CSE 527 Notes Lecture 6, 10/15/03, Eric Herbig Cluster Validation For Gene Expression Data -leave out one cross validation -cluster using all genes but leave out one condition -use left out condition to check cohesiveness of clusters -repeat for each condition -can be used to compare algorithms

Figure of Merit (FOM)

-general indicator of cluster quality
-small variance of left out condition within clusters---good method
-as the number of clusters increases, FOM decreases (in general)
-used to determine (ballpark figure) optimal number of clusters
-example in class where FOM levels out at 5 clusters-->use 5 clusters

Clustering Case Studies

-Yeast data

-can clustering recreate 5 phases of cell cycle determined experimentally?

-single link performed very poorly, barely better than random

-other methods performed much better, all fairly similar (average link, complete

link, k-means, centroid link)

-Ovarian cancer model

-single link- performed poorly

-complete link, cast, and k-means performed well

-average link performed ok

-centroid link performed poorly

-Rat CNS data

-single link performed alright (in contrast to yeast and cancer studies)

-Barrett's data

-lowest FOM correctly grouped/separated some known marker genes

-Conclusions

-cast and K-means produce higher quality clustering than hierarchical

-single link worst

-FOM methodology allows comparison of any clustering algorithms on any data set.

-Adjusted Rand

-measurement of agreement between 2 parititions of data-->must know truth to use

-look at pairs of genes in algorithm and the true solution-->are they the same in both?

-best score=1, worse<1, expected score for random partition = 0

-as adjusted rand gets better so does adjusted FOM

-Hurbert Score

-alternative to adjusted rand

Principle Component (PC) Analysis for Clustering

-reduce dimensionality, while retaining as much variation as possible

-linear transformation of original variable

-PC uncorrelated and ordered

-1st PC-->a line on a graph that gives most variation to the data

-2nd PC-->line perpendicular to 1st PC giving most remaining variation

-PC Analysis of Yeast Sporulation Data

-1st PC measured total average expression

-2 PC's accounted for 90% variability in the data

-3 PC's accounter for 95% variability in the data

-determine how many PC's needed to represent given % of the data

-Pictorial of graph with 2 PC's--->delineating clusters derived from known functions

-clusters not very obvious

-3 PC's--->better cluster separation

-Using first few PC's to define each point approximately preserves Euclidian distances -but with correlation coefficient-->no general relationship before and after PCA -largest eigen values for PC's does not always lead to best clustering

-Ovary Data

-14 PC's needed to account for 90% variation

-Synthetic data

- 1. compute mean vector and covariance matrix for real data; generated random data sets by sampling from Gaussian with this mean/covariance structure
- 2. re-sample real data independently in each column

Method 1 preserves covariance structure, but not the empirical distribution of values; method 2 does the reverse.

Study Approach

-cluster original-cluster using PCA-cluster using PCA with higher Rand indices

(Continued next lecture)