

CSE 505 Graduate PL

Fall 2013

Cruising to Victory



Goals Since Day 1

Develop tools to **rigorously** study what programs mean.

semantics

equivalence, termination, determinism, ...

Develop tools for studying program behavior

inductive defns, structural induction, inference rules

Investigate core PL concepts

types, functions, scope, mutation, iteration

Covered Serious Ground

- Functional Programming
- Formal Definitions, Structural Induction, Semantics
- Various Lambda Calculi
- Types, Progress, Preservation
- Evaluation Contexts and Continuation Passing Style
- Subtyping, Parametric Polymorphism

Developed Sweet Skills

- Writing Formal Proofs
- Language Implementation
- Extending Languages
- Taste for Design Tradeoffs
- Appreciating Deep Connections (e.g. Curry-Howard)
- *Enduring Long Exams*

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- *Course Evaluations*

Developed Sweet Skills

- *Keeping a Straight Face*



Today: Review & Review

- **Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs**
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- *Course Evaluations*

Extensions and Type Safety

Need to establish two properties:

1. **Progress**

If $* \vdash e : T$, then either (A) e is a value or (B) there exists e' such that $e \rightarrow e'$.

2. **Preservation**

If $* \vdash e : T$ and $e \rightarrow e'$, then $* \vdash e' : T$.

Progress

Proof generally has this shape:

induction on $* \vdash e : T$

base cases either:

- (1) value (done)
- (2) not typable in empty context (contradiction, done)

inductive cases:

- inversion on typing provides types for subexprs
- **IH** + subexpr type implies they are values or can step
- if subexpression steps, big expression steps
- **NOTE**: canonical forms provides shape of typed values

Product Progress

Case $* \vdash (e1, e2) : T1 * T2$

- inversion provides $* \vdash e1 : T1$ and $* \vdash e2 : T2$
- if $e1$ not a value
 - by **IH** and typing $e1$ can step to $e1'$
 - then $(e1, e2)$ can step to $(e1', e2)$
- else $e1$ a value, if $e2$ not a value
 - by **IH** and typing $e2$ can step to $e2'$
 - then $(e1, e2)$ can step to $(e1, e2')$
- else $e2$ a value
 - both values, whole thing value, not stuck, done

Preservation

Proof generally has this shape:

base cases all contradictions, either

- (A) not typable in empty context (bogus)
- (B) cannot step (bogus)

inductive cases:

- inversion on typing provides types for subexprs
- case analysis on step + inversion provides subexpr step
- **IH** + subexpr type + subexpr step provides new subexpr still well typed
- stitch back together to show big expr still well typed
- **NOTE**: use substitution lemma for app, match, etc.

Product Preservation

- Case $* \vdash (e_1, e_2) : T_1 * T_2$ and $(e_1, e_2) \rightarrow e'$
- inversion provides $* \vdash e_1 : T_1$ and $* \vdash e_2 : T_2$
 - case analysis on step
 - $e_1 \rightarrow e_1'$ and $e' = (e_1', e_2)$
 - by **IH** and typing $e_1' : T_1$
 - then (e_1', e_2) still has type $T_1 * T_2$
 - $e_2 \rightarrow e_2'$ and $e' = (e_1, e_2')$
 - by **IH** and typing $e_2' : T_2$
 - then (e_1, e_2') still has type $T_1 * T_2$

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- **Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts**
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- [Course Evaluations](#)

Today: Review & Review

- **Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs**
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- [Course Evaluations](#)

Evaluation Contexts

Evaluation contexts define where interesting work can happen:

$$E ::= [\cdot] \mid E e \mid v E \mid (E, e) \mid (v, E) \mid E.1 \mid E.2 \\ \mid A(E) \mid B(E) \mid (\text{match } E \text{ with } Ax. e_1 \mid Bx. e_2)$$

$$e \rightarrow e' \text{ with 1 rule: } \frac{e \xrightarrow{P} e'}{E[e] \rightarrow E[e']}$$

$e \xrightarrow{P} e'$ does all the “interesting work”:

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x. e) v \xrightarrow{P} e[v/x]} \quad \frac{}{(v_1, v_2).1 \xrightarrow{P} v_1} \quad \frac{}{(v_1, v_2).2 \xrightarrow{P} v_2} \\ \frac{}{\text{match } A(v) \text{ with } Ax. e_1 \mid Bx. e_2 \xrightarrow{P} e_1[v/x]} \\ \frac{}{\text{match } B(v) \text{ with } Ax. e_1 \mid Bx. e_2 \xrightarrow{P} e_2[v/x]}$$

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- **Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts**
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- [Course Evaluations](#)

CPS

Everything takes a continuation, all the time!

```
let rec fact n =  
  if n = 0 then  
    1  
  else  
    n * fact (n - 1)
```



```
let rec fact' n k =  
  (eq' n 0 (fun b ->  
    (if b then  
      (k 1)  
    else  
      (sub' n 1 (fun m ->  
        (fact' m (fun p ->  
          (mult' n p k))))))))))
```

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- **Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style**
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- [Course Evaluations](#)

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- **Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style**
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- [Course Evaluations](#)

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- **Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance**
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- Course Evaluations

Subtyping Smaller Parts

- *Covariance*: same direction as bigger type
- *Contravariance*: opposite direction of bigger type

???

$$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \leq \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4$$

Subtyping: Follow LSP

Liskov Substitution Principle:

If **A** is a subtype of **B** (written **A** <: **B**), then we can safely use a value of type **A** anywhere a value of type **B** is expected.

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- **Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance**
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- Course Evaluations

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- **Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism**
- [Course Evaluations](#)

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- **Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism**
- [Course Evaluations](#)

Typing Bambdas

- Look at AST, look at typing rules, pattern match
- *Try to think as little as possible*

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x : \Gamma(x)} \quad \frac{}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash c : \text{int}}$$
$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2 \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_1}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x:\tau_1. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_1}$$
$$\frac{\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. e : \forall \alpha. \tau_1} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha. \tau_1 \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e[\tau_2] : \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha]}$$

$(\Lambda \alpha. \Lambda \beta. \lambda x : \alpha. \lambda f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta. f x) [\text{int}] [\text{int}] \mathbf{3} (\lambda y : \text{int}. y + y)$

Today: Review & Review

- Extending Progress and Preservation Proofs
- Quick Look Back at Evaluation Contexts
- Putting Terms into Continuation Passing Style
- Subtyping: LSP, Covariance, Contravariance
- Type Derivations with Parametric Polymorphism
- **Course Evaluations**

Thanks!

- Really enjoyed our discussions during lecture
- Learned a lot about teaching vs. giving a lecture
- Y'all are incredibly bright, very promising futures
- Remember tricks:
 - Have one question for each topic.
 - "That's a great question. What do you think?"

Course Feedback

- Voluntary
- Confidential
- Grade Independent
- No. 2 pencil ONLY on scan forms