Attention Mechanism

Machine Translation

- Before 2014: Statistical Machine Translation (SMT)
  - Extremely complex systems that require massive human efforts
  - Separately designed components
  - A lot of feature engineering
  - Lots of linguistic domain knowledge and expertise

- Before 2016:
  - Google Translate is based on statistical machine learning

- What happened in 2014?
  - Neural machine translation (NMT)

Sequence to Sequence Model

- Neural Machine Translation (NMT)
  - Learning to translate via a **single end-to-end** neural network.
  - Source language sentence X, target language sentence $Y = f(X; \theta)$

- Sequence to Sequence Model (Seq2Seq, Sutskever et al. , '14)
  - Two RNNs: f_{enc} and f_{dec}

    $f_{enc}(X)$: information of X
  - Encoder f_{enc}:
    - Takes X as input, and output the initial hidden state for decoder
    - Can use bidirectional RNN

    $f_{dec}(f_{enc}(X)) \rightarrow Y$
  - Decoder f_{dec}:
    - It takes in the hidden state from f_{enc} to generate Y
    - Can use autoregressive language model

Sequence to Sequence Model

each word → one-hot encoding



The sequence-to-sequence model



Decoder RNN is a Language Model that generates target sentence, *conditioned on encoding*.

Encoder RNN produces an encoding of the source sentence.

Note: This diagram shows **test time** behavior: decoder output is fed in as next step's input

Training Sequence to Sequence Model

- Collect a huge paired dataset and train it end-to-end via BPTT
- Loss induced by MLE $P(Y|X) = P(Y|f_{enc}(X))$

(source language sentence)

(target "word" —)



Seq2seq is optimized as a **single system.** Backpropagation operates *"end-to-end"*.

Deep Sequence to Sequence Model

- Stacked seq2seq model



Machine Translation

- 2016: Google switched Google Translate from SMT to NMT



Alignment

- Alignment: the word-level correspondence between X and Y
- Can have complex long-term dependencies





Issue in Seq2Seq

- Alignment: the word-level correspondence between X and Y
  - The information bottleneck due to the hidden state h
  - We want each Y_t to also focus on some X_i that it is aligned with



Seq2Seq with Attention

- NMT by jointly learning to align and translate (Bahdanau, Cho, Bengio, '15)
- Core idea:
  - When decoding Y_t, consider both hidden states and alignment:
    - Hidden state: $h_t = f_{dec}(Y_{i<t})$
    - Alignment: connect to a portion of X
  - When portion of X to focus on?
    - Learn a softmax weight over X: attention distribution P_{att}
    - $\boxed{P_{att}(X_i \mid h_t)}$: how much attention to put on word X_i
    - Attention output $h_{att,t} = \sum_i f_{enc}(X_i \mid X_{j<i}) \cdot \underbrace{P_{att}(X_i \mid h_{t-1})}_{\text{scalars}} \in \mathbb{R}^k$
    - Use h_t and $h_{att,t}$ to compute Y_t

$h_t \in \mathbb{R}^k$

$f_{enc}(X_i \mid X_{j<i}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$

$Y_t = f(h_t, h_{att})$

Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention



$$\frac{\exp(\tilde{h}_3^T h_0)}{\sum_{j=1}^{4} \exp(\tilde{h}_j^T h_0)}$$

Seq2Seq with Attention



Use the attention distribution to take a **weighted sum** of the encoder hidden states.

The attention output mostly contains information from the hidden states that received high attention.

Seq2Seq with Attention

$W_3\,\sigma(W_1 h_0 + W_2 \cdot h_{att,0} + b)$



Concatenate attention output with decoder hidden state, then use to compute $\hat{y}_1$ as before

Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention

Summary

- Input sequence X, encoder f_{enc}, and decoder f_{dec}
- $f_{enc}(X)$ produces hidden states $h_1^{enc}, h_2^{enc}, \ldots, h_N^{enc}$
- On time step t, we have decoder hidden state h_t
- Compute attention score $e_i = h_t^\top h_i^{enc}$
- Compute attention distribution $\alpha_i = P_{att}(X_i) = \mathrm{softmax}(e_i)$
- Attention output: $h_{att}^{enc} = \sum_i \alpha_i h_i^{enc}$
- $Y_t \sim g(h_t, h_{att}^{enc}; \theta)$
  - Sample an output using both h_t and h_{att}^{enc}

Attention

- It significantly improves NMT.
- It solves the bottleneck problem and the long-term dependency issue.
- Also helps gradient vanishing problem.
- Provides some interpretability
  - Understanding which word the RNN encoder focuses on



- Attention is a general technique
  - Given a set of vector values V_i and vector query q
  - Attention computes a weighted sum of values depending on q

Other use cases:

- Attention can be viewed as a module.
- In encoder and decoder (more on this later)
- A representation of a set of points
  - Pointer network (Vinyals, Forunato, Jaitly '15)
  - Deep Sets (Zaheer et al., '17)
- Convolutional neural networks
  - To include non-local information in CNN (Non-local network, '18)

Attention

- Representation learning:
  - A method to obtain a fixed representation corresponding to a query q from an arbitrary set of representations $\{V_i\}$
  - Attention distribution: $\alpha_i = \text{softmax}(f(v_i, q))$

    $h_i^{T} h_t$
  - Attention output: $v_{att} = \sum_i \alpha_i v_i$

- Attent variant: $f(v_i, q)$
  - Multiplicative attention: $f(v_i, q) = q^\top W h_i$, W is a weight matrix
  - Additive attention: $f(v_i, q) = u^\top \text{tanh}(W_1 v_i + W_2 q)$

$h_t^T h_i^{enc} \rightarrow score_i \rightarrow \sum \alpha_i h_i^{enc}$

Key-query-value attention

- Obtain q_t, v_t, k_t from X_t
- $q_t = W^q X_t$; $v_t = W^v X_t$; $k_t = W^k X_t$ (position encoding omitted)
  - W^q, W^v, W^k are learnable weight matrices
- $\alpha_{i,j} = \text{softmax}(q_i^\top k_j)$; $out_i = \sum_k \alpha_{i,j} v_j$
- Intuition: key, query, and value can focus on different parts of input



Attention is all you need (Vsawani '17)

- A pure attention-based architecture for sequence modeling
  - No RNN at all!
- Basic component: self-attention, $Y = f_{SA}(X;\theta)$
  - X_t uses attention on entire X sequence
  - Y_t computed from X_t and the attention output
- Computing Y_t
  - Key k_t, value v_t, query q_t from X_t
    - $(k_t, v_t, q_t) = g_1(X_t;\theta)$
  - Attention distribution $\alpha_{t,j} = \text{softmax}(q_t^\top k_j)$
    - Attention output $out_t = \sum_j \alpha_{t,j} v_j$

      $Y_t = out_t$
    - $Y_t = g_2(out_t;\theta)$



attention output



Issues of Vanilla Self-Attention

- Attention is order-invariant

- Lack of non-linearities
  - All the weights are simple weighted average

- Capability of autoregressive modeling
  - In generation tasks, the model cannot “look at the future”
  - e.g. Text generation:
    - Y_t can only depend on $X_{i<t}$
    - But vanilla self-attention requires the entire sequence

Position Encoding

- Vanilla self-attention
  - $(k_t, v_t, q_t) = g_1(X_t; \theta)$
  - $\alpha_{t,j} = \text{softmax}(q_t^\top k_j)$
  - Attention output $out_t = \sum_j \alpha_{t,j} v_j$
- Idea: position encoding:
  - p_i: an embedding vector (feature) of position i
  - $(k_t, v_t, q_t) = g_1([X_t, p_t]; \theta)$
- In practice: Additive is sufficient: $k_t \leftarrow \tilde{k}_t + p_t, q_t \leftarrow \tilde{q}_t + p_t, v_t \leftarrow \tilde{v}_t + p_t$;
  $(\tilde{k}_t, \tilde{v}_t, \tilde{q}_t) = g_1(X_t; \theta)$
- p_t is only included in the first layer

Position Encoding

$p_t, k_t, q_t, v_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$

p_t design 1: **Sinusoidal position representation**

- Pros:
  - simple
  - naturally models "relative position"
  - Easily applied to long sequences
- Cons:
  - Not learnable
  - Generalization poorly to sequences longer than training data



Heatmap of $p_i^T p_j$

$i = j \Rightarrow p_i^T p_j = \frac{d}{2}$

i, j far

$\Rightarrow$ nearly orthogonal

$$p_i = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(i/10000^{2*1/d}) \\ \cos(i/10000^{2*1/d}) \\ \vdots \\ \sin(i/10000^{2*\frac{d}{2}/d}) \\ \cos(i/10000^{2*\frac{d}{2}/d}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Position Encoding

$p_1, \ldots, p_L \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$\{1, \ldots, L\}$

$\downarrow$

$\{p_1, \ldots, p_L\}$

p_t design 2: **Learned representation**

- Assume maximum length L, learn a matrix $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times \cancel{T} L}$, p_t is a column of p
- Pros:
  - Flexible
  - Learnable and more powerful
- Cons:
  - Need to assume a fixed maximum length L
  - Does not work at all for length above L

Combine Self-Attention with Nonlinearity

- Vanilla self-attention
  - No element-wise activation (e.g., ReLU, tanh)
  - Only weighted average and softmax operator

- Fix:
  - Add an MLP to process out_i
  - $m_i = MLP(out_i) = W_2 \text{ReLU}(W_1 out_i + b_1) + b_2$
  - Usually do not put activation layer before softmaax



Masked Attention

- In language model decoder: $P(Y_t \mid X_{i<t})$
  - out_t cannot look at future $X_{i>t}$
- Masked attention
  - Compute $e_{i,j} = q_i^\top k_j$ as usuall
  - Mask out $e_{i>j}$ by setting $e_{i>j} = -\infty$
    - $e \odot (1 - M) \leftarrow -\infty$
    - M is a fixed 0/1 mask matrix
  - Then compute $\alpha_i = \text{softmax}(e_i)$
  - Remarks:
    - $M = 1$ for full self-attention
    - Set M for arbitrary dependency ordering





Transformer

Transformer-based sequence-to-sequence modeling



Key-query-value attention

- Obtain q_t, v_t, k_t from X_t
- $q_t = W^q X_t$; $v_t = W^v X_t$; $k_t = W^k X_t$ (position encoding omitted)
  - W^q, W^v, W^k are learnable weight matrices
- $\alpha_{i,j} = \text{softmax}(q_i^\top k_j)$; $out_i = \sum_k \alpha_{i,j} v_j$
- Intuition: key, query, and value can focus on different parts of input



Multi-headed attention

- Standard attention: single-headed attention
  - $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $Q, K, V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$
  - We only look at a single position j with high $\alpha_{i,j}$
  - What if we want to look at different j for different reasons?
- Idea: define h separate attention heads
  - h different attention distributions, keys, values, and queries
  - $Q^\ell, K^\ell, V^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \frac{d}{h}}$ for $1 \le \ell \le h$
  - $\alpha_{i,j}^\ell = \text{softmax}((q_i^\ell)^\top k_j^\ell)$; $out_i^\ell = \sum_j \alpha_{i,j}^\ell v_j^\ell$

#Params Unchanged!



Multi-headed attention

- Standard attention: single-headed attention
  - $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^d, Q, K, V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$
  - We only look at a single position j with high $\alpha_{i,j}$
  - What if we want to look at different j for different reasons?
- Idea: define h separate attention heads
  - h different attention distributions, keys, values, and queries
  - $Q^\ell, K^\ell, V^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \frac{d}{h}}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq h$
  - $\alpha_{i,j}^\ell = \text{softmax}((q_i^\ell)^\top k_j^\ell); out_i^\ell = \sum_j \alpha_{i,j}^\ell v_j^\ell$



Transformer

Transformer-based sequence-to-sequence model

- Basic building blocks: self-attention
  - Position encoding
  - Post-processing MLP
  - Attention mask

- Enhancements:
  - Key-query-value attention
  - Multi-headed attention
  - Architecture modifications:
    - Residual connection
    - Layer normalization



Transformer

Machine translation with transformer

Model	BLEU		Training Cost (FLOPs)	
	EN-DE	EN-FR	EN-DE	EN-FR
ByteNet [18]	23.75			
Deep-Att + PosUnk [39]		39.2		$1.0 \cdot 10^{20}$
GNMT + RL [38]	24.6	39.92	$2.3 \cdot 10^{19}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{20}$
ConvS2S [9]	25.16	40.46	$9.6 \cdot 10^{18}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{20}$
MoE [32]	26.03	40.56	$2.0 \cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{20}$
Deep-Att + PosUnk Ensemble [39]		40.4		$8.0 \cdot 10^{20}$
GNMT + RL Ensemble [38]	26.30	41.16	$1.8 \cdot 10^{20}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{21}$
ConvS2S Ensemble [9]	26.36	**41.29**	$7.7 \cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{21}$
Transformer (base model)	27.3	38.1	$\mathbf{3.3 \cdot 10^{18}}$	
Transformer (big)	**28.4**	**41.8**	$2.3 \cdot 10^{19}$	

Transformer

L^2

- Limitations of transformer: Quadratic computation cost
  - Linear for RNNs
  - Large cost for large sequence length, e.g., $L > 10^4$

- Follow-ups:
  - Large-scale training: transformer-XL; XL-net ('20)
  - Projection tricks to $O(L)$: Linformer ('20)
  - Math tricks to $O(L)$: Performer ('20)
  - Sparse interactions: Big Bird ('20)
  - Deeper transformers: DeepNet ('22)

Transformer for Images

- Vision Transformer ('21)
  - Decompose an image to 16x16 patches and then apply transformer encoder



Transformer for Images

- Swin Transformer ('21)
  - Build hierachical feature maps at different resolution
    - Self-attention only within each block
    - Shifted block partitions to encode information between blocks



(a) Swin Transformer (ours)

(b) ViT



Figure 2. An illustration of the *shifted window* approach for com-

Summary

- Language model & sequence to sequence model:
  - Fundamental ideas and methods for sequence modeling

- Attention mechanism
  - So far the most successful idea for sequence data in deep learning
  - A scale/order-invariant representation
  - Transformer: a fully attention-based architecture for sequence data
  - Transformer + Pretraining: the core idea in today's NLP tasks

- LSTM is still useful in lightweight scenarios

Other architectures



Graph Neural Networks





arbitrary ordering of nodes

Graph Neural Networks

permutation-equivariant

$$\mathbf{F}\left(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}^{\top}\right) = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A})$$

$\in \mathcal{S}^{n}$



Geometric Deep Learning



Perceptrons
Function regularity



CNNs
Translation



Group-CNNs
Translation+Rotation

DeepSets / Transformers
Permutation



GNNs
Permutation

Intrinsic CNNs
Local frame choice