How well can we learn what the stimulus is by looking at the neural responses?

Two approaches:

- devise explicit algorithms for extracting a stimulus estimate
- directly quantify the relationship between stimulus and response using information theory

## Reading minds: the LGN



Yang Dan, UC Berkeley



Britten et al. '92: behavioral monkey data + neural responses



## Predictable from neural activity?



Discriminability: d' =  $(\langle r \rangle_{+} - \langle r \rangle_{-}) / \sigma_{r}$ 

### Signal detection theory



Decoding corresponds to comparing test, r, to threshold.  $\alpha(z) = P[r \ge z|-]$  false alarm rate, "size"  $\beta(z) = P[r \ge z|+]$  hit rate, "power"

Find z by maximizing P[correct] =  $p(+) \beta(z) + p(-)(1 - \alpha(z))$ 

summarize performance of test for different thresholds z





The area under the ROC curve corresponds to P[correct] for a two-alternative forced choice task

```
If p[r|+] and p[r|-] are both Gaussian,
P[correct] = \frac{1}{2} erfc(-d'/2).
```

To interpret results as two-alternative forced choice, need simultaneous responses from + neuron and from - neuron. Get "- neuron" responses from same neuron in response to - stimulus.

Ideal observer: performs as area under ROC curve.



Close correspondence between neural and behaviour..

Why so many neurons? Correlations limit performance.

# The optimal test function is the *likelihood ratio*, l(r) = p[r|+] / p[r|-].

(Neyman-Pearson lemma)

## Note that $l(z) = (d\beta/dz) / (d\alpha/dz) = d\beta/d\alpha$

i.e. slope of ROC curve

Penalty for incorrect answer:  $L_+$ ,  $L_-$ Observe r;

Expected loss  $Loss_{+} = L_{+}P[-|r]$  $Loss_{-} = L_{-}P[+|r]$ 

Cut your losses: answer + when  $Loss_{+} < Loss_{-}$ i.e.  $L_{+}P[-|r] > L_{-}P[+|r]$ . Using Bayes', P[+|r] = p[r|+]P[+]/p(r);P[-|r] = p[r|-]P[-]/p(r);

 $\rightarrow l(r) = p[r|+]/p[r|-] > L_+P[-] / L_P[+]$ .

Again, if p[r|-] and p[r|+] are Gaussian, and P[+] and P[-] are equal,

P[+|r] = 1/ [1 + exp(-d' (r - )/ 
$$\sigma$$
)].  
→ d' is the slope of the sigmoidal fitted to P[+|r]

For small stimulus differences s and s +  $\delta s$ 

$$\frac{p[r|s + \delta s]}{p[r|s]} \sim \frac{p[r|s] + \delta s \partial p[r|s]/\partial s}{p[r|s]}$$
$$= 1 + \delta s \frac{\partial \ln p[r|s]}{\partial s}.$$
comparing  $Z(r) = \frac{\partial \ln p[r|s]}{\partial s}$ 

to threshold  $(z-1)/\delta s$ 

- Population code formulation
- Methods for decoding: population vector Bayesian inference maximum a posteriori maximum likelihood
- Fisher information

#### Cricket cercal cells coding wind velocity



#### **Population vector**



Theunissen & Miller, 1991

## Cosine tuning:

$$\left(\frac{\langle r \rangle - r_0}{r_{\max}}\right)_a = \left(\frac{f(s) - r_0}{r_{\max}}\right)_a = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{c}_a$$

Pop. vector:

$$\vec{v}_{\text{pop}} = \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left( \frac{r - r_0}{r_{\text{max}}} \right) \vec{c}_a$$

For sufficiently large N,

$$\langle \vec{v}_{\rm pop} \rangle = \sum_{a=1}^{N} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{c}_a) \vec{c}_a$$



is parallel to the direction of arm movement

The population vector is neither general nor optimal.

"Optimal": Bayesian inference and MAP

By Bayes' law,

$$p[s|\mathbf{r}] = \frac{p[\mathbf{r}|s]p[s]}{p[\mathbf{r}]}$$

Introduce a cost function, L(s,s<sub>Bayes</sub>); minimise mean cost.

$$\int ds \, L(s, s_{\text{bayes}}) p[s|\mathbf{r}]$$

For least squares,  $L(s,s_{Bayes}) = (s - s_{Bayes})^2$ ; solution is the conditional mean.

$$s_{\rm bayes} = \int ds \, p[s|\mathbf{r}] s$$

- MAP: s\* which maximizes p[s|r]
- ML: s\* which maximizes p[r|s]

Difference is the role of the prior: differ by factor p[s]/p[r]



### Decoding an arbitrary continuous stimulus



E.g. Gaussian tuning curves

$$f_a(s) = r_{\max} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(s-s_a)}{\sigma_a}\right]^2\right)$$

$$\sum_{a=1} f_a(s) \text{ const.}$$





Population response of 11 cells with Gaussian tuning curves

Apply ML: maximise ln P[r|s] with respect to s

$$\ln P[\mathbf{r}|s] = T \sum_{a=1}^{N} r_a \ln(f_a(s)) + \dots$$

Set derivative to zero, use sum = constant

$$\sum_{a=1}^{N} r_a \frac{f'(s^*)}{f(s^*)} = 0$$

From Gaussianity of tuning curves,

$$s^* = \frac{\sum r_a s_a / \sigma_a^2}{\sum r_a / \sigma_a^2}$$

If all  $\sigma$  same

$$s^* = \frac{\sum r_a s_a}{\sum r_a}$$

Apply MAP: maximise ln p[s|r] with respect to s

$$\ln p[s|\mathbf{r}] = \ln P[\mathbf{r}|s] + \ln p[s] - \ln P[\mathbf{r}]$$

$$\ln p[s|\mathbf{r}] = T \sum_{a=1}^{N} r_a \ln(f_a(s)) + \ln p[s] + \dots$$

Set derivative to zero, use sum = constant

$$\sum_{a=1}^{N} r_a \frac{f'(s^*)}{f(s^*)} + \frac{p'[s]}{p[s]} = 0$$

From Gaussianity of tuning curves,

$$s^* = \frac{T \sum r_a s_a / \sigma_a^2 + s_{\text{prior}} / \sigma_{\text{prior}}^2}{T \sum r_a / \sigma_a^2 + 1 / \sigma_{\text{prior}}^2}$$

### Given this data:



## For stimulus s, have estimated s<sub>est</sub>

Bias:  $b_{est}(s) = \langle s_{est} - s \rangle$ Variance:  $\sigma_{est}^2(s) = \langle (s_{est} - \langle s_{est} \rangle)^2 \rangle$ 

Mean square error:

$$\left\langle (s_{\text{est}} - s)^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle (s_{\text{est}} - \langle s_{\text{est}} \rangle + b_{\text{est}}(s))^2 \right\rangle = \sigma_{\text{est}}^2(s) + b_{\text{est}}^2(s).$$

Cramer-Rao bound:

$$\sigma_{\text{est}}^2 \ge \frac{(1+b_{\text{est}}')^2}{I_{\text{F}}(s)}$$
 Fisher information

$$I_{\rm F}(s) = \left\langle -\frac{\partial^2 \ln p[\mathbf{r}|s]}{\partial^2 s} \right\rangle = \int d\mathbf{r} \, p[\mathbf{r}|s] \left( -\frac{\partial^2 \ln p[\mathbf{r}|s]}{\partial s^2} \right)$$

Alternatively:

$$I_{\mathbf{F}}(s) = \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial \ln p[\mathbf{r}|s]}{\partial s}\right)^2 \right\rangle = \int d\mathbf{r} \, p[\mathbf{r}|s] \left(\frac{\partial \ln p[\mathbf{r}|s]}{\partial s}\right)^2$$

For the Gaussian tuning curves w/Poisson statistics:

$$I_{\rm F}(s) = \left\langle \left(\frac{d^2 \ln P[\mathbf{r}|s]}{ds^2}\right) \right\rangle = T \sum_{a=1}^N \left\langle r_a \right\rangle \left( \left(\frac{f_a'(s)}{f_a(s)}\right)^2 - \frac{f_a''(s)}{f_a(s)}\right)$$

### Fisher information for Gaussian tuning curves



#### Quantifies local stimulus discriminability

Do narrow or broad tuning curves produce better encodings?

$$I_{\rm F} = T \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{r_{\rm max}(s-s_a)^2}{\sigma_r^4} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s-s_a}{\sigma_r}\right)^2\right)$$

Approximate: 
$$I_{\rm F} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\rho_s \sigma_r r_{\rm max}T}{\sigma_r^2}$$
.

Thus,  $I_{\rm F} \sim 1/\sigma_r$   $\rightarrow$  Narrow tuning curves are better

But not in higher dimensions!

$$I_{\rm F} \sim (2\pi)^{D/2} D\rho_s \sigma_r^{D-2} r_{\rm max} T$$

 $\rightarrow$ 

Recall d' = mean difference/standard deviation

Can also decode and discriminate using decoded values.

Trying to discriminate s and  $s+\Delta s$ : Difference in estimate is  $\Delta s$  (unbiased) variance in estimate is  $1/I_F(s)$ .

$$d' = \Delta s \sqrt{I_{\rm F}(s)}$$