

## Lecture 2: Second Moment Method

Lecturer: Shayan Oveis Gharan

10/04/2022

Scribe:

**Disclaimer:** *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications.*

Consider a positive integer  $n$  and  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Perhaps the simplest model of random (undirected) graphs is  $G_{n,p}$ . To sample a graph from  $G_{n,p}$ , we add every edge  $\{u, v\}$  (for  $u \neq v$  and  $u, v \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ) independently with probability  $p$ .

For example, if  $X$  denotes the number of edges in a  $G_{n,p}$  random graph, then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \binom{n}{2} \cdot p.$$

A 4-clique in a graph is a set of four nodes such that all  $\binom{4}{2} = 6$  possible edges between the nodes are present. Let  $G$  be a random graph sampled according to  $G_{n,p}$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}_4$  denote the event that  $G$  contains a 4-clique. It will turn out that if  $p \gg n^{-2/3}$ , then  $G$  contains a 4-clique with probability close to 1, while if  $p \ll n^{-2/3}$ , then  $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_4]$  will be close to 0. Thus  $p = n^{-2/3}$  is a “threshold” for the appearance of a 4-clique.

**Remark 2.1.** *Here we use the asymptotic notation  $f(n) \gg g(n)$  to denote that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly, we write  $f(n) \ll g(n)$  to denote that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) \rightarrow 0$ .*

We can use a simple first moment calculation for one side of our desired threshold behavior.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $p \ll n^{-2/3}$  then  $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_4] \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  denote the number of 4-cliques in  $G \sim G_{n,p}$ . We can write  $X = \sum_S X_S$  where the set  $S$  runs over all  $\binom{n}{4}$  subsets of four vertices in  $G$ , and  $X_S$  be the indicator random variable that there is a 4-clique on  $S$ . We have  $\mathbb{P}[X_S = 1] = p^6$  since all 6 edges must be present and are independent, thus by linearity of expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X] = p^6 \cdot \binom{n}{4}$ . So if  $p \ll n^{-2/3}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . But now Markov’s inequality implies that

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_4] = \mathbb{P}[X \geq 1] \leq \mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow 0.$$

□

On the other hand, proving that  $p \gg n^{-2/3} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_4] \rightarrow 1$  is more delicate. Even though a first moment calculation implies that, in this case,  $\mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow \infty$ , this is not enough to conclude that  $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_4] \rightarrow 1$ . For instance, it could be the case that with probability  $1 - \frac{1}{n^2}$ , we have no 4-cliques, but we see all  $\binom{n}{4}$  many 4-cliques otherwise. In that case,  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \Theta(n^2)$ , but still the probability of seeing a 4-clique would be  $\frac{1}{n^2}$ . In other words, if the only thing we know about the random variable  $X$  is its expectation we cannot say it is non-zero with high probability. We need to know higher order moments of  $X$ .

## 2.1 Chebyshev’s Inequality

**Definition 2.3** (Variance). *The variance of a random variable  $X$  is defined as*

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}X)^2] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$

**Theorem 2.4** (Chebyshev's Inequality). *For any random variable  $X$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}[|X - \mathbb{E}X| > \epsilon] < \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\epsilon^2}$$

In the probabilistic method, the following statement is very handy.

**Corollary 2.5.** *For any random variable  $X$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}[X = 0] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon = \mathbb{E}X$  in the Chebyshev's inequality. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}[X = 0] \leq \mathbb{P}[|X - \mathbb{E}X| \geq \mathbb{E}X] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}.$$

□

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $X$  is a non-negative random variable, then*

$$\mathbb{P}[X > 0] \geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}[X])^2}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}.$$

*Proof.* We use the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality: For any two random variables  $X, Y$  we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[X \cdot Y] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} \cdot \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[Y^2]}.$$

Having this we write,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{X>0}] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{X>0}]} = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}[X > 0]}.$$

□

For random variables  $X, Y$  let

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Y].$$

In particular, if  $X, Y$  is independent, then  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY]$ .

**Fact 2.7.** *If  $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ , then*

$$\text{Var}(X) = \sum_i \text{Var}(X_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j).$$

*In particular, if all  $X_i$ 's are independent then  $\text{Var}(X) = \sum_i \text{Var}(X_i)$ .*

*Proof.* First, observe

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_i X_i\right)^2 - \left(\mathbb{E}\sum_i X_i\right)^2$$

Expanding the terms and combining the terms corresponding to  $X_i, X_j$  gives the desired identity. □

**Lemma 2.8.** *If  $p \gg n^{-2/3}$ , then  $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_4] \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_S$  be the indicator random variable of having a clique on  $S$  and  $X = \sum_S X_S$  as before. Using [Corollary 2.5](#),

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{C}_4] = \mathbb{P}[X > 0] \geq 1 - \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{(\mathbb{E}X)^2}$$

our goal is to show that  $\text{Var}(X) \ll (\mathbb{E}X)^2$ .

First, notice that for any  $S$ ,

$$\text{Var}(X_S) = \mathbb{E}[X_S] - (\mathbb{E}[X_S])^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X_S] = p^6.$$

So,  $\sum_S \text{Var}(X_S) \leq \binom{n}{4} p^6$ .

Now, fix two sets  $S, T \in \binom{[n]}{4}$ . Obviously if  $|S \cap T| \leq 1$ , then  $S, T$  do not share any "potential" edges. So, by independence of edges  $\mathbb{P}[X_S X_T] = \mathbb{P}[X_S] \mathbb{P}[X_T] = p^{12}$ .

On the other hand, if  $|S \cap T| = 2$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_S X_T] = \mathbb{P}[X_S] \mathbb{P}[X_T | X_S] = p^6 \mathbb{P}[X_T | X_S] = p^{11}.$$

The last identity is because since  $X_S$  occurs we know that there is an edge in the common pair. So, we only need 5 more edges to get  $X_T$ . Similarly, if  $|S \cap T| = 3$ , then  $\mathbb{P}[X_S X_T] = p^9$ . In summary,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_S X_T] = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}[X_S] \mathbb{P}[X_T] & \text{if } |S \cap T| \leq 1 \\ p^{11} & \text{if } |S \cap T| = 2 \\ p^9 & \text{if } |S \cap T| = 3. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{S \neq T} \text{Cov}(X_S, X_T) &= \sum_S \left( \sum_{T: |T \cap S|=2} \text{Cov}(X_S, X_T) + \sum_{T: |T \cap S|=3} \text{Cov}(X_S, X_T) \right) \\ &= \sum_S \left( 6 \binom{n-4}{2} (p^{11} - p^{12}) + 4 \binom{n-4}{1} (p^9 - p^{12}) \right) \\ &\leq \binom{n}{4} (3n^2 p^{11} + 4np^9) \end{aligned}$$

Lastly,

$$\mathbb{P}[X = 0] \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{(\mathbb{E}X)^2} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{4} p^6 + \binom{n}{4} (3n^2 p^{11} + 3np^9)}{(\binom{n}{4} p^6)^2} \leq \frac{1 + 3n^2 p^5 + 4np^3}{\binom{n}{4} p^6}$$

Observe that for  $p \gg n^{-2/3}$  the ratio goes to infinity as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $p \rightarrow \infty$  (at a slower rate).  $\square$