#### Statistical estimation

- consider a coin with outcome
  - HEADS with a probability  $\mu(H) = p$ , and
  - TAILS otherwise
- we don't know p (called a parameter), and want to estimate it from random trials
- Maximum Likelihood Principle:
  - Choose the parameter that maximizes the probability of observed data
  - say random trials gave an outcome  $\omega$ =(H,H,T,H,T,H,H,T,...,T)  $\mu(\omega \mid p) = p^{\#H}(1-p)^{\#T}$

## Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimation

Formulate it as maximization of log-likelihood

$$p^* = \arg \max_{p} \log(\mu(\omega))$$
  
=  $\arg \max_{p} \#H \log p + \#T \log(1-p)$   
$$p \underbrace{\mathscr{L}(p)}$$

 To solve this optimization problem analytically, (which can be done only for some special cases), we take the gradient of the objective function and set it to zero

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}(p)}{\partial p} = \frac{\#H}{p} - \frac{\#T}{1-p} = 0$$
  
• which gives  $p^* = \frac{\#H}{\#H}$ , which is consistent with our intuition

### Sufficient statistics

- Sufficient statistics of an outcome  $\omega$  is a function of  $\omega$  that is compact and captures everything we need to know in order to compute  $\mu(\omega)$
- in this example, recall that

$$\mu(\omega) = p^{\#H}(1-p)^{\#T}$$

- So sufficient statistics of ω = (H, H, T, H, T, T, T, T, H, ..., H) is (#H, #T)
- In particular the order of the H's and T's do not matter (because they are independent trials)
- When running the experiment, we do not have to keep track of all sequence of outcomes, but jus the counts

## **Bayesian estimation**

Bayes theorem

• 
$$\mu(x_1 | x_2) = \frac{\mu(x_1)\mu(x_2 | x_1)}{\mu(x_2)}$$

- proof:  $\mu(x_1, x_2) = \mu(x_2 | x_1)\mu(x_1) = \mu(x_1 | x_2)\mu(x_2)$
- this is useful in assessing diagnostic probability from causal probability:
- $\mu(cause \mid effect) = \frac{\mu(effect \mid cause)\mu(cause)}{\mu(effect)}$

usually,  $\mu(effect | cause)$  is known, whereas  $\mu(cause | effect)$  is not

- For example, if *m* is meningitis, and *s* is stiff neck  $\mu(m = 1 | s = 1) = \frac{\mu(s = 1 | m = 1)\mu(m = 1)}{\mu(s = 1)} = \frac{0.8 \times 0.0001}{0.1} = 0.0008$ which is very small (because  $\mu(m = 1)$  is small)
- prior:  $\mu(m = 1)$  is called a prior distribution, which is the marginal distribution of the cause without any observations
- **posterior:**  $\mu(m = 1 | s = 1)$  is called posterior distribution, which is the conditional distribution of the cause given observation

## **Bayesian estimation**

- True probability p of the coin is unknown but we know it comes from a known probability distribution  $\mu(p)$  for example,



- note that p is a continuous random variable
- applying Bayes theorem, (p' is just a variable that we integrate out)  $\mu(p \mid H) = \frac{\mu(p)\mu(H \mid p)}{\int_0^1 \mu(p')\mu(H \mid p')dp'}$

# **Bayesian estimation**

$$\mu(p | H) = \frac{\mu(p)\mu(H | p)}{\int_0^1 \mu(p')\mu(H | p')dp'} \propto \mu(p)\mu(H | p)$$

as the denominator does not depend on  $\boldsymbol{p}$ 



#### Inference task: Probability of HEADS on next toss

- Conditional independence makes solving inference task much more efficient
- consider the task of tossing the coin twice and let the outcome be  $x = (x_1, x_2)$
- It is easy to see that the two trials are conditionally independent given p, i.e.  $\mu(x_1, x_2 | p) = \mu(x_1 | p) \mu(x_2 | p)$
- now, we consider the inference task of estimating the probability of HEADS on next toss
- Inference task is a task of making a prediction/decision based on some joint distribution, and we will make this notion mathematically precise later on
- First step is to write down what we want to know in terms of the **joint distribution**, because the joint distribution is well defined

$$\mu(x_{n+1} = H | x_1^n = (HTHHT...)) = \int_0^1 \mu(x_{n+1} = H, p | x_1^n = (HTHHT...)) dp$$

which is marginalizing out p from the joint distribution,

• next, we apply chain rule to the term in the integral

$$\mu(x_{n+1} = H, p \mid x_1^n = (HT...)) = \mu(x_{n+1} = H \mid p, x_1^n) \mu(p \mid x_1^n)$$

and simplify using conditional independence

$$= \mu(x_{n+1} = H | p)\mu(p | x_1^n) = p \mu(p | x_1^n)$$

• Putting together we get

$$\mu(x_{n+1} = H | x_1^n = (HTHHT...)) = \int_0^1 p \,\mu(p | x_1^n = (HTHHT...)) \, dp = \mathbb{E}[p | x_1^n = (HTHHT...)]$$

#### Inference task: Probability of HEADS on next toss

• So, on order to solve this inference task rigorously, we want to compute

 $\mu(x_{n+1} = H | x_1^n = (HTHHT...)) = \mathbb{E}[p | x_1^n = (HTHHT...)]$ but in many case, computing the integral (i.e. averaging) can be challenging

• instead we use **Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) estimation**:

choosing the value with the highest posterior probability

MAP estimationMaximum likelihood (ML) estimation $p^* = \arg \max_p \mu(p | x_1^n)$  $p^* = \arg \max_p \mu(x_1^n | p)$