# CSE 544 Principles of Database Management Systems Lectures 5: Datalog (1) 

## Announcement

- Deadline for HW1 has passed...
- Project M2 due on Friday
- HW2 released (datalog / Souffle)


## Where We Are

Relational query languages:

- SQL
- Relational Algebra
- Relational Calculus (haven't discussed, but you may look it up)
The can express the same class of queries called relational queries


## Which are Relational Queries? Which are not? And Why?

Friend $(X, Y)$

- Find all people $X$ whose number of friends is a prime number


# Which are Relational Queries? 

## Which are not? And Why?

Friend $(X, Y)$

- Find all people $X$ whose number of No higher math in database friends is a prime number


# Which are Relational Queries? Which are not? And Why? 

Friend $(X, Y)$

- Find all people $X$
whose number of
friends is a prime
number
- Find all people who are friends with everyone who is not a friend of Bob


# Which are Relational Queries? Which are not? And Why? 

Friend $(X, Y)$

- Find all people $X$
whose number of
friends is a prime
number
- Find all people who Yes! (write it in SQL!) are friends with everyone who is not a friend of Bob


# Which are Relational Queries? Which are not? And Why? 

Friend $(X, Y)$

- Find all people $X$ whose number of friends is a prime number
- Find all people who
- Partition all people into three sets P1(X), P2(X), P3(X) s.t. any two friends are in different partitions are friends with everyone who is not a friend of Bob


# Which are Relational Queries? Which are not? And Why? 

Friend( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ )

- Find all people $X$ whose number of friends is a prime number
- Find all people who are friends with everyone who is not a friend of Bob
- Partition all people into three sets
P1(X), P2(X), P3(X) s.t. any two friends are in different partitions

No! NP-complete

# Which are Relational Queries? Which are not? And Why? 

Friend(X,Y)

- Find all people $X$ whose number of friends is a prime number
- Find all people who are friends with everyone who is not a friend of Bob
- Partition all people into three sets
P1(X), P2(X), P3(X) s.t. any two friends are in different partitions
- Find all people who are direct or indirect friends with Alice


## Which are Relational Queries? Which are not? And Why?

Friend( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ )

- Find all people $X$ whose number of friends is a prime number
- Find all people who are friends with everyone who is not are direct or indirect a frian "Recursive query"; PTIME, yet not expressible in RA


## Recursive Queries

- "Find all direct or indirect friends of Alice"
- Computable in PTIME, yet not expressible in RA
- Datalog: extends RA with recursive queries


## Datalog

- Designed in the 80's
- Simple, concise, elegant
- Today is a hot topic, beyond databases: network protocols, static program analysis, DB+ML
- Very few open source implementations, and hard to find
- In HW2 we will use Souffle
USE AdventureWorks2008R2;
GO
WITH DirectReports (ManagerID, EmployeeID, Title, DeptID, Level)
AS
(
-- Anchor member definition
SELECT e.ManagerID, e.EmployeeID, e.Title, edh.DepartmentID,
0 AS Level
FROM dbo.MyEmployees AS e
INNER JOIN HumanResources.EmployeeDepartmenthistory AS edh
ON e.EmployeeID = edh.BusinessEntityID AND edh.EndDate IS NULL
WHERE ManagerID IS NULL
UNION ALL
-- Recursive member definition
SELECT e.ManagerID, e.EmployeeID, e.Title, edh.DepartmentID,
Level + 1
FROM dbo.MyEmployees AS e
INNER JOIN HumanResources.EmployeeDepartmenthistory AS edh
ON e.EmployeeID $=$ edh. BusinessEntityID AND edh.EndDate IS NULL
INNER JOIN DirectReports AS d
ON e.ManagerID = d.EmployeeID
)
-- Statement that executes the CTE
SELECT ManagerID, EmployeeID, Title, DeptID, Level
FROM DirectReports
INNER JOIN HumanResources.Department AS dp
ON DirectReports.DeptID $=$ dp.DepartmentID
WHERE dp.GroupName $=\mathrm{N}$ 'Sales and Marketing' $O$ Level $=0$;
GO

Manager(eid) :- Manages(_, eid)

DirectReports(eid, 0) :-
Employee(eid), not Manager(eid)

DirectReports(eid, level+1) :-
DirectReports(mid, level),
Manages(mid, eid)

SQL Query vs Datalog
(which would you rather write?)
(any Java fans out there?)

## Outline

## - Datalog rules

- Recursion
- Negation, aggregates, stratification
- Semantics
- Naïve and Semi-naïve Evaluation
- Connection to RA - on your own


## Actor(id, fname, Iname) <br> Casts(pid, mid) <br> Schema <br> Movie(id, name, year) <br> Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database
Rules = queries

## Actor(id, fname, Iname) <br> Casts(pid, mid) <br> Movie(id, name, year) <br> Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database
Actor(344759, 'Douglas', 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, ‘Ave Maria', 1940).

Rules = queries

## Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Movie(id, name, year)

## Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database
Actor(344759, 'Douglas', 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Rules = queries
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940'.

Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Movie(id, name, year)

## Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database
Actor(344759,'Douglas', 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Rules = queries
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940'.

## Find Movies made in 1940

Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Movie(id, name, year)

## Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database
Actor(344759,'Douglas', 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Rules = queries
Q1(y) :- Movie( $x, y, z$ ), $z={ }^{\prime} 1940$ '.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Q2(f, I) :- Actor(z,f,I), Casts(z,x), } \\
& \text { Movie(x,y,'1940'). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Movie(id, name, year)

## Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database
Actor(344759, 'Douglas', 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Rules = queries
Q1(y) :- Movie( $x, y, z$ ), $z==^{\prime} 1940$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Q2(f, I) :- Actor(z,f,I), Casts(z,x), } \\
& \text { Movie(x,y,'1940'). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Find Actors who acted in Movies made in 1940

Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Movie(id, name, year)

## Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database
Actor(344759,'Douglas’, 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Rules = queries
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940’.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Q2(f, I) :- Actor(z,f,l), Casts(z,x), } \\
& \text { Movie(x,y,'1940'). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Movie(id, name, year)

## Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database
Actor(344759,'Douglas’, 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Q3(f,l) :- Actor(z,f,l), } \text { Casts(z,x1), Movie(x1,y1,1910), } \\
& \text { Casts(z,x2), Movie(x2,y2,1940) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Find Actors who acted in a Movie in 1940 and in one in 1910

Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Movie(id, name, year)

## Datalog: Facts and Rules

Facts = tuples in the database
Actor(344759,'Douglas’, 'Fowley').
Casts(344759, 29851).
Casts(355713, 29000).
Movie(7909, 'A Night in Armour', 1910).
Movie(29000, 'Arizona', 1940).
Movie(29445, 'Ave Maria', 1940).

Rules = queries
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940’.

Q2(f, I) :- Actor(z,f,I), Casts(z,x), Movie(x,y,'1940').

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Q3(f,l) :- Actor(z,f,l), Casts(z,x1), Movie(x1,y1,1910), } \\
& \text { Casts(z,x2), Movie(x2,y2,1940) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Extensional Database Predicates = EDB = Actor, Casts, Movie Intensional Database Predicates = IDB = Q1, Q2, Q3

## Datalog: Terminology


$\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{I}=$ head variables
$x, y, z=$ existential variables

## More Datalog Terminology

## Q(args) :- R1 (args), R2(args), ....

- $R_{i}\left(\operatorname{args}_{i}\right)$ called an atom, or a relational predicate
- $R_{i}\left(\right.$ args $\left._{i}\right)$ evaluates to true when relation $R_{i}$ contains the tuple described by args ${ }^{2}$.
- Example: Actor(344759, 'Douglas', 'Fowley') is true
- In addition we can also have arithmetic predicates
- Example: z > '1940'.
- Some systems use <-
- Some use AND
Q(args) <- R1(args), R2(args), ....


## Actor(id, fname, Iname)

- Meaning of a datalog rule $=$ a logical statement !
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940’.


## Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid) <br> 

- Meaning of a datalog rule $=$ a logical statement !
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940’.
- For all $x, y, z:$ if $(x, y, z) \in$ Movies and $z=' 1940 ’$ then y is in Q1 (i.e. is part of the answer)


## Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid) <br> Moveict ne seemnantics of a Single Rule

- Meaning of a datalog rule $=$ a logical statement !
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940’.
- For all $x, y, z:$ if $(x, y, z) \in$ Movies and $z=$ ' 1940 ' then $y$ is in Q1 (i.e. is part of the answer)
- $\forall x \forall y \forall z\left[\left(M o v i e(x, y, z)\right.\right.$ and $z={ }^{\prime} 1940$ ') $\Rightarrow$ Q1(y)]


## Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Moverid ne sexinnantics of a Single Rule

- Meaning of a datalog rule $=$ a logical statement !
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940’.
- For all $x, y, z:$ if $(x, y, z) \in$ Movies and $z=$ ' 1940 ' then $y$ is in Q1 (i.e. is part of the answer)
- $\forall x \forall y \forall z[(M o v i e(x, y, z)$ and $z=‘ 1940 ’) \Rightarrow$ Q1(y)]
- Logically equivalent:
$\forall y\left[\left(\exists x \exists z \operatorname{Movie}(x, y, z)\right.\right.$ and $\left.z=‘ 1940^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow$ Q1 (y)]


## Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Moverid ne sexinnantics of a Single Rule

- Meaning of a datalog rule $=$ a logical statement !
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940’.
- For all $x, y, z:$ if $(x, y, z) \in$ Movies and $z=$ ' 1940 ' then $y$ is in Q1 (i.e. is part of the answer)
- $\forall x \forall y \forall z[(M o v i e(x, y, z)$ and $z=‘ 1940 ’) \Rightarrow$ Q1(y)]
- Logically equivalent: $\forall y\left[\left(\exists x \exists z \operatorname{Movie}(x, y, z)\right.\right.$ and $\left.z=‘ 1940^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow$ Q1 ( $y$ )]
- Thus, non-head variables are called "existential variables"


## Actor(id, fname, Iname)

## Casts(pid, mid)

Moverid ne sexinnantics of a Single Rule

- Meaning of a datalog rule $=$ a logical statement !
Q1(y) :- Movie(x,y,z), z=‘1940’.
- For all $x, y, z:$ if $(x, y, z) \in$ Movies and $z=' 1940 ’$ then $y$ is in Q1 (i.e. is part of the answer)
- $\forall x \forall y \forall z[(M o v i e(x, y, z)$ and $z=‘ 1940 ’) \Rightarrow$ Q1(y)]
- Logically equivalent: $\forall y\left[\left(\exists x \exists z \operatorname{Movie}(x, y, z)\right.\right.$ and $\left.z=‘ 1940^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow$ Q1 ( $y$ )]
- Thus, non-head variables are called "existential variables"
- We want the smallest set Q1 with this property (why?)


## Outline

- Datalog rules
- Recursion
- Semantics
- Negation, aggregates, stratification
- Naïve and Semi-naïve Evaluation
- Connection to RA - on your own


## Datalog program

- A datalog program consists of several rules
- Importantly, rules may be recursive!
- Usually there is one distinguished predicate that's the output
- We will show an example first, then give the general semantics.



## Example

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

What does it compute?

## Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

What does it compute?

## Example


$\mathrm{R}=$

| 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

First iteration:
$\mathrm{T}=$


Second rule
generates nothing
(because T is empty)

## Example


$\mathrm{R}=$

| 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |

Initially:
T is empty.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |

Second iteration:
First iteration:
$\mathrm{T}=$


## Example


$\mathrm{R}=$

| 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |

$T(x, y):-R(x, y)$
What does

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
$$ it compute?

| 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |



## Example


$\mathrm{R}=$

| 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(x, y):-R(x, y) \\
& T(x, y):-R(x, z), T(z, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

What does it compute?

| 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |

Second iteration:

$T=$| 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 3 | 5 |


| Third iteration: |
| :--- |
| T = |
| 1 2 <br> 2 1 <br> 2 3 <br> 1 4 <br> 3 4 <br> 4 Fourth <br> iteration <br> T $=$ <br> (same <br> 1 1 <br> 2 2 <br> 1 3 <br> 2 4 <br> 1 5 <br> 3 5 <br> 2 5 |

## Three Equivalent Programs

 $R$ encodes a graph| R= |
| :--- |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 2 |
| 1 |

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|}
\hline \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
\end{array} & \text { Right linear } \\
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) & \\
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
\end{array} & \text { Left linear } \\
\hline \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) & \\
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
\end{array} & \text { Non-linear } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Question: which terminates in fewest iterations?

## Outline

- Datalog rules
- Recursion
- Semantics
- Negation, aggregates, stratification
- Naïve and Semi-naïve Evaluation
- Connection to RA - on your own


## 1. Fixpoint Semantics

- Start: $\mathrm{IDB}_{0}=$ empty relations; $\mathrm{t}=0$ Repeat:

```
    IDB 
```

    \(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}+1\)
    Until $I D B_{t}=I D B_{t-1}$

- Remark: since rules are monotone: $\emptyset=\mathrm{IDB}_{0} \subseteq \mathrm{IDB}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{IDB}_{2} \subseteq \ldots$
- A datalog program w/o functions (+, *, ...) always terminates. (In what time?)


## 2. Minimal Model Semantics:

- Return the IDB that

1) For every rule, $\forall$ vars [(Body(EDB,IDB) $\Rightarrow$ Head(IDB)]
2) Is the smallest IDB satisfying (1)

- Theorem: there exists a smallest IDB satisfying (1)


## Example

1. Fixpoint semantics:

- Start: $\mathrm{T}_{0}=\varnothing ; \mathrm{t}=0$
$\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$
$\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})$

Repeat:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{t+1}(x, y)=R(x, y) \cup \Pi_{x y}\left(R(x, z) \bowtie T_{t}(z, y)\right) \\
& t=t+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Until $T_{t}=T_{t-1}$
2. Minimal model semantics: smallest T s.t.

- $\forall x \forall y[(R(x, y) \Rightarrow T(x, y)] \wedge$
$\forall x \forall y \forall z[(R(x, z) \wedge T(z, y)) \Rightarrow T(x, y)]$


## Datalog Semantics

- The fixpoint semantics tells us how to compute a datalog query
- The minimal model semantics is more declarative: only says what we get
- The two semantics are equivalent meaning: you get the same thing


## Outline

- Datalog rules
- Recursion
- Semantics
- Negation, aggregates, stratification
- Naïve and Semi-naïve Evaluation
- Connection to RA - on your own


## Extensions

- Aggregates, negation
- Stratified datalog


## Aggregates

- No commonly agreed syntax
- Each implementation uses it's own


## Aggregates in Souffle

General syntax in Logicblox:

$$
Q(x, y, z, v) \quad:-\quad \operatorname{Body} 1(x, y, z), v=\operatorname{sum}(w):\{\operatorname{Body} 2(x, y, z, w)\}
$$

Meaning (in SQL)

```
select x,y,z, sum(w) as v
from R1, R2, ...
where ...
group by x,y,z
```


## ParentChild(p,c)

## Example

For each person, compute the total number of descendants
/* We use Souffle syntax (as in the homework) */ /* for each person, compute his/her descendants */

## ParentChild(p,c)

## Example

For each person, compute the total number of descendants
/* We use Souffle syntax (as in the homework) */ /* for each person, compute his/her descendants */
$D(x, y)$ :- ParentChild $(x, y)$.
$D(x, z)$ :- $D(x, y)$, ParentChild $(y, z)$.

## ParentChild(p,c)

## Example

For each person, compute the total number of descendants
/* We use Souffle syntax (as in the homework) */ /* for each person, compute his/her descendants */
D(x,y) :- ParentChild (x,y).
$D(x, z):-D(x, y)$, ParentChild $(y, z)$.
${ }^{*}$ For each person, count the number of descendants */

## ParentChild(p,c)

## Example

For each person, compute the total number of descendants
/* We use Souffle syntax (as in the homework) */ /* for each person, compute his/her descendants */
$D(x, y)$ :- ParentChild $(x, y)$.
$D(x, z):-D(x, y)$, ParentChild $(y, z)$.
/* For each person, count the number of descendants */ $N(x, m):-D\left(x, \_\right), m=\operatorname{sum}(1):\{D(x, y)\}$.

## ParentChild(p,c)

## Example

For each person, compute the total number of descendants
/* We use Souffle syntax (as in the homework) */ /* for each person, compute his/her descendants */
$D(x, y)$ :- ParentChild $(x, y)$.
$D(x, z):-D(x, y)$, ParentChild $(y, z)$.
/* For each person, count the number of descendants */
$N(x, m):-D\left(x, \_\right), m=\operatorname{sum}(1):\{D(x, y)\}$.
/* Find the number of descendants of Alice */

## ParentChild(p,c)

## Example

For each person, compute the total number of descendants
/* We use Souffle syntax (as in the homework) */ /* for each person, compute his/her descendants */
$D(x, y)$ :- ParentChild $(x, y)$.
$D(x, z):-D(x, y)$, ParentChild $(y, z)$.
/* For each person, count the number of descendants */
$N(x, m):-D\left(x, \_\right), m=\operatorname{sum}(1):\{D(x, y)\}$.
/* Find the number of descendants of Alice */
Q(d) :- N("Alice",d).

## Negation: use "!"

Find all descendants of Alice, who are not descendants of Bob
/* for each person, compute his/her descendants */
$D(x, y)$ :- ParentChild $(x, y)$.
$D(x, z):-D(x, y)$, ParentChild $(y, z)$.
/* Compute the answer: notice the negation */
Q(x) :- D("Alice",x), !D("Bob",x).

## Safe Datalog Rules

Here are unsafe datalog rules. What's "unsafe" about them ?
U1 (x,y) :- ParentChild("Alice",x), y != "Bob"

U2(x) :- ParentChild("Alice",x), !ParentChild(x,y)

## Safe Datalog Rules

Here are unsafe datalog rules. What's "unsafe" about them ?
U1 (x,y) :- ParentChild("Alice",x), y != "Bob"

Holds for every y other than "Bob" $\mathrm{U} 1=$ infinite!

U2(x) :- ParentChild("Alice", $x$ ), !ParentChild( $x, y$ )

## Safe Datalog Rules

Here are unsafe datalog rules. What's "unsafe" about them ?
U1(x,y) :- ParentChild("Alice",x), y != "Bob"

Holds for every y other than "Bob" $\mathrm{U} 1=$ infinite!

U2(x) :- ParentChild("Alice",x), !ParentChild(x,y)


## Safe Datalog Rules

Here are unsafe datalog rules. What's "unsafe" about them ?
U1(x,y) :- ParentChild("Alice",x), y != "Bob"

Holds for every y other than "Bob" U1 = infinite!

U2(x) :- ParentChild("Alice",x), !ParentChild(x,y)

Want Alice's childless children, but we get all children $x$ (because there exists some $y$ that $x$ is not parent of $y$ )
A datalog rule is safe if every variable appears in some positive relational atom

## Stratified Datalog

- Recursion does not cope well with aggregates or negation
- Example: what does this mean?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A():-!B() . \\
& B():-!A() .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stratified Datalog

- Recursion does not cope well with aggregates or negation
- Example: what does this mean?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A():-!B() . \\
& B():-!A() .
\end{aligned}
$$

- A datalog program is stratified if it can be partitioned into strata s.t., for all n, only IDB predicates defined in strata $1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ may appear under ! or agg in stratum $\mathrm{n}+1$.
- Souffle (and others) accepts only stratified datalog.


## Stratified Datalog

```
D(x,y) :- ParentChild(x,y).
    D(x,z) :- D(x,y), ParentChild(y,z).
N[x] = m :- agg<<m = count()>> D(x,y).
Q(d) :- N["Alice"]=d.
```


## Stratum 1

May use D
in an agg because was defined in previous stratum

## Stratified Datalog



## Stratified Datalog



## Stratified Datalog

- If we don't use aggregates or negation, then the datalog program is already stratified
- If we do use aggregates or negation, it is usually quite natural to write the program in a stratified way


## Outline

- Datalog rules
- Recursion
- Semantics
- Negation, aggregates, stratification - Naïve and Semi-naïve Evaluation
- Connection to RA - on your own


## Datalog Evaluation Algorithms

- Needs to preserve the efficiency of query optimizers, while extending them to recursion
- Two general strategies:
- Naïve datalog evaluation
- Semi-naïve datalog evaluation
- Some powerful optimizations:
- Magic sets (next lecture)


## Naïve Datalog Evaluation Algorithm

## Datalog program:

$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ :- body $_{1}$<br>$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} 2}$ :- body $_{2}$

....

## Naïve Datalog Evaluation Algorithm

## Datalog program:

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} 1}:-\operatorname{body}_{1}$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} 2}:-\operatorname{body}_{2}$ |
| $\ldots$ |


\[\)| $P_{1}:-\operatorname{body}_{11} \cup \operatorname{body}_{12} \cup \ldots$ |
| :--- |
| $P_{2}:-\operatorname{body}_{21} \cup \operatorname{body}_{22} \cup \ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |

\]

Group by
IDB predicate

## Naïve Datalog Evaluation Algorithm

## Datalog program:

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{in}}:-\operatorname{body}_{1}$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} 2}:-\operatorname{body}_{2}$ |
| $\ldots$ |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\rightarrow$ | $P_{1}:-\operatorname{body}_{11} \cup \operatorname{body}_{12} \cup \ldots$ <br> $P_{2}:-\operatorname{body}_{21} \cup \operatorname{body}_{22} \cup \ldots$ <br> $\ldots$ |
| Group by |  |
| IDB predicate |  |


|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{P}_{2}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{2}$ <br> $\ldots$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Each rule is a <br> Select-Project-Join-Union query |  |

## Naïve Datalog Evaluation <br> Algorithm

Datalog program:

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i1}}:-$ body $_{1}$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} 2}:-$ body $_{2}$ |
| $\ldots$ |


\[\)| $P_{1}:-\operatorname{body}_{11} \cup \operatorname{body}_{12} \cup \ldots$ |
| :--- |
| $P_{2}:-\operatorname{body}_{21} \cup \operatorname{body}_{22} \cup \ldots$ |
| $\ldots .$ |

\]

Group by
IDB predicate

| $\boldsymbol{Z}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{P}_{2}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{2}$ <br> $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Each rule is a <br> Select-Project-Join-Union query |  |

Naïve datalog evaluation algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=P_{2}=\ldots=\emptyset \\
& \text { Loop } \\
& \quad \text { NewP }_{1}=\text { SPJU }_{1} ; \operatorname{NewP}_{2}=\text { SPJU }_{2} ; \ldots \\
& \text { if }\left(\text { NewP }_{1}=P_{1} \text { and } \operatorname{NewP}_{2}=P_{2} \text { and } \ldots\right) \\
& \quad \text { then exit } \\
& P_{1}=\text { NewP }_{1} ; P_{2}=\operatorname{NewP}_{2} ; \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Endloop

## Naïve Datalog Evaluation <br> Algorithm

Datalog program:

| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i1}}:-\operatorname{body}_{1}$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} 2}:-\operatorname{body}_{2}$ |
| $\ldots$ |


\[\)| $P_{1}:-\operatorname{body}_{11} \cup \operatorname{body}_{12} \cup \ldots$ |
| :--- |
| $P_{2}:-\operatorname{body}_{21} \cup \operatorname{body}_{22} \cup \ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |

\]

Group by
IDB predicate

| $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{P}_{2}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{2}$ <br> $\ldots$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Each rule is a |  |
| Select-Project-Join-Union query |  |

Naïve datalog evaluation algorithm:

$$
P_{1}=P_{2}=\ldots=\varnothing
$$

Loop

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{NewP}_{1}=\text { SPJU }_{1} ; \operatorname{NewP}_{2}=\operatorname{SPJU}_{2} ; \ldots \\
& \text { if }\left(\operatorname{NewP}_{1}=P_{1} \text { and } \operatorname{NewP}_{2}=P_{2} \text { and } \ldots\right) \\
& \quad \text { then exit } \\
& P_{1}=\operatorname{NewP}_{1} ; P_{2}=\operatorname{NewP}_{2} ; \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Endloop

$$
\text { Example: } \begin{aligned}
& T(x, y):-R(x, y) \\
& T(x, y):-R(x, z), T(z, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naïve Datalog Evaluation <br> Algorithm

Datalog program:

| $P_{i 1}:-\operatorname{bod}_{1}$ |
| :---: |
| $P_{\mathrm{i} 2}:-\operatorname{body}_{2}$ |
| $\ldots$ |


\[\)| $P_{1}:-\operatorname{body}_{11} \cup \operatorname{body}_{12} \cup \ldots$ |
| :--- |
| $P_{2}:-\operatorname{body}_{21} \cup \operatorname{body}_{22} \cup \ldots$ |
| $\ldots .$ |

\]

Group by
IDB predicate

| $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{P}_{2}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{2}$ <br> $\ldots$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Each rule is a |  |
| Select-Project-Join-Union query |  |

Naïve datalog evaluation algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{2}=\ldots=\emptyset \\
& \text { Loop }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{NewP}_{1}=\text { SPJU }_{1} ; \operatorname{NewP}_{2}=\text { SPJU }_{2} ; \ldots \\
& \text { if }\left(\operatorname{NewP}_{1}=P_{1} \text { and } \operatorname{NewP}_{2}=P_{2} \text { and } \ldots\right) \\
& \quad \text { then exit } \\
& P_{1}=\operatorname{NewP}_{1} ; P_{2}=\operatorname{NewP}_{2} ; \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Endloop

Example:
T(x,y) :- R(x,y)
T(x,y) :- R(x,y)
T(x,y) :- R(x,z),T(z,y)
T(x,y) :- R(x,z),T(z,y)
$\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \cup \Pi_{\mathrm{xy}}(\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}) \bowtie \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}))$

## Naïve Datalog Evaluation Datalog program: <br> Algorithm

$P_{i 1}:-\operatorname{bod}_{1}$
$P_{i 2}:-\operatorname{body}_{2}$
$\ldots$

\[\)| $P_{1}:-\operatorname{body}_{11} \cup \operatorname{body}_{12} \cup \ldots$ |
| :--- |
| $P_{2}:-\operatorname{body}_{21} \cup \operatorname{body}_{22} \cup \ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |

\]

Group by
IDB predicate

| $\boldsymbol{7}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{1}$ <br> $\mathrm{P}_{2}:-\mathrm{SPJU}_{2}$ <br> $\ldots$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Each rule is a |  |
| Select-Project-Join-Union query |  |

Naïve datalog evaluation algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=P_{2}=\ldots=\varnothing \\
& \text { Loop }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{NewP}_{1}=\text { SPJU }_{1} ; \operatorname{NewP}_{2}=\operatorname{SPJU}_{2} ; \ldots \\
& \text { if }\left(\operatorname{NewP}_{1}=P_{1} \text { and } \operatorname{NewP}_{2}=P_{2} \text { and } \ldots\right) \\
& \quad \text { then exit } \\
& P_{1}=\operatorname{NewP}_{1} ; P_{2}=\operatorname{NewP}_{2} ; \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Endloop

## Example: <br> $$
\begin{aligned} & \hline T(x, y):-R(x, y) \\ & T(x, y):-R(x, z), T(z, y) \end{aligned}
$$ <br> $\boldsymbol{\nabla} T(x, y):-R(x, y) \cup \Pi_{x y}(R(x, z) \bowtie T(z, y))$

```
T=\varnothing
Loop
    NewT(x,y) = R(x,y) U \Pi}\mp@subsup{\Pi}{xy}{}(R(x,z)\bowtieT(z,y)
    if (NewT = T)
        then exit
    T = NewT
Endloop
```


## Discussion

- A naïve datalog algorithm always terminates (why?)
- Assuming no functions (+, *, ...)
- A datalog program always runs in PTIME in the size of the database (why?)


## Problem with the Naïve Algorithm

- The same facts are discovered over and over again
- The semi-naïve algorithm tries to reduce the number of facts discovered multiple times


## Background: Incremental View Maintenace

Let V be a view computed by one datalog rule (no recursion)

$$
\mathrm{V} \text { :- body }
$$

If (some of) the relations are updated:

$$
R_{1} \leftarrow R_{1} \cup \Delta R_{1}, R_{1} \leftarrow R_{2} \cup \Delta R_{2}, \ldots
$$

Then the view is also modified as follows:

$$
V \leftarrow V \cup \Delta V
$$

Incremental view maintenance:

## Background: Incremental View Maintenace

## Example 1:

$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})$ If $\mathrm{R} \leftarrow \mathrm{R} \cup \Delta \mathrm{R}$ then what is $\Delta \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ ?

## Background: Incremental View Maintenace

## Example 1:

$V(x, y):-R(x, z), S(z, y) \quad$ If $R \leftarrow R \cup \Delta R$ then what is $\Delta V(x, y)$ ?

$$
\Delta V(x, y):-\Delta R(x, z), S(z, y)
$$

## Background: Incremental View Maintenace

## Example 2:

$V(x, y):-R(x, z), S(z, y)$
If $R \leftarrow R \cup \Delta R$ and $S \leftarrow S \cup \Delta S$ then what is $\Delta V(x, y)$ ?

## Background: Incremental View Maintenace

## Example 2:

$V(x, y):-R(x, z), S(z, y)$
If $R \leftarrow R \cup \Delta R$ and $S \leftarrow S \cup \Delta S$ then what is $\Delta V(x, y)$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\Delta \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \Delta \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\Delta \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \Delta \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Background: Incremental View Maintenace

## Example 3:

$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})$
If $T \leftarrow T \cup \Delta T$
then what is $\Delta V(x, y)$ ?

## Background: Incremental View Maintenace

## Example 3:

$$
V(x, y):-T(x, z), T(z, y)
$$

If $T \leftarrow T \cup \Delta T$
then what is $\Delta V(x, y)$ ?

$$
\begin{array}{|l}
\Delta V(x, y):-\Delta T(x, z), T(z, y) \\
\Delta V(x, y) \\
\Delta V(x, y):-\Delta T(x, z), \Delta T(z, y) \\
\Delta \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}), \Delta \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
\end{array}
$$

## Semi-naïve Evaluation Algorithm

Separate the Datalog program into the non-recursive, and the recursive part.
Each $P_{i}$ defined by non-recursive-SPJU ${ }_{i}$ and (recursive-)SPJU ${ }_{i}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{1}=\Delta \mathrm{P}_{1}=$ non-recursive-SPJU ${ }_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}=\Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}=$ non-recursive-SPJU $2, \ldots$
Loop

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{P}_{1}=\Delta \mathrm{SPJU}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{1} ; \Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}=\Delta \mathrm{SPJU}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} ; \ldots \\
& \text { if }\left(\Delta \mathrm{P}_{1}=\emptyset \text { and } \Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}=\emptyset \text { and } \ldots\right) \\
& \quad \text { then break } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{1} \cup \Delta \mathrm{P}_{1} ; \mathrm{P}_{2}=\mathrm{P}_{2} \cup \Delta \mathrm{P}_{2} ; \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Endloop
Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

```
T= \DeltaT = ? (non-recursive rule)
Loop
    \DeltaT(x,y) = ? (recursive }\Delta\mathrm{ -rule)
    if ( }\Delta\textrm{T}=\emptyset
        then break
    T = TU\DeltaT

\section*{Semi-naïve Evaluation Algorithm}

Separate the Datalog program into the non-recursive, and the recursive part. Each \(P_{i}\) defined by non-recursive-SPJU \({ }_{i}\) and (recursive-)SPJU \({ }_{i}\).
\(\mathrm{P}_{1}=\Delta \mathrm{P}_{1}=\) non-recursive-SPJU \({ }_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}=\Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}=\) non-recursive-SPJU \(2, \ldots\) Loop
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{P}_{1}=\Delta \mathrm{SPJU}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{1} ; \Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}=\Delta \mathrm{SPJU}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} ; \ldots \\
& \text { if }\left(\Delta \mathrm{P}_{1}=\emptyset \text { and } \Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}=\emptyset \text { and } \ldots\right) \\
& \quad \text { then break } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{1} \cup \Delta \mathrm{P}_{1} ; \mathrm{P}_{2}=\mathrm{P}_{2} \cup \Delta \mathrm{P}_{2} ; \ldots
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Endloop}

Example:

\[
\begin{aligned}
& T(x, y)=R(x, y), \quad \Delta T(x, y)=R(x, y) \\
& \text { Loop } \\
& \Delta T(x, y)=(R(x, z) \bowtie \Delta T(z, y))-R(x, y) \\
& \text { if }(\Delta T=\emptyset) \\
& \text { then break } \\
& T=T \cup \Delta T
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Semi-naïve Evaluation Algorithm}

Separate the Datalog program into the non-recursive, and the recursive part. Each \(P_{i}\) defined by non-recursive-SPJU \({ }_{i}\) and (recursive-)SPJU \({ }_{i}\).
\(\mathrm{P}_{1}=\Delta \mathrm{P}_{1}=\) non-recursive-SPJU \({ }_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}=\Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}=\) non-recursive-SPJU \(2, \ldots\) Loop
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{P}_{1}=\Delta \mathrm{SPJU}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{1} ; \Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}=\Delta \mathrm{SPJU}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2} ; \ldots \\
& \text { if }\left(\Delta \mathrm{P}_{1}=\emptyset \text { and } \Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}=\emptyset \text { and } \ldots\right) \\
& \quad \text { then break } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{1} \cup \Delta \mathrm{P}_{1} ; \mathrm{P}_{2}=\mathrm{P}_{2} \cup \Delta \mathrm{P}_{2} ; \ldots
\end{aligned}
\]

Endloop

Example: \(\begin{aligned} & T(x, y): R(x, y) \\ & T(x, y)=R(x, z), T(z, y)\end{aligned}\)
Note: for any linear datalog programs, the semi-naïve algorithm has only one \(\Delta\)-rule for each rule!
\[
\begin{aligned}
& T(x, y)=R(x, y), \quad \Delta T(x, y)=R(x, y) \\
& \text { Loop } \\
& \Delta T(x, y)=(R(x, z) \bowtie \Delta T(z, y))-R(x, y) \\
& \text { if }(\Delta T=\emptyset) \\
& \text { then break } \\
& T=T U \Delta T \\
& \text { Endloop }
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Example}




\section*{Example}


First iteration:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& T(x, y)=R(x, y), \Delta T(x, y)=R(x, y) \\
& \text { Loop } \\
& \Delta T(x, y)= \\
& \quad(R(x, z) \bowtie \Delta T(z, y))-R(x, y) \\
& \text { if }(\Delta T=\emptyset) \text { break } \\
& T=T \cup \Delta T
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Endloop}

Second iteration:



\section*{Example}

\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \Delta \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \text { Loop } \\
& \Delta \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})= \\
& (\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}) \bowtie \Delta \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{y}))-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \\
& \text { if }(\Delta \mathrm{T}=\emptyset) \text { break } \\
& \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T} u \Delta \mathrm{~T}
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Endloop}

First iteration: Second iteration: Third iteration:

\(\Delta T=\)
paths of length 4
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 \\
\hline 1 & 4 \\
\hline 2 & 1 \\
\hline 2 & 3 \\
\hline 2 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 2 \\
\hline 1 & 4 \\
\hline 2 & 1 \\
\hline 2 & 3 \\
\hline 3 & 4 \\
\hline 4 & 5 \\
\hline 1 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 3 \\
\hline 1 & 5 \\
\hline 2 & 2 \\
\hline 2 & 4 \\
\hline 3 & 5 \\
\hline 2 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\square\)

\section*{Discussion of Semi-Naïve Algorithm}
- Avoids re-computing some tuples, but not all tuples
- Easy to implement, no disadvantage over naïve
- A rule is called linear if its body contains only one recursive IDB predicate:
- A linear rule always results in a single incremental rule
- A non-linear rule may result in multiple incremental rules

\section*{Outline}
- Datalog rules
- Recursion
- Semantics
- Negation, aggregates, stratification
- Naïve and Semi-naïve Evaluation
- Connection to RA - on your own

\section*{Datalog v.s. RA (and SQL)}
- "Pure" datalog has recursion, but no negation, aggregates: all queries are monotone; impractical
- Datalog without recursion, plus negation and aggregates expresses the same queries as RA: next slides
\(R(A, B, C)\)

\section*{RA to Datalog by Examples}

\section*{Union:}
\(R(A, B, C) \cup S(D, E, F)\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
U(x, y, z) & :-R(x, y, z) \\
U(x, y, z) & :-S(x, y, z)
\end{aligned}
\]
\(R(A, B, C)\)
S(D,E,F)
T(G,H)

\section*{RA to Datalog by Examples}

Intersection:
\(R(A, B, C) \cap S(D, E, F)\)
\(I(x, y, z):-R(x, y, z), S(x, y, z)\)

\section*{RA to Datalog by Examples}

Selection: \(\sigma_{x>100}\) and \(y=\) 'foo' \((R)\)
\(L(x, y, z):-R(x, y, z), x>100, y=' f o o '\)

Selection: \(\sigma_{x>100}\) or \(y=\) 'foo' \((R)\)
\(L(x, y, z):-R(x, y, z), x>100\)
\(L(x, y, z):-R(x, y, z), y=' f o o \prime\)
\(R(A, B, C)\)
S(D,E,F)
T(G,H)

\section*{RA to Datalog by Examples}

\section*{Equi-join: \(R \bowtie_{\text {R.A=S.D and R.B=S.E }} S\)}
\[
J(x, y, z, q):-R(x, y, z), S(x, y, q)
\]
\(R(A, B, C)\)
S(D,E,F)
T(G,H)

\section*{RA to Datalog by Examples}

\section*{Projection: \(\quad \Pi_{A}(R)\)}
\[
P(x):-R(x, y, z)
\]

\section*{\(R(A, B, C)\) \\ S(D,E,F) \\ T(G,H) \\ RA to Datalog by Examples}

To express difference, we add negation \(R-S\)
\[
D(x, y, z):-R(x, y, z), \text { NOT } S(x, y, z)
\]

\title{
\(R(A, B, C)\) \\ S(D,E,F) \\ T(G,H) \\ \\ Examples
} \\ \\ Examples
}

Translate: \(\Pi_{A}\left(\sigma_{B=3}(R)\right)\)
\(A(a):-R\left(a, 3, \_\right)\)

Underscore used to denote an "anonymous variable" Each such variable is unique
\(R(A, B, C)\)

\section*{Examples}

Translate: \(\Pi_{A}\left(\sigma_{B=3}(R) \bowtie_{R . A=S . D} \sigma_{E=5}(S)\right)\)
\(A(a):-R\left(a, 3, \_\right), S\left(a, 5, \_\right)\)

These are different "_"s

Friend(name1, name2)
Enemy(name1, name2)

\section*{More Examples w/o Recursion}

Find Joe's friends, and Joe's friends of friends.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A(x) :- Friend('Joe', x) } \\
& \text { A(x) :- Friend('Joe', z), Friend(z, x) }
\end{aligned}
\]

Friend(name1, name2)
Enemy(name1, name2)

\section*{More Examples w/o Recursion}

Find all of Joe's friends who do not have any friends except for Joe:
```

JoeFriends(x) :- Friend('Joe',x)
NonAns(x) :- JoeFriends(x), Friend(x,y), y != `Joe`
A(x) :- JoeFriends(x), NOT NonAns(x)

```

\section*{Friend(name1, name2)}

Enemy(name1, name2)

\section*{More Examples w/o Recursion}

Find all people such that all their enemies' enemies are their friends
- Q: if someone doesn't have any enemies nor friends, do we want them in the answer?
- A: Yes!
```

Everyone(x) :- Friend(x,y)
Everyone(x) :- Friend(y,x)
Everyone(x) :- Enemy(x,y)
Everyone(x) :- Enemy(y,x)
NonAns(x) :- Enemy(x,y),Enemy(y,z), NOT Friend(x,z)
A(x) :- Everyone(x), NOT NonAns(x)

```

\section*{Friend(name1, name2)}

Enemy(name1, name2)

\section*{More Examples w/o Recursion}

Find all persons \(x\) that have a friend all of whose enemies are x's enemies.
```

Everyone(x) :- Friend(x,y)
NonAns(x) :- Friend(x,y) Enemy(y,z), NOT Enemy(x,z)
A(x) :- Everyone(x), NOT NonAns(x)

```

\section*{More Examples w/ Recursion}
- Two people are in the same generation if they are siblings, or if they have parents in the same generation
- Find all persons in the same generation with Alice

\section*{More Examples w/ Recursion}
- Find all persons in the same generation with Alice
- Let's compute \(\mathrm{SG}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\) " \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) are in the same generation"
```

SG(x,y) :- ParentChild(p,x), ParentChild(p,y)
SG(x,y) :- ParentChild(p,x), ParentChild(q,y), SG(p,q)
Answer(x) :- SG("Alice", x)

```

\section*{Datalog Summary}
- EDB (base relations) and IDB (derived relations)
- Datalog program = set of rules
- Datalog is recursive
- Some reminders about semantics:
- Multiple atoms in a rule mean join (or intersection)
- Variables with the same name are join variables
- Multiple rules with same head mean union

\section*{Datalog and SQL}
- Stratified data (w/ recursion, w/o +, \({ }^{*}, \ldots\) ): expresses precisely* queries in PTIME
- Cannot find a Hamiltonian cycle (why?)
- SQL has also been extended to express recursive queries:
- Use a recursive "with" clause, also CTE (Common Table Expression)
- Often with bizarre restrictions...
- ... Just use datalog```

