Today

- Administrative stuff
- Course motivation and goals
 - A Java example
- Course overview
- Course pitfalls
- Start Caml tutorial (see separate notes)
 - Advice: start playing with it soon (e.g., hw1, problem 1)

Course facts

Zach Tatlock

Zach Tatlock

CSE-505 2015, Lecture 1

Coursework

- ► 5–6 homework assignments
 - "Paper/pencil" (LATEX recommended?)
 - Programming (Caml required)
 - Where you'll probably learn the most
 - Do challenge problems if you want but not technically "extra"
 - First homework carefully pipelined with lectures
- ▶ 1 "introduction/summary" to a published research paper
 - More details later; high work/length ratio
- ► 2 exams
 - ▶ My reference sheet plus your reference sheet; samples provided

(Put here information about instructor, office hours, etc.)

CSE-505: Programming Languages

Lecture 1 - Course Introduction

Zach Tatlock

2015

Academic integrity

- Don't cheat in my class
 - I'll be personally offended
 - Being honest is far more important than your grade
- Rough guidelines
 - Can sketch idea together
 - Cannot look at code solutions
- Ask questions and always describe what you did
- Please do work together and learn from each other

Logistical Advice

- ► Take notes:
 - Slides/proofs posted, but they are enough to teach from not to learn from
 - Will often work through examples by hand
- Arrive on time:
 - Missing the first N minutes is so much less efficient than missing the last N minutes
 - I know you can get here on time (cf. exam days)

Zach Tatlock

CSE-505 2015, Lecture 1

Programming-language concepts

Focus on *semantic* concepts:

What do programs mean (do/compute/produce/represent)?

How to define a language *precisely*?

English is a poor *metalanguage*

Aspects of meaning:

equivalence, termination, determinism, type, ...

This course does *not* gives superficial exposure to $oldsymbol{N}$ weird PLs

- But it will help you learn new languages via foundations
- And build rigorous models for any area of CS research

Does it matter?

Zach Tatlock

Novices write programs that "work as expected," so why be rigorous/precise/pedantic?

- The world runs on software
 - Web-servers and nuclear reactors don't "seem to work"

CSE-505 2015, Lecture 1

- ► You buy language implementations—what do they do?
- Software is buggy—semantics assigns blame
- Real languages have many features: building them from well-understood foundations is good engineering
- Never say "nobody would write that" (surprising interactions)

Is this Really about PL?

Building a precise model is a hallmark of quality research

The value of a model is in its:

- Fidelity
- Convenience for establishing (proving) properties
- Revealing alternatives and design decisions
- Ability to communicate ideas concisely

Why we mostly do it for programming languages:

- Elegant things we all use
- Remarkably complicated (need rigor)

I believe this "theory" makes you a better computer scientist

• Focus on the model-building, not just the PL features

CSE-505 2015. Lecture 1

APIs

Like almost anything in computing, we can describe the course in terms of designing an API

Many APIs have 1000s of functions with simple inputs

Kernel calls take a struct or two and return an int

A typical language implementation more or less has just

- $\blacktriangleright typecheck: program \rightarrow bool$
- compile : program \rightarrow (string \rightarrow value)

But defining *program* and these functions is subtle, hard

- Conversely, "a data structure is just a really dumb PL"
- Every extensible system ends up defining a PL (game engines, editors, web browsers, CAD tools, ...)

CSE-505 2015, Lecture 1

Java example

Zach Tatlock

class A { int f() { return 0; } } class B { int g(A x) { try { return x.f(); } finally { s } } }

For all *s*, is it equivalent for g's body to be "return 0;"? Motivation: code optimizer, code maintainer, ...

Punch-line

Zach Tatlock

Not equivalent:

- Extend A
- x could be null
- ► s could modify global state, *diverge*, throw, ...
- \blacktriangleright s could return

A silly example, but:

- PL makes you a good adversary, programmer
- PL gives you the tools to argue equivalence (hard!)

Course goals

- $1. \ Learn intellectual tools for describing program behavior$
- 2. Investigate concepts essential to most languages
 - mutation and iteration
 - scope and functions
 - types
 - objects
 - threads
- 3. Write programs to "connect theory with the code"

CSE-505 2015, Lecture 1

- 4. Sketch applicability to "real" languages
- 5. Provide background for current PL research (less important for most of you)

Course nongoals

- Study syntax; learn to specify grammars, parsers
 - Transforming 3 + 4 or (+ 3 4) or +(3, 4) to
 "application of plus operator to constants three and four"
- Learn specific programming languages (but some ML)

Zach Tatlock

What we will do

- Define really small languages
 - Usually Turing complete
 - Always unsuitable for real programming
- Extend them to realistic languages less rigorously
- Digress for cool results (this is fun!?!)
- Study models very rigorously via operational models
- Do programming assignments in Caml

Caml

Zach Tatlock

- Caml is an awesome, high-level language
- We will use a tiny core subset of it that is well-suited for manipulating recursive data structures (like programs!)

CSE-505 2015, Lecture 1

- > You mostly have to learn it outside of class
 - Don't procrastinate
 - Don't hesitate to ask questions
- Resources on course webpage
- I am not a language zealot, but knowing ML makes you a better programmer

14

Pitfalls

How to hate this course and get the wrong idea:

- Forget that we made simple models to focus on the essence
- Don't quite get inductive definitions and proofs when introduced
- Don't try other ways to model/prove the idea
 - You'll probably be wrong
 - ► And therefore you'll learn more
- ► Think PL people focus on only obvious facts
 - Need to start there

Final Metacomment

Acknowledging others is crucial...

This course draws heavily on pedagogic ideas from at least: Chambers, Chong, Felleisen, Flatt, Fluet, Harper, Morrisett, Myers, Pierce, Rugina, Walker

And material covered in texts from Pierce, Wynskel, and others

(This is a course, not my work.)

CSE-505 2015, Lecture 1

Zach Tatlock

CSE-505 2015, Lecture 1

18

Caml tutorial

- "Let go of Java/C"
- If you have seen SML, Haskell, Scheme, Lisp, etc. this will feel more familiar
- If you have seen Caml, focus here on "how I say things" and what subset will be most useful to us in studying PL
- Give us some small code snippets so we have a common experience we can talk about
- Also see me use the tools

Zach Tatlock

19