Overview

Last lecture

• Finite model finding for first-order logic with quantifiers, relations, and transitive closure

Today

• Reasoning about (partial) correctness of programs
  • Hoare Logic
A look ahead (L11–L14)

Classic verification (L11, L12, L13)
  • Checking that all (terminating) executions satisfy an FOL property on all inputs

Symbolic execution (L14)
  • Systematic checking of FOL properties of all executions of bounded length
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Active research topic for 45 years
Classic ideas every computer scientist should know
Understanding the ideas can help you become a better programmer
A bit of history

1967: Assigning Meaning to Programs (Floyd)
   • 1978 Turing Award

1969: An Axiomatic Basis for Computer Programming (Hoare)
   • 1980 Turing Award

1975: Guarded Commands, Nondeterminacy and Formal Derivation of Programs (Dijkstra)
   • 1972 Turing Award
A tiny Imperative Programming Language (IMP)

Expression $E$
- $Z | V | E_1 + E_2 | E_1 * E_2$

Conditional $C$
- true | false | $E_1 = E_2 | E_1 \leq E_2$

Statement $S$
- skip (Skip)
- abort (Abort)
- $V := E$ (Assignment)
- $S_1; S_2$ (Composition)
- if $C$ then $S_1$ else $S_2$ (If)
- while $C$ do $S$ (While)
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\{false\} S \{Q\}
- Valid for all \(S\) and \(Q\).

\{P\} while (true) do skip \{Q\}
- Valid for all \(P\) and \(Q\).

\{true\} S \{Q\}
- If \(S\) terminates, the resulting state satisfies \(Q\).

\{P\} S \{true\}
- Valid for all \(P\) and \(S\).
Proving partial correctness in Hoare logic

Expression \( E \)
- \( Z | V | E_1 + E_2 | E_1 * E_2 \)

Conditional \( C \)
- \( true | false | E_1 = E_2 | E_1 \leq E_2 \)

Statement \( S \)
- \( \text{skip} \) (Skip)
- \( \text{abort} \) (Abort)
- \( V := E \) (Assignment)
- \( S_1; S_2 \) (Composition)
- \( \text{if } C \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \) (If)
- \( \text{while } C \text{ do } S \) (While)

One inference rule for every statement in the language:

\[ \vdash \{ P_1 \} S_1 \{ Q_1 \} \ldots \vdash \{ P_n \} S_n \{ Q_n \} \]
\[ \vdash \{ P \} S \{ Q \} \]

If the Hoare triples \( \{ P_1 \} S_1 \{ Q_1 \} \ldots \{ P_n \} S_n \{ Q_n \} \) are provable, then so is \( \{ P \} S \{ Q \} \).
Hoare logic rules for partial correctness

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\vdash \{P\} \text{skip} \{P\}
\end{array}
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\[
\begin{align*}
\vdash \{P\} \text{skip} \{P\} \\
\vdash \{\text{true}\} \text{abort} \{\text{false}\} \\
\vdash \{Q[E/x]\} X := E \{Q\} \\
\vdash \{P_1\} S \{Q_1\} \quad P \Rightarrow P_1 \quad Q_1 \Rightarrow Q \\
\vdash \{P\} \text{if } C \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \{Q\} \\
\vdash \{P \land C\} S_1 \{Q\} \vdash \{P \land \neg C\} S_2 \{Q\} \\
\vdash \{P\} \text{while } C \text{ do } S \{P \land \neg C\} \\
\end{align*}
\]
Example: proof outline

\{x \leq n\}
while (x < n) do
  \{x \leq n \land x < n\}
  \{x+1 \leq n\}  \quad \text{// consequence}
  x := x + 1
  \{x \leq n\}  \quad \text{// assignment}
\{x \leq n \land x \geq n\}  \quad \text{// while}
\{x = n\}  \quad \text{// consequence}
Example: proof outline with auxiliary variables

\{x = A \land y = B\}
\{y = B \land x = A\}
t := x
\{y = B \land t = A\}
x := y
\{x = B \land t = A\}
y := t
\{x = B \land y = A\}
Soundness and relative completeness

**Proof rules for Hoare logic are sound**

\[ \neg \vdash \{P\} \text{S} \{Q\} \quad \text{then} \quad \neg \models \{P\} \text{S} \{Q\} \]

**Proof rules for Hoare logic are relatively complete**

\[ \models \{P\} \text{S} \{Q\} \quad \text{then} \quad \vdash \{P\} \text{S} \{Q\}, \text{assuming an oracle for deciding implications} \]
Summary

Today

- Reasoning about partial correctness of programs
  - Hoare Logic

Next lecture

- Automating Hoare Logic with VC generation