

CSE 544 Homework 3

April 2006

Due date: Wednesday, May 3, 2006.

1. (12 points) This is the famous drinkers-beers-bars problem, used by Ullman in his early textbook on databases. Consider the following schema:

`Likes(drinker, beer), Frequents(drinker, bar), Serves(bar, beer)`

We will abbreviate the table names with L, F, S . For example the following query finds all drinkers that like only **Bud-Light**:

$$q(d) : - (\exists b.L(d, b)) \wedge (\forall b.L(d, b) \Rightarrow b = \text{Bud-Light})$$

Note that the first condition ensures that the query is safe.

Write FO formulas to compute the following:

- (a) Find all drinkers that frequent only bars that serve only beer they like. (Optimists)
- (b) Find all drinkers that frequent only bars that serve some beer they like. (Realists)
- (c) Find all drinkers that frequent some bar that serves only beers they like. (Prudents)
- (d) Find all drinkers that frequent only bars that serve none of the beers they like. (Flagellators)

2. (12 points) A formula $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is *range-restricted* if (a) it is of the form:

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m) \equiv \text{adom}(x_1) \wedge \text{adom}(x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge \text{adom}(x_m) \wedge \psi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

(b) every quantifier in ψ has one of these two forms: $\forall z.(\text{adom}(z) \Rightarrow \omega)$ or $\exists z.(\text{adom}(z) \wedge \omega)$, where ω is some other formula. Here $\text{adom}(u)$ is a formula that checks if u is in the active domain. Examples of range restricted formulas over the vocabulary $R(x, y)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x) &\equiv \text{adom}(x) \wedge (\forall y. \text{adom}(y) \rightarrow R(x, y)) \\ \varphi_2(x, y) &\equiv \text{adom}(x) \wedge \text{adom}(y) \wedge (R(x, x) \vee \exists z. (\text{adom}(z) \wedge \neg R(y, z))) \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{adom}(u) \equiv (\exists v. R(u, v)) \vee (\exists v. (R(v, u)))$. Indicate for each of the statements below if it is true or false. You don't have to justify your answer:

- (a) Every range restricted formula is safe (i.e. domain independent: that is, its answer depends only on the extent of the relations and not on the domain).
 - (b) Every range restricted formula is finite (i.e. on any structure that has finite relations but possible infinite domain it returns a finite set of answers).
 - (c) Every safe formula is range restricted.
 - (d) For every safe formula φ there is some range restricted formula φ' s.t. φ and φ' are equivalent, $\varphi \equiv \varphi'$.
 - (e) The set of range restricted formulas is decidable.
 - (f) The set of safe formulas is decidable.
3. (21 points) Consider three finite relations: $R(x, y), S(x), U(x, y)$.
- (a) Write a formula $\text{adom}(x)$ that computes the active domain of a database with the schema R, S, U .
 - (b) For each of the FO queries below do the following: (1) indicate whether they are finite or not, (2) indicate whether they are safe or not, (3) give a range restricted formula that is equivalent, or indicate that no such formula exists.

- i. $\{x \mid S(x) \wedge \forall y.(\neg R(x, y))\}$
- ii. $\{x \mid S(x) \wedge (\forall y.(R(x, y) \Rightarrow \exists z.(S(z) \vee U(y, z))))\}$
- iii. $\{x \mid \exists y.(S(y) \Rightarrow \forall z.(R(x, y) \wedge U(y, z)))\}$
- iv. $\{x \mid S(x) \wedge \forall y.(S(y) \wedge R(x, y))\}$
- v. $\{x \mid S(x) \wedge \forall y.(U(x, y) \vee \forall z.(\neg R(y, z)))\}$
- vi. $\{(x, y) \mid \exists z.(R(x, z) \vee U(z, y))\}$

4. (18 points) Let $T(x, y, z)$ and $L(x)$ be two tables representing a binary tree: a triple (x, y, z) in T says that x is the parent of y and z , while a node x in L indicates that x is a leaf.

- (a) Two nodes u, v are on the same level in the tree if either u and v have the same parent, or their parents are on the same level. Write a datalog query that returns all nodes that are on the same level as given node a (here a is a constant).
- (b) Alice and Bob play the following pebble game on the tree T . Alice places the pebble on some node x . Next, Bob moves the pebble to one of the children of x , call it x_1 . Next, Alice moves the pebble to one of the children of x_1 call it x_2 . The game continues until the pebble reaches a leaf, x . If $A(x)$ is true then Alice wins, otherwise Bob wins. Here we assume that $A(x)$ is a predicate that is true at a leaf x if Alice wins at x . Write a datalog query that computes the set of all nodes x where Alice can start the game and have a winning strategy.

5. (22 points) Query containment.

- (a) Indicate for each pair of queries q, q' below, whether $q \subseteq q'$. If the answer is yes, provide a proof; if the answer is no, give a database instance I on which $q(I) \not\subseteq q'(I)$.

i.

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(x) &: - R(x, y), R(y, z), R(z, x) \\
 q'(x) &: - R(x, y), R(y, z), R(z, u), R(u, v), R(v, z)
 \end{aligned}$$

ii.

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(x, y) &: - R(x, u, u), R(u, v, w), R(w, w, y) \\
 q'(x, y) &: - R(x, u, v), R(v, v, v), R(v, w, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

iii.

$$\begin{aligned}q() &: - R(u, u, x, y), R(x, y, v, w), v \neq w \\q'() &: - R(u, u, x, y), x \neq y\end{aligned}$$

iv.

$$\begin{aligned}q(x) &: - R(x, y), R(y, z), R(z, v) \\q'(x) &: - R(x, y), R(y, z), y \neq z\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let:

$$\begin{aligned}q_1(x) &: - R(x, y), R(y, z), R(z, u) \\q_2(x) &: - R(x, y), R(y, z)\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $q_1 \subseteq q_2$. Give an example of a conjunctive query q such that $q_1 \subset q$ and $q \subset q_2$. Here $q_1 \subset q$ means $q_1 \subseteq q$ and not $q \subseteq q_1$.

(c) Consider the following two queries:

$$\begin{aligned}q_1(x) &: - R(x, y), R(y, z), R(a, z) \\q_2(x) &: - R(x, y), R(y, z), R(z, u), R(y, b)\end{aligned}$$

Here a and b are constants, while x, y, z, u are variables. Find two queries q and q' such that the following four conditions hold simultaneously: $q \subseteq q_1$, $q \subseteq q_2$, $q_1 \subseteq q'$, $q_2 \subseteq q'$. You should choose q and q' as "tight" as possible.

6. (15 points) For each statement below indicate whether it is true or false. You do not have to provide any proof. (Note: some answers below are trivial, but one statements has a difficult proof. You don't have to prove it, or find the proof in the literature: instead rely on your intuition to provide a true/false answer).

- (a) Every query in FO has a data complexity which is in PTIME
- (b) All queries in FO are monotone.
- (c) The query complexity of conjunctive queries is NP complete.
- (d) There exists a query in FO that is not expressible in datalog.
- (e) If a query can be expressed in FO and also in datalog, then it can be expressed in UCQ (= unions of conjunctive queries).