cost of block-based nested loop join

- Read S once: B(S)
- Outer loop runs B(S)/(M-2) times, each iteration reads the entire R: B(S)B(R)/(M-2)

• Total cost: B(S) + B(S)B(R)/(M-2)

Notice: it is better to iterate over the smaller relation first

CSE 544 - Winter 2018

Sort-Merge Join

Sort-merge join: $R \bowtie S$

- Scan R and sort in main memory
- Scan S and sort in main memory
- Merge R and S
- Cost: B(R) + B(S)
- One pass algorithm when B(S) + B(R) <= M
- Typically, this is NOT a one pass algorithm

Example

Grouping:

Product(name, department, quantity)

 $\gamma_{department, sum(quantity)}$ (Product) \rightarrow Answer(department, sum)

In class: describe a one-pass algorithms. Cost=?

Outline

Steps involved in processing a query

- Logical query plan
- Physical query plan
- Query execution overview

Operator implementations

- One pass algorithms
- Two-pass algorithms
- Index-based algorithms

Two-Pass Algorithms

- When data is larger than main memory, need two or more passes
- Two key techniques
 - Hashing
 - Sorting

Two Pass Algorithms Based on Hashing

- Idea: partition a relation R into buckets, on disk
- Each bucket has size approx. B(R)/M



Does each bucket fit in main memory ?
 –Yes if B(R)/M <= M, i.e. B(R) <= M²

CSE 544 - Winter 2018

Hash Based Algorithms for $\,\gamma$

- Recall: $\gamma(R)$ = grouping and aggregation
- Step 1. Partition R into buckets
- Step 2. Apply γ to each bucket
- Cost: 3B(R)
- Assumption: B(R) <= M²

Partitioned (Grace) Hash Join

$\mathsf{R} \bowtie \mathsf{S}$

- Step 1:
 - Hash S into M-1 buckets
 - Send all buckets to disk
- Step 2
 - Hash R into M-1 buckets
 - Send all buckets to disk
- Step 3
 - Join every pair of buckets

Partitioned Hash Join

- Partition both relations using hash fn h
- R tuples in partition i will only match S tuples in partition i.



Partitioned Hash Join

- Read in partition of R, hash it using h2 (\neq h)
 - Build phase
- Scan matching partition of S, search for matches
 - Probe phase



Partitioned Hash Join

- Cost: 3B(R) + 3B(S)
- Assumption: min(B(R), B(S)) <= M²

Hybrid Hash Join Algorithm

- Assume we have extra memory available
- Partition S into k buckets

 t buckets S₁, ..., S_t stay in memory
 k-t buckets S_{t+1}, ..., S_k to disk
- Partition R into k buckets
 - First t buckets join immediately with S
 - Rest k-t buckets go to disk
- Finally, join k-t pairs of buckets: (R_{t+1},S_{t+1}), (R_{t+2},S_{t+2}), ..., (R_k,S_k)

Hybrid Hash Join Algorithm

- How to choose k and t?
 - The first t buckets must fin in M:
 - Need room for k-t additional pages:
 - Thus:

 $t/k * B(S) \le M$ k-t $\le M$ $t/k * B(S) + k-t \le M$

• Assuming t/k * B(S) \gg k-t: t/k = M/B(S)

Hybrid Hash Join Algorithm

- How many I/Os ?
- Cost of partitioned hash join: 3B(R) + 3B(S)
- Hybrid join saves 2 I/Os for a t/k fraction of buckets
- Hybrid join saves 2t/k(B(R) + B(S)) I/Os
- Cost: (3-2t/k)(B(R) + B(S)) = (3-2M/B(S))(B(R) + B(S))

External Sorting

- Problem: Sort a file of size B with memory M
- Where we need this:
 - ORDER BY in SQL queries
 - Several physical operators
 - Bulk loading of B+-tree indexes.
- Will discuss only 2-pass sorting, for when $B < M^2$

External Merge-Sort: Step 1

• Phase one: load M pages in memory, sort



External Merge-Sort: Step 2

- Merge M 1 runs into a new run
- Result: runs of length M (M 1) \approx M²



If $B \le M^2$ then we are done

External Merge-Sort

- Cost:
 - Read+write+read = 3B(R)
 - Assumption: $B(R) \le M^2$
- Other considerations
 - In general, a lot of optimizations are possible
Two-Pass Algorithms Based on Sorting

Grouping: $\gamma_{a, sum(b)}$ (R)

Sort, then compute the sum(b) for each group of a's

- Step 1: sort chunks of size M, write
 cost 2B(R)
- Step 2: merge M-1 runs, combining groups by addition
 cost B(R)
- Total cost: 3B(R), Assumption: B(R) <= M²

Two-Pass Algorithms Based on Sorting

Join $R \bowtie S$

- Start by creating initial runs of length M, for R and S:
 Cost: 2B(R)+2B(S)
- Merge (and join) M₁ runs from R, M₂ runs from S:
 Cost: B(R)+B(S)
- Total cost: 3B(R)+3B(S)
- Assumption:
 - R has $M_1=B(R)/M$ runs, S has $M_2=B(S)/M$ runs
 - $M_1 + M_2 \le M$
 - Hence: B(R)+B(S)≤ M^2

Index

- An **additional** file, that allows fast access to records in the data file given a search key
- The index contains (key, value) pairs:
 - The key = an attribute value (e.g., student ID or name)
 - The value = a pointer to the record
- Could have many indexes for one table

Key = means here search key



Example 2: Index on fName

Student

	ID	fName	IName
n fName	10	Tom	Hanks
	20	Amy	Hanks
Data File Student			

Index Student_fName on Student.fName



CSE 544 - Winter 2018

Index Organization

We need a way to represent indexes after loading into memory so that they can be used

Several ways to do this:

- Hash table
- B+ trees most popular
 - They are search trees, but they are not binary instead have higher fanout
 - Will discuss them briefly next
- Specialized indexes: bit maps, R-trees, inverted index

Review: Index Classification

Clustered/unclustered

- Clustered = records close in index are close in data
 - Option 1: Data inside data file is sorted on disk
 - Option 2: Store data directly inside the index (no separate files)
- Unclustered = records close in index may be far in data

Primary/secondary

- Meaning 1:
 - Primary = is over attributes that include the primary key
 - Secondary = otherwise
- Meaning 2: means the same as clustered/unclustered
- Organization B+ tree or Hash table

Clustered vs Unclustered



Every table can have **only one** clustered and **many** unclustered indexes

Index Based Selection

- Selection on equality: $\sigma_{a=v}(R)$
- V(R, a) = # of distinct values of attribute a
- Clustered index on a: cost B(R)/V(R,a)
- Unclustered index on a: cost T(R)/V(R,a)
- Note: we ignored the I/O cost for the index pages (why?)

Index Based Selection

• Example:

- B(R) = 2,000 I/Os

- Index based selection
 - If index is clustered: B(R)/V(R,a) = 100 I/Os
 - If index is unclustered: T(R)/V(R,a) = 5,000 I/Os
- Lesson
 - Don't build unclustered indexes when V(R,a) is small !

cost of $s_{a=v}(R) = ?$

Index Based Selection

• Example:

$$B(R) = 2000$$

T(R) = 100,000
V(R, a) = 20

cost of
$$s_{a=v}(R) = ?$$

- Table scan (assuming R is clustered)
 - B(R) = 2,000 I/Os
- Index based selection
 - If index is clustered: B(R)/V(R,a) = 100 I/Os
 - If index is unclustered: T(R)/V(R,a) = 5,000 I/Os
- Lesson
 - Don't build unclustered indexes when V(R,a) is small !

Note: the "2" in 2% decreases yearly (why?)

The 2% rule!

CSE 544 - Winter 2018

Index Nested Loop Join

$\mathsf{R} \bowtie \mathsf{S}$

- Assume S has an index on the join attribute
- Iterate over R, for each tuple fetch corresponding tuple(s) from S
- Cost:
 - Assuming R is clustered
 - If index on S is clustered: B(R) + T(R)B(S)/V(S,a)
 - If index on S is unclustered: B(R) + T(R)T(S)/V(S,a)

Summary of External Join Algorithms

- Block Nested Loop Join: B(R) + B(R)*B(S)/M
- Hybrid Hash Join: (3-2M/B(S))(B(R) + B(S))
 Assuming t/k * B(S) >> k-t
- Sort-Merge Join: 3B(R)+3B(S)
 Assuming B(R)+B(S) <= M²
- Index Nested Loop Join: B(R) + T(R)B(S)/V(S,a) Assuming R is clustered and S has clustered index on a