## CSE 531 Final EXAM

December 11, 1995

1. (25%) Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be accepted by NFA's  $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma_1, \delta_1, q_{10}, F_1)$  and  $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma_2, \delta_2, q_{20}, F_2)$  respectively. Assume  $\Sigma_2 \subseteq \Sigma_1$ . For  $x \in \Sigma_1$ , define h(x) to be the string in  $\Sigma_2$  where each symbol of  $\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2$  is erased in x. For example, if  $\Sigma_1 = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\Sigma_2 = \{a, b\}$ , then h(abccacb) = abab. Define

$$L_1 \mid L_2 = \{x : x \in L_1 \text{ and } h(x) \in L_2\}.$$

- (a) For  $L_1 = ((a+b)^*c)^*$  and  $(bc)^*$  define  $L_1 \mid L_2$  as a regular expression.
- (b) Use a cross product construction to define a finite automaton M of some variety which accepts  $L_1 \mid L_2$ .
- (c) State a behavioral lemma for your construction which could be used to show  $L(M) = L_1 \mid L_2$ .
- 2. (25%) Consider a k-pebble finite automaton. Such a finite automaton is deterministic, has a single read-only tape, and a single read head which can move in two directions. However, the machine has k distinguishable pebbles which it can leave a pick up. If the machine is holding a pebble then it can place it down on the current cell it is visiting. If the head is over a cell which contains a pebble then it can pick it up. There are end markers at both ends of the input to prevent the machine from running off the tape.
  - (a) Describe informally a two-pebble finite automaton which accepts the language  $\{a^nb^n: n \geq 0\}$ .
  - (b) Why is the language accepted by a zero-pebble automaton regular?
  - (c) Demonstrate why the emptiness problem for two-pebble automata is undecidable, by showing how such a machine can accept strings which represent accepting computations of a Turing machine.
  - (d) Argue that if L is accepted by a k-pebble automaton then  $L \in DSPACE(\log n)$ .

3. (25%) Zero-one integer programming is the problem of determining if a system of linear inequalities has a solution where each of the variables is restricted to be either 0 or 1. For example:

$$3 \geq 2x + y$$

$$1 \leq x - 5y + z$$

$$7 \geq 2y + 4z$$

is such a set which has a solution x = 1, y = 0, z = 1.

- (a) Show that 3-CNF Satisfiablity is reducible in polynomial time to zero-one integer programming.
- (b) Demonstrate that zero-one integer programming is NP-complete.
- 4. (25%) An alternating Turing machine  $M = (U, E, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, B, F)$  is such that there are two kinds of states, universal (U) and existential (E). For a configuration C, we define C leads to acceptance recursively as follows: either (i) C is a configuration whose state is in F or (ii) C is a configuration whose state is universal and for all D such that  $C \vdash_M D$ , D leads to acceptance, or (iii) C is a configuration whose state is existential and for some D such that  $C \vdash_M D$ , D leads to acceptance. Finally, define

$$L(M) = \{x \in \Sigma^* : q_0 x \text{ leads to acceptance}\}.$$

- (a) Argue that  $ASPACE(s(n)) \subseteq \bigcup_c DTIME(c^{s(n)})$  whenever  $s(n) \ge a \log n$  for some a > 0. Hint: how many distinct configurations are there of an alternating Turing machine which uses no more than s(n) storage?
- (b) Why is ASPACE( $\log n$ )  $\subseteq P$ ?
- (c) It is also the case that  $\bigcup_c \operatorname{DTIME}(c^{s(n)}) \subseteq \operatorname{ASPACE}(s(n))$  whenever  $s(n) \ge a \log n$  for some a > 0. From this fact why might it be conceivable, and perhaps likely, that the problem of determining if a player has a winning strategy from a board position in an  $n \times n$  board game requires exponential time? Think of the board game being as a two-player game such as go, checkers, or chess generalized in some way.