## Approximation Theory

## Specific Setups

- "Average" approximation: given a distribution $\mu$

$$
\|f-g\|_{\mu}=\int_{x}|f(x)-g(x)| d \mu(x)
$$

- "Everywhere" approximation

$$
\|f-g\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x}|f(x)-g(x)| \geq\|f-g\|_{\mu}
$$

## Multivariate Approximation

Theorem: Let $g$ be a continuous function that satisfies $\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \delta \Rightarrow\left|g(x)-g\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \epsilon$ (Lipschitzness).
Then there exists a 3-layer ReLU neural network with $O\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{d}}\right)$ nodes that satisfy

$$
\int_{[0,1]^{d}}|f(x)-g(x)| d x=\|f-g\| \leq E
$$
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## Universal Approximation

Definition: A class of functions $\mathscr{F}$ is universal approximator over a compact set $S$ (e.g., $[0,1]^{d}$ ), if for every continuous function $g$ and a target accuracy $\epsilon>0$, there exists $f \in \mathscr{F}$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in S}|f(x)-g(x)| \leq \epsilon
$$

## Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Theorem: If $\mathscr{F}$ satisfies

1. Each $f \in \mathscr{F}$ is continuous.
2. $\forall x, \exists f \in \mathscr{F}, f(x) \neq 0$
3. $\forall x \neq x^{\prime}, \exists f \in \mathscr{F}, f(x) \neq f\left(x^{\prime}\right)$
4. $\mathscr{F}$ is closed under multiplication and vector space operations,
Then $\mathscr{F}$ is a universal approximator:

$$
\forall g: S \rightarrow R, \epsilon>0, \exists f \in \mathscr{F},\|f-g\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon
$$

## Example: cos activation

## Example: cos activation

## Other Examples

## Exponential activation

ReLU activation

## Curse of Dimensionality

- Unavoidable in the worse case


## Barron's Theory

- Can we avoid the curse of dimensionality for "nice" functions?
- What are nice functions?
- Fast decay of the Fourier coefficients
- Fourier basis functions:
$\left\{e_{w}(x)=e^{i\langle w, x\rangle}=\cos (\langle w, x\rangle)+i \sin (\langle w, x\rangle) \mid w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}$
Fourier coefficient: $\hat{f}(w)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) e^{-i\langle w, x\rangle} d x$
- Fourier integral / representation: $f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \hat{f}(w) e^{i\langle w, x\rangle} d w$


## Barron's Theorem

Definition: The Barron constant of a function $f$ is:

$$
C \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\|w\|_{2}|\hat{f}(w)| d w
$$

Theorem (Barron '93): For any $g: \mathbb{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\mathbb{B}_{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}:\|x\|_{2} \leq 1\right\}$ is the unit ball, there exists a 3-layer neural network $f$ with $O\left(\frac{C^{2}}{\epsilon}\right)$ neurons and sigmoid activation function such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{B}_{1}}(f(x)-g(x))^{2} d x \leq \epsilon
$$

## Examples

Gaussian function: $f(x)=\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{d / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{\|x\|_{2}^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)$

- Other functions:
- Polynomials
- Function with bounded derivatives


## Proof Ideas for Barron's Theorem

Step 1: show any continuous function can be written as an infinite neural network with cosine-like activation functions.
(Tool: Fourier representation.)
Step 2: Show that a function with small Barron constant can be approximated by a convex combination of a small number of cosine-like activation functions.
(Tool: subsampling / probabilistic method.)
Step 3: Show that the cosine function can be approximated by sigmoid functions.
(Tool: classical approximation theory.)

## Simple Infinite Neural Nets

Definition: An infinite-wide neural network is defined by a signed measure $\nu$ over neuron weights $(w, b)$

$$
f(x)=\int_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, b \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma\left(w^{\top} x+b\right) d \nu(w, b)
$$

Theorem: Suppose $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, if
$x \in[0,1]$, then $g(x)=\int_{0}^{1} 1\{x \geq b\} \cdot g^{\prime}(b) d b+g(0)$

## Step 1: Infinite Neural Nets

The function can be written as

$$
f(x)=f(0)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\hat{f}(w)|\left(\cos \left(b_{w}+\langle w, x\rangle\right)-\cos \left(b_{w}\right)\right) d w
$$

## Step 1: Infinite Neural Nets Proof

The function can be written as

$$
f(x)=f(0)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\hat{f}(w)|\left(\cos \left(b_{w}+\langle w, x\rangle\right)-\cos \left(b_{w}\right)\right) d w
$$

## Step 2: Subsampling

Writing the function as the expectation of a random variable:

$$
f(x)=f(0)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|\hat{f}(w)|\|w\|_{2}}{C}\left(\frac{C}{\|w\|_{2}}\left(\cos \left(b_{w}+\langle w, x\rangle\right)-\cos \left(b_{w}\right)\right)\right) d w .
$$

## Step 2: Subsampling

Writing the function as the expectation of a random variable:

$$
f(x)=f(0)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|\hat{f}(w)|\|w\|_{2}}{C}\left(\frac{C}{\|w\|_{2}}\left(\cos \left(b_{w}+\langle w, x\rangle\right)-\cos \left(b_{w}\right)\right)\right) d w .
$$

Sample one $w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with probability $\frac{|\hat{f}(w)|\|w\|_{2}}{C}$ for $r$ times.

## Step 3: Approximating the Cosines

Lemma: Given $g_{w}(x)=\frac{C}{\|w\|_{2}}\left(\cos \left(b_{w}+\langle w, x\rangle\right)-\cos \left(b_{w}\right)\right)$, there exists a 2-layer neural network $f_{0}$ of size $O(1 / \epsilon)$ with sigmoid activations, such that $\sup \left|f_{0}(y)-h_{w}(y)\right| \leq \epsilon$.

$$
x \in[-1,1]
$$

## Depth Separation

So far we only talk about 2-layer or 3-layer neural networks.
Why we need Deep learning?
Can we show deep neural networks are strictly better than shallow neural networks?

## A brief history of depth separation

Early results from theoretical computer science
Boolean circuits: a directed acyclic graph model for computation over binary inputs; each node ("gate") performs an operation (e.g. OR, AND, NOT) on the inputs from its predecessors.


## A brief history of depth separation

Early results from theoretical computer science

Boolean circuits: a directed acyclic graph model for computation over binary inputs; each node ("gate") performs an operation (e.g. OR, AND, NOT) on the inputs from its predecessors.

Depth separation: the difference of the computation power: shallow vs deep Boolean circuits.

Håstad ('86): parity function cannot be approximated by a small constant-depth circuit with OR and AND gates.

## Modern depth-separation in neural networks

- Related architectures / models of computation
- Sum-product networks [Bengio, Delalleau '11]
- Heuristic measures of complexity
- Bound of number of linear regions for ReLU networks [Montufar, Pascanu, Cho, Bengio '14]
- Approximation error
- A small deep network cannot be approximated by a small shallow network [Telgarsky '15]


## Shallow Nets Cannot Approximate Deep Nets

Theorem (Telgarsky '15): For every $L \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ representable as a network of depth $O\left(L^{2}\right)$, with $O\left(L^{2}\right)$ nodes, and ReLU activation such that, for every network $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of depth $L$ and $\leq 2^{L}$ nodes, and ReLU activation, we have

$$
\int_{[0,1]}|f(x)-g(x)| d x \geq \frac{1}{32}
$$

## Intuition

A ReLU network $f$ is piecewise linear, we can subdivide domain into a finite number of polyhedral pieces $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{N}\right)$ such that in each piece, $f$ is linear: $\forall x \in P_{i}, f(x)=A_{i} x+b_{i}$.


Deeper neural networks can make exponentially more regions than shallow neural networks.
Make each region has different values, so shallow neural networks cannot approximate.

## Benefits of depth for smooth functions

Theorem (Yarotsky '15): Suppose $f:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has all partial derivatives of order $r$ with coordinate-wise bound in $[-1,1]$, and let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists a $O\left(\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ - depth and $\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{O\left(\frac{d}{r}\right)}$-size network so that $\sup |f(x)-g(x)| \leq \epsilon$. $x \in[0,1]^{d}$

## Remarks

- All results discussed are existential: they prove that a good approximator exists. Finding one efficiently (e.g., using gradient descent) is the next topic (optimization).
- The choices of non-linearity are usually very flexible: most results we saw can be re-proven using different non-linearities.
- There are other approximation error results: e.g., deep and narrow networks are universal approximators.
- Depth separation for optimization and generalization is widely open.

