- Elimination algorithm
- Sum-product algorithm on a line
- Sum-product algorithm on a tree

## Inference tasks on graphical models

consider an undirected graphical model (a.k.a. Markov random field)

$$\mu(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \psi_c(x_c)$$

where  ${\mathcal C}$  is the set of all maximal cliques in G

we want to

- $\bullet$  calculate marginals:  $\mu(x_A) = \sum_{x_{V \setminus A}} \mu(x)$
- calculating conditional distributions

$$\mu(x_A|x_B) = \frac{\mu(x_A, x_B)}{\mu(x_B)}$$

- calculation maximum a posteriori estimates:  $rg \max_{\hat{x}} \mu(\hat{x})$
- calculating the partition function  $\boldsymbol{Z}$
- sample from this distribution

## Elimination algorithm for calculating marginals

Elimination algorithm is exact but can require  $O(|\mathcal{X}|^{|V|})$  operations



- we want to compute  $\mu(x_1)$
- brute force marginalization:

$$\mu(x_1) \propto \sum_{x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) \psi_{25}(x_2, x_5) \psi_{345}(x_3, x_4, x_5)$$

• requires  $O(|\mathcal{C}| \cdot |\mathcal{X}|^5)$  operations, where big-O denotes that it is upper bounded by  $c |\mathcal{C}| |\mathcal{X}|^5$  for some constant c and  $|\mathcal{C}|$  is # of cliques Sum-product algorithm 4-3 • consider an elimination ordering (5,4,3,2)

$$\mu(x_1) \propto \sum_{x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) \psi_{25}(x_2, x_5) \psi_{345}(x_3, x_4, x_5)$$

$$= \sum_{x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) \underbrace{\sum_{x_5 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{25}(x_2, x_5) \psi_{345}(x_3, x_4, x_5)}_{\equiv m_5(x_2, x_3, x_4)}$$

$$= \sum_{x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) m_5(x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

$$= \sum_{x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) \underbrace{\sum_{x_4 \in \mathcal{X}} m_5(x_2, x_3, x_4)}_{\equiv m_4(x_2, x_3)}$$

$$= \sum_{x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) m_4(x_2, x_3)$$

$$= \sum_{x_2 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \underbrace{\sum_{x_3 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) m_4(x_2, x_3)}_{\equiv m_3(x_1, x_2)}$$

$$\mu(x_{1}) \propto \sum_{x_{2} \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \underbrace{\sum_{x_{3} \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{13}(x_{1}, x_{3}) m_{4}(x_{2}, x_{3})}_{\equiv m_{3}(x_{1}, x_{2})}$$

$$= \sum_{x_{2} \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_{1}, x_{2}) m_{3}(x_{1}, x_{2})$$

$$\equiv m_{2}(x_{1})$$

• normalize  $m_2(\cdot)$  to get  $\mu(x_1)$ 

$$\mu(x_1) = \frac{m_2(x_1)}{\sum_{\hat{x}_1} m_2(\hat{x}_1)}$$

- computational complexity depends on the elimination ordering
- how do we know which ordering is better?

## Computational complexity of elimination algorithm

$$\begin{split} \mu(x_1) \propto \sum_{x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) \psi_{25}(x_2, x_5) \psi_{345}(x_3, x_4, x_5) \\ &= \sum_{x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) \sum_{\substack{x_5 \in \mathcal{X} \\ = m_5(S_5), S_5 = \{x_2, x_3, x_4\}, \Psi_5 = \{\psi_{25}, \psi_{345}\}} \\ &= \sum_{x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) \sum_{\substack{x_4 \in \mathcal{X} \\ = m_4(S_4), S_4 = \{x_2, x_3\}, \Psi_4 = \{m_5\}}} m_5(x_2, x_3, x_4) \\ &= \sum_{x_2 \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \sum_{\substack{x_3 \in \mathcal{X} \\ = m_3(S_3), S_3 = \{x_1, x_2\}, \Psi_3 = \{\psi_{13}, m_4\}}} \psi_{13}(x_1, x_3) m_4(x_2, x_3) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x_2 \in \mathcal{X} \\ = m_2(S_2), S_2 = \{x_1\}, \Psi_2 = \{\psi_{12}, m_3\}}} m_2(x_1) \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{Total complexity:} \sum_i O(|\Psi_i| \cdot |\mathcal{X}|^{1+|S_i|}) = O(|V| \cdot \max_i |\Psi_i| \cdot |\mathcal{X}|^{1+\max_i|S_i|}) \end{split}$$

## Induced graph

elimination algorithm as transformation of graphs



 $\bullet$  induced graph  $\mathcal{G}(G,I)$  for a graph G and an elimination ordering I

- is the union of (the edges of) all the transformed graphs
- or equivalently, start from G and for each  $i \in I$  connect all pairs in  $S_i$



- theorem: every maximal clique in  $\mathcal{G}(G,I)$  corresponds to a domain of a message  $S_i \cup \{i\}$  for some i
- size of the largest clique in  $\mathcal{G}(G,I)$  is  $1+\max_i |S_i|$
- different orderings I's give different cliques, resulting in varying complexity Sum-product algorithm

- theorem: finding optimal elimination ordering is NP-hard
- any suggestions?
- $\bullet$  greedy heuristic gives I=(4,5,3,2,1)
- for Bayesian networks
  - the same algorithm works with conditional probabilities instead of compatibility functions
  - complexity analysis can be done on moralized undirected graph
  - intermediate messages do not correspond to a conditional distribution

## Elimination algorithm

- input:  $\{\psi_c\}_{c\in\mathcal{C}}$ , alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$ , subset  $A\subseteq V$ , elimination ordering I
- **output**: marginal  $\mu(x_A)$
- 1. initialize active set  $\Psi$  to be the set of input compatibility functions
- 2. for node i in I that is not in A do

let  $S_i$  be the set of nodes, not including  $i, \, {\rm that} \ {\rm share} \ {\rm a}$  compatibility function with i

let  $\Psi_i$  be the set of compatibility functions in  $\Psi$  involving  $x_i$ compute  $m_i(x_{S_i}) = \sum_{x_i} \prod_{\psi \in \Psi_i} \psi(x_i, x_{S_i})$ remove elements of  $\Psi_i$  from  $\Psi$ add  $m_i$  to  $\Psi$ 

#### end

3. normalize 
$$\mu(x_A) = \prod_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(x_A) / \sum_{x_A} \prod_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(x_A)$$

## Role of the messages $m_i(x_{S_i})$

- messages capture local information about what one side of the edge needs to know about the other side of the edge
- this intuition is exactly correct when the graph is a tree
- we provide a heuristic (called sum-product algorithm or belief propagation) that is accurate when the graph is a tree
- but also provides surprisingly good approximate solutions, even when the underlying graph has loops

$$m_i(x_i) = \sum_{x_a \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ \psi_{i,a}(x_i, x_a) \sum_{x_A} \psi_A(x_a, x_A) \right\}$$

## Role of the messages

$$\begin{split} m_i(x_i) &= \sum_{x_a, x_b \in \mathcal{X}, x_A, x_B} \psi_{i,a}(x_i, x_a) \psi_A(x_a, x_A) \psi_{i,b}(x_i, x_b) \psi_B(x_b, x_B) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{x_a \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ \psi_{i,a}(x_i, x_a) \sum_{x_A} \psi_A(x_a, x_A) \right\} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{x_b \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ \psi_{i,b}(x_i, x_b) \sum_{x_B} \psi_B(x_b, x_B) \right\} \right\} \end{split}$$

## Belief Propagation for approximate inference

- given a pairwise MRF:  $\mu(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{(i,j) \in E} \psi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j)$
- compute marginal:  $\mu(x_i)$  for all  $i \in V$
- message update: set of 2|E| messages on each (directed) edge  $\{\nu_{i \to j}(x_i)\}_{(i,j) \in E}$ , where  $\nu_{i \to j} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  encoding our belief (or approximate  $\mathbb{P}(x_i)$ )

• 
$$O(d_i |\mathcal{X}|^2)$$
 computations

• decision:

$$\nu_i^{(t)}(x_i) = \prod_{k \in \partial i} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} \nu_{k \to i}^{(t)}(x_k) \psi_{i,k}(x_i, x_k) \right\}$$

• 
$$\widehat{\mu}(x_i) = \frac{\nu_i(x_i)}{\sum_{x'} \nu_i(x')}$$

Sum-product algorithm on a line



Remove node i and recursively compute marginals on sub-graphs

$$\mu(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \psi_{i,i+1}(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

$$\mu(x_i) = \sum_{\substack{x_{[n] \setminus \{i\}} \\ \psi(x_1, x_2) \cdots \psi(x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}) \\ \mu_{(i-1) \to i}: \text{joint dist. on a sub-graph}} \psi(x_{i-1}, x_i) \psi(x_i, x_{i+1}) \underbrace{\psi(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}) \cdots \psi(x_{n-1}, x_n)}_{\mu_{(i+1) \to i}}$$

$$\propto \sum_{\substack{x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} \\ \text{marginal dist. on a sub-graph}} \underbrace{\psi(x_{i-1}, x_i) \psi(x_i, x_{i+1}) \nu_{(i+1) \to i}(x_{i+1})}_{\nu_{(i+1) \to i}}$$

$$\nu_{(i-1) \to i}(x_{i-1}) \equiv \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_{i-2} \\ x_{1}, \dots, x_{i-2}}} \mu_{(i-1) \to i}(x_{i-1}, x_n)$$

$$\nu_{(i+1) \to i}(x_{i+1}) \equiv \sum_{\substack{x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n \\ x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n}} \mu_{(i+1) \to i}(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$
Sum-product algorithm
$$4.13$$

$$\nu_i(x_i) \equiv \sum_{x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}} \nu_{(i-1) \to i}(x_{i-1}) \psi(x_{i-1}, x_i) \psi(x_i, x_{i+1}) \nu_{(i+1) \to i}(x_{i+1})$$
$$\mu(x_i) = \frac{\nu_i(x_i)}{\sum_{x_i} \nu_i(x_i)}$$

definitions: of joint distribution and marginal on sub-graphs

$$\mu_{(i-1)\to i}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) \equiv \frac{1}{Z_{(i-1)\to i}} \prod_{k\in[i-2]} \psi(x_k, x_{k+1})$$

$$\nu_{(i-1)\to i}(x_{i-1}) \equiv \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{i-2}} \mu_{(i-1)\to i}(x_1,\dots, x_{i-1})$$

$$\mu_{(i+1)\to i}(x_{i+1},\dots, x_n) \equiv \frac{1}{Z_{(i+1)\to i}} \prod_{k\in\{i+2,\dots,n\}} \psi(x_{k-1}, x_k)$$

$$\nu_{(i+1)\to i}(x_{i+1}) \equiv \sum_{x_{i+2},\dots,x_n} \mu_{(i+1)\to i}(x_{i+1},\dots, x_n)$$

how can we compute the messages  $\nu$ , recursively?

$$\begin{split} \mu_{i \to i+1}(x_1, \dots, x_i) &\propto & \mu_{i-1 \to i}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})\psi(x_{i-1}, x_1) \\ \nu_{i \to i+1}(x_i) &= & \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}} \mu_{i \to i+1}(x_1, \dots, x_i) \\ &\propto & \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}} \mu_{i-1 \to i}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})\psi(x_{i-1}, x_i) \\ &= & \sum_{x_{i-1}} \nu_{i-1 \to i}(x_{i-1})\psi(x_{i-1}, x_i) \\ \nu_{1 \to 2}(x_1) &= & 1/|\mathcal{X}| \\ \nu_{2 \to 3}(x_2) &\propto & \sum_{x_1} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}\psi(x_1, x_2) \end{split}$$

how many operations are required?

•  $O(n |\mathcal{X}|^2)$  operations to compute one marginal  $\mu(x_i)$ 

what if we want all the marginals?

- $\bullet$  compute all the messages forward and backward  $O(n\,|\mathcal{X}|^2)$
- then compute all the marginals in  $O(n |\mathcal{X}|^2)$  operations

# Computing partition function is as easy as computing marginals

recall

1

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{(i-1)\to i}(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1}) &\equiv \frac{1}{Z_{(i-1)\to i}} \prod_{k\in[i-2]} \psi(x_k,x_{k+1}) \\
\nu_{(i-1)\to i}(x_{i-1}) &\equiv \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{i-2}} \mu_{(i-1)\to i}(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1})
\end{aligned}$$

computing the partition function from the messages

$$Z_{i \to (i+1)} = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_i} \prod_{k \in [i-1]} \psi(x_k, x_{k+1})$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{x_i, x_{i-1}} Z_{(i-1) \to i} \nu_{(i-1) \to i}(x_{i-1}) \psi(x_{i-1}, x_i)$$
  
$$Z_1 = 1$$
  
$$Z_n = Z$$

how many operations do we need?

 ${\scriptstyle \bullet \ O(n \, |\mathcal{X}|^2) \ \text{operations}}$  Sum-product algorithm

Sum-product algorithm for hidden Markov models

hidden Markov model



• time homogeneous hidden Markov models

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Sequence of r.v.'s} & \{(X_1,Y_1);(X_2,Y_2);\ldots;(X_n,Y_n)\}\\ \{X_i\} \text{ Markov Chain} & \mathbb{P}\{x\}=q_0(x_1)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}q(x_i,x_{i+1})\\ \{Y_i\} \text{ noisy observations} & \mathbb{P}\{y|x\}=\prod_{i=i}^nr(x_i,y_i) \end{array}$$

$$\mu(x,y) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \psi_i(x_i, x_{i+1}) \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\psi}_i(x_i, y_i),$$
  
$$\psi_i(x_i, x_{i+1}) = q(x_i, x_{i+1}), \qquad \tilde{\psi}_i(x_i, y_i) = r(x_i, y_i).$$

• we want to compute marginals of the following graphical model on a line  $(q_0 \text{ uniform})$ 

$$\begin{split} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 \\ y_1 & \begin{array}{c} y_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 \end{array} \\ & \mu_y(x) & = & \mathbb{P}\{x|y\} \overset{\text{Bayes thm}}{=} \frac{1}{Z(y)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} q(x_i, x_{i+1}) \prod_{i=1}^n r(x_i, y_i) \\ & \\ & \mu_y(x) & = & \frac{1}{Z(y)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} q(x_i, x_{i+1}) \prod_{i=1}^n r(x_i, y_i) \\ & \\ & = & \frac{1}{Z(y)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \psi_i(x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ & \psi_i(x_i, x_{i+1}) = q(x_i, x_{i+1}) r(x_i, y_i) & (\text{for } i < n-1) \\ & \psi_{n-1}(x_{n-1}, x_n) = q(x_{n-1}, x_n) r(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) r(x_n, y_n) \,. \end{split}$$

 $\bullet$  apply sum-product algorithm to compute marginals in  $O(n|\mathcal{X}|^2)$  time

$$\nu_{i \to (i+1)}(x_i) \propto \sum_{x_{i-1} \in \mathcal{X}} q(x_{i-1}, x_i) r(x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}) \nu_{(i-1) \to i}(x_{i-1}),$$
  
$$\nu_{(i+1) \to i}(x_i) \propto \sum_{x_{i+1} \in \mathcal{X}} q(x_i, x_{i+1}) r(x_i, y_i) \nu_{(i+2) \to (i+1)}(x_{i+1}).$$

- known as forward-backward algorithm
  - a special case of the sum-product algorithm
  - BCJR algorithm for convolutional codes ([Bahl, Cocke, Jelinek and Raviv 1974])
  - cannot find the maximum likelihood estimate (cf. Viterbi algorithm)
  - this requires max-product algorithm
- implement sum-product algorithm for HMM [Exercise 4.3]
- consider an extension of inference on HMM [Exercise 4.4]

## Exercise 4.3



- S&P 500 index over a period of time
- For each week, measure the price movement relative to the previous week: +1 indicates up and -1 indicates down
- a hidden Markov model in which  $x_t$  denotes the economic state (good or bad) of week t and  $y_t$  denotes the price movement (up or down)
- $x_{t+1} = x_t$  with probability 0.8

• 
$$\mathbb{P}_{Y_t|X_t}(y_t=+1|x_t=\texttt{`good'})=\mathbb{P}_{Y_t|X_t}(y_t=-1|x_t=\texttt{`bad'})=q$$

## Example: Neuron firing patterns

#### Hypothesis

Assemblies of neurones activate in a coordinate way in correspondence to specific cognitive functions. Performing of the function corresponds sequence of these activity states.

#### Approach

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{Firing process} & \leftrightarrow & \mbox{Observed variables} \\ \mbox{Activity states} & \leftrightarrow & \mbox{Hidden variables} \end{array}$ 



- automatically detect (as opposed to manually specify) baseline, plan, and perimovement epochs of neural activity
- detect target movement in advance
- goal: neural prosthesis to help patients with spinal cord injury or neurodegenerative disease and significantly impaired motor control

[C. Kemere, G. Santhanam, B. M. Yu, A. Afshar, S.I. Ryu, T. H. Meng and



- discrete time 10ms
- $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{P}(x_{t+1}|x_t) = A_{ij}, \\ \mathbb{P}(\# \text{ spikes for measurement } k = d|x_t = i) \propto e^{-\lambda_{k,i}} \lambda_{k,i}^d \end{array}$

• likelihood: 
$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(x_t=s)}{\sum_{s'} \mathbb{P}(x_t=s')}$$

## Belief propagation for factor graphs



$$\mu(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{a \in F} \psi_a(x_{\partial a})$$

- variable nodes *i*, *j*, etc.; factor nodes *a*, *b*, etc.
- set of messages  $\{\nu_{i \to a}\}_{(i,a) \in E}$  and  $\{\tilde{\nu}_{a \to i}\}_{(a,i) \in E}$
- messages from variables to factors

$$\nu_{i \to a}(x_i) = \prod_{b \in \partial i \setminus \{a\}} \tilde{\nu}_{b \to i}(x_i)$$

messages from factors to variables

$$\tilde{\nu}_{a \to i}(x_i) = \sum_{x_{\partial a \setminus \{i\}}} \psi_a(x_{\partial a}) \prod_{j \in \partial a \setminus \{i\}} \nu_{j \to a}(x_j)$$

marginal distribution at variables

$$\nu_i(x_i) = \prod_{b \in \partial i} \tilde{\nu}_{b \to i}(x_i)$$

- this includes belief propagation (=sum-product algorithm) on (general) Markov random fields
- exact on factor trees

## Example: decoding LDPC codes

- LDPC code is defined by a factor graph model variable nodes factor nodes  $\psi_a(x_i, x_j, x_k) = \mathbb{I}(x_i \oplus x_j \oplus x_k = 0)$  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$  (x2) ь
  - block length n = 4
  - number of factors m=2
  - allowed messages =  $\{0000, 0111, 1010, 1101\}$
- decoding using belief propagation (for BSC with  $\epsilon = 0.3$ )

$$\mu_y(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i \in V} \mathbb{P}_{Y|X}(y_i|x_i) \prod_{a \in F} \mathbb{I}(\oplus x_{\partial a} = 0)$$

• use (parallel) sum-product algorithm to find  $\mu(x_i)$  and let

$$\hat{x}_i = \arg \max \mu(x_i)$$

#### Sum-producting initiation bit error rate













## Sum-product algorithm on trees

a motivating example: influenza virus complete sequence of the gene of the 1918 influenza virus



[A.H. Reid, T.G. Fanning, J.V. Hultin, and J.K. Taubenberger, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 96 (1999) 1651-1656] Sum-product algorithm

- challenges in phylogeny
  - ▶ phylogeny reconstruction: given DNA sequences at vertices (only at leaves), infer the underlying tree *T* = (*V*, *E*).
  - ▶ phylogeny evaluation: given a tree T = (V, E) evaluate the probability of observed DNA sequences at vertices (only at leaves).
- Bayesian network model for phylogeny evaluation



T = (V, D) directed graph, DNA sequences  $x = (x_i)_{i \in V} \in \mathcal{X}^V$ 

$$\mu_T(x) = q_o(x_o) \prod_{(i,j)\in D} q_{i,j}(x_i, x_j),$$

 $q_{i,j}(x_i, x_j) =$  Probability that the descendent is  $x_j$  if ancestor is  $x_i$ . Sum-product algorithm 4-30 • simplified model:  $\mathcal{X} = \{+1, -1\}$ 

$$q_o(x_o) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$q(x_i, x_j) = \begin{cases} 1 - q & \text{if } x_j = x_i \\ q & \text{if } x_i \neq x_j \end{cases}$$
tion:  $q(x_i, x_i) \propto e^{\theta x_i x_j}$  with  $\theta = \frac{1}{2} \log e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ 

MRF representation:  $q(x_i, x_j) \propto e^{\theta x_i x_j}$  with  $\theta = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{q}{1-q}$ 



probability of certain tree of mutations x:

$$\mu_T(x) = \frac{1}{Z_{\theta}(T)} \prod_{(i,j)\in E} e^{\theta x_i x_j}$$

- problem: for given T, compute marginal  $\mu_T(x_i)$
- we prove the correctness of sum-product algorithm for this model, but the same proof holds for any general pairwise MRF (and also for general MRF and FG)

define graphical model on sub-trees



 $\begin{array}{lll} T_{j \to i} = (V_{j \to i}, E_{j \to i}) & \equiv & \text{Subtree rooted at } j \text{ and excluding } i \\ \\ \mu_{j \to i}(x_{V_{j \to i}}) & \equiv & \frac{1}{Z(T_{j \to i})} \prod_{(u,v) \in E_{j \to i}} e^{\theta x_u x_v} \\ \\ \nu_{j \to i}(x_j) & \equiv & \sum_{x_{V_{j \to i}} \setminus \{j\}} \mu_{j \to i}(x_{V_{j \to i}}) \end{array}$ 



the messages from neighbors  $k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4$  are sufficient to compute the marginal  $\mu(x_i)$ 

$$\mu_{T}(x_{i}) \propto \sum_{x_{V\setminus\{i\}}} \prod_{(u,v)\in E} e^{\theta x_{u}x_{v}}$$

$$= \sum_{x_{V_{1}},x_{V_{2}},x_{V_{3}},x_{V_{4}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{4} \left\{ e^{\theta x_{i}x_{k_{\ell}}} \prod_{(u,v)\in E_{\ell}} e^{\theta x_{u}x_{v}} \right\}$$

$$= \prod_{\ell=1}^{4} \sum_{x_{k_{\ell}},x_{V_{\ell}\setminus\{k_{\ell}\}}} \left\{ e^{\theta x_{i}x_{k_{\ell}}} \prod_{(u,v)\in E_{\ell}} e^{\theta x_{u}x_{v}} \right\}$$

$$\propto \prod_{\ell=1}^{4} \left\{ \sum_{x_{k_{\ell}}} e^{\theta x_{i}x_{k_{\ell}}} \sum_{\substack{x_{V_{\ell}\setminus\{k_{\ell}\}}\\\nu_{k_{\ell}}\to i(x_{V_{\ell}})}} \mu_{k_{\ell}\to i(x_{k_{\ell}})} \right\}$$

ullet recursion on sub-trees to compute the messages  $\nu$ 



$$\begin{split} \mu_{i \to j}(x_{V_{i \to j}}) &= \frac{1}{Z(T_{i \to j})} \prod_{(u,v) \in E_{i \to j}} e^{\theta x_u x_v} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(T_{i \to j})} e^{\theta x_i x_k} e^{\theta x_i x_l} \Big\{ \prod_{(u,v) \in E_{k \to i}} e^{\theta x_u x_v} \Big\} \Big\{ \prod_{(u,v) \in E_{l \to i}} e^{\theta x_u x_v} \Big\} \\ &\propto e^{\theta x_u x_v} e^{\theta x_i x_l} \Big\{ \prod_{(u,v) \in E_{k \to i}} e^{\theta x_u x_v} \Big\} \Big\{ \prod_{(u,v) \in E_{l \to i}} e^{\theta x_u x_v} \Big\} \\ &\propto e^{\theta x_i x_k} e^{\theta x_i x_l} \mu_{k \to i}(x_{V_{k \to i}}) \mu_{l \to i}(x_{V_{l \to i}}) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \nu_{i \to j}(x_i) &= \sum_{x_{V_i \to j} \setminus i} \mu_{i \to j}(x_{V_{i \to j}}) \\ &\propto \sum_{x_{V_i \to j} \setminus i} e^{\theta x_i x_k} e^{\theta x_i x_l} \mu_{k \to i}(x_{V_{k \to i}}) \mu_{l \to i}(x_{V_{l \to i}}) \\ &\propto \left\{ \sum_{x_{V_k \to i}} e^{\theta x_i x_k} \mu_{k \to i}(x_{V_{k \to i}}) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{x_{V_{l \to i}}} e^{\theta x_i x_l} \mu_{l \to i}(x_{V_{l \to i}}) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{x_k} e^{\theta x_i x_k} \sum_{x_{V_{k \to i} \setminus \{k\}}} \mu_{k \to i}(x_{V_{k \to i}}) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{x_l} e^{\theta x_i x_l} \sum_{x_{V_{l \to i} \setminus \{l\}}} \mu_{l \to i}(x_{V_{l \to i}}) \right\} \\ &\propto \left\{ \sum_{x_k} e^{\theta x_i x_k} \nu_{k \to i}(x_k) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{x_l} e^{\theta x_i x_l} \nu_{l \to i}(x_l) \right\} \end{split}$$

with uniform initialization  $\nu_{i \rightarrow j}(x_i) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}$  for all leaves i

Sum-product algorithm

4-35

• sum-product algorithm (for our example)

$$\nu_{i \to j}(x_i) \propto \prod_{k \in \partial i \setminus j} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} e^{\theta x_i x_k} \nu_{k \to i}(x_k) \right\}$$
$$\nu_i(x_i) \equiv \prod_{k \in \partial i} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} e^{\theta x_i x_k} \nu_{k \to i}(x_k) \right\}$$
$$\mu_T(x_i) = \frac{\nu_i(x_i)}{\sum_{x_i} \nu_i(x_i)}$$

- what if we want all the marginals?
  - choose an arbitrary root  $\phi$
  - compute all the messages towards the root (|E| messages)
  - then compute all the messages outwards from the root (|E| messages)
  - then compute all the marginals (n marginals)
- how many operations are required?
  - naive implementation requires  $O(|\mathcal{X}|^2 \sum_i d_i^2)$  per iteration
    - $\star$  if i has degree  $d_i$ , then computing  $\overline{
      u_{i 
      ightarrow j}}$  requires  $d_i |\mathcal{X}|^2$  operations
    - $\star$   $d_i$  messages start at each node i, each require  $d_i |\mathcal{X}|^2$  operations
    - ★ total computation for 2|E| messages is  $\sum_i \left\{ d_i \cdot (d_i |\mathcal{X}|^2) \right\}$
  - however, we can compute all marginals in  $O(n |\mathcal{X}|^2)$  operations

• let  $D = \{(i, j), (j, i) | (i, j) \in E\}$  be the directed version of E (cf. |D| = 2|E|)

#### • (sequential) sum-product algorithm

- 1. initialize  $\nu_{i \to j}(x_i) = 1/|\mathcal{X}|$  for all leaves i
- 2. recursively over  $(i, j) \in D$  compute (from leaves)

$$\nu_{i \to j}(x_i) = \prod_{k \in \partial i \setminus j} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \nu_{k \to i}(x_k) \right\}$$

3. for each  $i \in V$  compute marginal

$$\nu_i(x_i) = \prod_{k \in \partial i} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \nu_{k \to i}(x_k) \right\}$$
$$\mu_T(x_i) = \frac{\nu_i(x_i)}{\sum_{x_i} \nu_i(x_i)}$$

#### • (parallel) sum-product algorithm

1. initialize 
$$\nu_{i \to j}^{(0)}(x_i) = 1/|\mathcal{X}|$$
 for all  $(i, j) \in D$   
2. for  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, t_{\max}\}$   
for all  $(i, j) \in D$  compute  
 $\nu_{i \to j}^{(t+1)}(x_i) = \prod_{k \in \partial i \setminus j} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \nu_{k \to i}^{(t)}(x_k) \right\}$ 

3. for each  $i \in V$  compute marginal

$$\nu_i(x_i) = \prod_{k \in \partial i} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \nu_{k \to i}^{(t_{\max}+1)}(x_k) \right\}$$
$$\mu_T(x_i) = \frac{\nu_i(x_i)}{\sum_{x_i} \nu_i(x_i)}$$

- also called belief propagation
- when  $t_{\rm max}$  is larger than the diameter of the tree (the length of the longest path), this converges to the correct marginal [Exercise 4.1]
- more operations than the sequential version (  $O(n|\mathcal{X}|^2 \cdot \operatorname{diam}(T))$  )
  - a naive implementation requires  $O(|\mathcal{X}|^2 \cdot \operatorname{diam}(T) \cdot \sum d_i^2)$
- naturally extends to general graphs but no proof of exactness

## Sum-product algorithm on general graphs

- (loopy) belief propagation
  - 1. initialize  $\nu_{i \to j}(x_i) = 1/|\mathcal{X}|$  for all  $(i, j) \in D$ 2. for  $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, t_{\max}\}$ for all  $(i, j) \in D$  compute

$$\nu_{i \to j}^{(t+1)}(x_i) = \prod_{k \in \partial i \setminus j} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \nu_{k \to i}^{(t)}(x_k) \right\}$$

3. for each  $i \in V$  compute marginal

$$\nu_i(x_i) = \prod_{k \in \partial i} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \nu_{k \to i}^{(t_{\max}+1)}(x_k) \right\}$$
$$\mu_T(x_i) = \frac{\nu_i(x_i)}{\sum_{x_i} \nu_i(x_i)}$$

- computes 'approximate' marginals in  $O(n|\mathcal{X}|^2 \cdot t_{\max})$  operations
- generally it does not converge; even if it does, it might be incorrect
- folklore about loopy BP
  - $\blacktriangleright$  works better when G has few short loops
  - works better when  $\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = \psi_{ij,1}(x_i)\psi_{ij,2}(x_j) + \operatorname{small}(x_i, x_j)$
  - nonconvex variational principle

## Exercise: partition function on trees

• using the recursion for messages:

$$\nu_{i \to j}(x_i) = \prod_{k \in \partial i \setminus j} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \nu_{k \to i}(x_k) \right\}$$
$$\nu_i(x_i) = \prod_{k \in \partial i} \left\{ \sum_{x_k} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \nu_{k \to i}(x_k) \right\}$$

it follows that we can easily compute the partition function as

$$Z(T) = \sum_{x_i} \nu_i(x_i)$$

• alternatively, if we had a black box that computes marginals for any tree, then we can use it to compute partition functions efficiently

$$Z(T_{i \to j}) = \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{X}} \prod_{k \in \partial i \setminus j} \left\{ \sum_{x_k \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \cdot Z(T_{k \to i}) \cdot \mu_{k \to i}(x_k) \right\}$$
$$Z(T) = \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{X}} \prod_{k \in \partial i} \left\{ \sum_{x_k \in \mathcal{X}} \psi_{ik}(x_i, x_k) \cdot Z(T_{k \to i}) \cdot \mu_{k \to i}(x_k) \right\}$$

• this recursive algorithm naturally extends to general graphs Sum-product algorithm

4-40

Why would one want to compute the partition function? Suppose you observe

$$x = (+1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1)$$

and you know this comes from either of



which one has highest likelihood?

## Exercise: sampling on the tree

• if we have a black-box for computing marginals on any tree, we can use it to sample from any distribution on a tree

SAMPLING (Tree T = (V, E),  $\psi = {\psi_{ij}}_{(ij) \in E}$  )

- 1: Choose a root  $o \in V$ ;
- 2: Sample  $X_o \sim \mu_o(\,\cdot\,)$ ;
- 2: Recursively over  $i \in V$  (from root to leaves):
- 3: Compute  $\mu_{i|\pi(i)}(x_i|x_{\pi(i)})$ ;

4: Sample 
$$X_i \sim \mu_{i|\pi(i)}(\cdot | x_{\pi(i)});$$

 $\pi(i)$  is the parent of node i in the rooted tree  $T_o$ 

• we use the black-box to compute the conditional distribution



## Tree decomposition



- when we don't have a tree we can create an equivalent tree graph
- by enlarging the alphabet  $\mathcal{X} 
  ightarrow \mathcal{X}^k$
- Treewidth(G)  $\equiv$  Minimum such k
- it is NP-hard to determine the treewidth of a graph
- $\bullet$  problem: in general  $\mathsf{Treewidth}(G) = \Theta(n)$

Tree decomposition of G = (V, E)



A tree  $T = (V_T, E_T)$  and a mapping  $V : V_T \rightarrow SUBSETS(V)$  s.t.:

- For each  $i \in V$  there exists at least one  $u \in V_T$  with  $i \in V(u)$ .
- For each  $(i, j) \in E$  there exists at least one  $u \in V_T$  with  $i, j \in V(u)$ .
- If i ∈ V(u<sub>1</sub>) and i ∈ V(u<sub>2</sub>), then i ∈ V(w) for any w on the path between u<sub>1</sub> and u<sub>2</sub> in T.