

Name: _____

**CSE 505, Fall 2007, Midterm Examination
1 November 2007**

Please do not turn the page until everyone is ready.

Rules:

- The exam is closed-book, closed-note, except for one side of one 8.5x11in piece of paper.
- **Please stop promptly at 11:50.**
- You can rip apart the pages, but please write your name on each page.
- There are **100 points** total, distributed **unevenly** among **4** questions (which have multiple parts).

Advice:

- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing.
- Write down thoughts and intermediate steps so you can get partial credit.
- The questions are not necessarily in order of difficulty. **Skip around.** In particular, make sure you get to all the problems.
- If you have questions, ask.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

Name: _____

For your reference:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s &::= \text{skip} \mid x := e \mid s; s \mid \text{if } e \text{ s } s \mid \text{while } e \text{ s} \\
 e &::= c \mid x \mid e + e \mid e * e \\
 (c &\in \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}) \\
 (x &\in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, y_1, y_2, \dots, z_1, z_2, \dots, \dots\})
 \end{aligned}$$

$H; e \Downarrow c$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{CONST} \qquad \text{VAR} \\
 \hline
 H; c \Downarrow c \qquad H; x \Downarrow H(x) \\
 \text{ADD} \qquad \text{MULT} \\
 \hline
 \frac{H; e_1 \Downarrow c_1 \quad H; e_2 \Downarrow c_2}{H; e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow c_1 + c_2} \qquad \frac{H; e_1 \Downarrow c_1 \quad H; e_2 \Downarrow c_2}{H; e_1 * e_2 \Downarrow c_1 * c_2}
 \end{array}$$

$H_1; s_1 \rightarrow H_2; s_2$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{ASSIGN} \qquad \text{SEQ1} \qquad \text{SEQ2} \\
 \hline
 \frac{H; e \Downarrow c}{H; x := e \rightarrow H, x \mapsto c; \text{skip}} \qquad \frac{}{H; \text{skip}; s \rightarrow H; s} \qquad \frac{H; s_1 \rightarrow H'; s'_1}{H; s_1; s_2 \rightarrow H'; s'_1; s_2} \\
 \text{IF1} \qquad \text{IF2} \qquad \text{WHILE} \\
 \hline
 \frac{H; e \Downarrow c \quad c > 0}{H; \text{if } e \text{ s}_1 \text{ s}_2 \rightarrow H; s_1} \qquad \frac{H; e \Downarrow c \quad c \leq 0}{H; \text{if } e \text{ s}_1 \text{ s}_2 \rightarrow H; s_2} \qquad \frac{}{H; \text{while } e \text{ s} \rightarrow H; \text{if } e \text{ (s; while } e \text{ s) skip}}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 e &::= \lambda x. e \mid x \mid e e \mid c \\
 v &::= \lambda x. e \mid c \\
 \tau &::= \text{int} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau
 \end{aligned}$$

$e \rightarrow e'$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x. e) v \rightarrow e[v/x]} \qquad \frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 e_2} \qquad \frac{e_2 \rightarrow e'_2}{v e_2 \rightarrow v e'_2}$$

$e[e'/x] = e''$

$$\frac{}{x[e/x] = e} \qquad \frac{e_1[e/x] = e'_1 \quad y \neq x \quad y \notin FV(e)}{(\lambda y. e_1)[e/x] = \lambda y. e'_1} \\
 \frac{y \neq x}{y[e/x] = y} \qquad \frac{e_1[e/x] = e'_1 \quad e_2[e/x] = e'_2}{(e_1 e_2)[e/x] = e'_1 e'_2}$$

$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash c : \text{int}} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \Gamma(x)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_1}$$

- If $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \rightarrow e'$, then $\cdot \vdash e' : \tau$.
- If $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$, then e is a value or there exists an e' such that $e \rightarrow e'$.
- If $\Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$, then $\Gamma \vdash e[e'/x] : \tau$.

Name: _____

1. In this problem, we consider an expression language that is like expressions in IMP except we remove multiplication and we add a *global counter*. Our syntax is:

$$e ::= c \mid x \mid e + e \mid \text{next}$$

Informally, the `next` expression evaluates to the current counter-value and has the side-effect of incrementing the counter value.

- (a) (**11 points**) Give a large-step semantics for this expression language. The judgment should have the form $H; c_1; e \Downarrow c_2; c$ where:
- H , e , and c are like in IMP.
 - c_1 is the value of the global counter before evaluation.
 - c_2 is the value of the global counter after evaluation.
- (b) (**16 points**) Prove this theorem: If $H; c_1; e \Downarrow c_2; c$ and $c'_1 > c_1$, then there exist c'_2 and c' such that $H; c'_1; e \Downarrow c'_2; c'$ and $c'_2 > c_2$.
- (c) (**7 points**) Suppose we also extend IMP statement semantics to support the global counter (so the judgment has the form $H; c; s \rightarrow H'; c'; s'$). Argue that this theorem is *false*: If $H_1; c_1; s \rightarrow^* H_2; c_2; \text{skip}$ and $c'_1 > c_1$, then there exist H'_2 and c'_2 such that $H; c'_1; s \rightarrow^* H'_2; c'_2; \text{skip}$ and $c'_2 > c_2$. *You do not need to give the semantic rules for statements or show a full state sequence. Just give an example showing the theorem is false and explain why informally.*

Name: _____
(*This page intentionally blank*)

Name: _____

2. (10 points) In this problem we extend IMP statements with the construct `repeat c s`. Informally, the idea is to execute `s` `c` times. Here are two *separate* ways one might add rules to the semantics:

- First way:

$$\frac{c > 0}{H; \text{repeat } c \ s \rightarrow H; (s; \text{repeat } (c - 1) \ s)} \qquad \frac{c \leq 0}{H; \text{repeat } c \ s \rightarrow H; \text{skip}}$$

- Second way:

$$\overline{H; \text{repeat } c \ s \rightarrow H; (s; \text{if } (c - 1) \ (\text{repeat } (c - 1) \ s) \ \text{skip})}$$

One of these ways is *wrong* (in some situations) according to the informal description.

- (a) Which way is wrong? Explain why it is wrong.
- (b) Show how to change the wrong way to make it correct.

Name: _____

3. (18 points) Note there is a part (a) and part (b) to this problem.

(a) For each Caml function below (q1, q2, and q3):

- Describe in 1–2 English sentences what the function computes.
- Give the type of the function. (Hint: For all three functions, the type has one type variable.)

```
let q1 x =  
  let rec g x y =  
    match x with  
    [] -> y  
    | hd::tl -> g tl (hd::y)  
  in g x []
```

```
let rec q2 f lst =  
  match lst with  
  [] -> []  
  | hd::tl -> if f hd then hd::(q2 f tl) else q2 f tl
```

```
let q3 x g = g (g x)
```

(b) Consider this purposely complicated code that uses q3 as defined above.

```
let x = q3 2  
let y z = z+z  
let z = 9  
let x = x y
```

After evaluating this code, what is x bound to?

Name: _____

4. In this problem, we consider a call-by-value lambda-calculus with very basic support for profiling: In addition to computing a value, it computes how many times an expression of the form `count e` is evaluated. Here is the syntax and operational semantics:

$$e ::= \lambda x. e \mid x \mid e e \mid c \mid \text{count } e$$

$$\boxed{c; e \rightarrow c'; e'}$$

$$\frac{}{c; (\lambda x. e) v \rightarrow c; e[v/x]} \quad \frac{c; e_1 \rightarrow c'; e'_1}{c; e_1 e_2 \rightarrow c'; e'_1 e_2} \quad \frac{c; e_2 \rightarrow c'; e'_2}{c; v e_2 \rightarrow c'; v e'_2}$$

$$\frac{}{c; \text{count } v \rightarrow c + 1; v} \quad \frac{c; e \rightarrow c'; e'}{c; \text{count } e \rightarrow c'; \text{count } e'}$$

Given a source program e , our initial state is $0; e$ (i.e., the count starts at 0). A program state $c; e$ type-checks if e type-checks (i.e., the count can be anything).

- (a) (6 points) Give a typing rule for `count e` that is sound and not unnecessarily restrictive.
- (b) (13 points) State an appropriate Preservation Lemma for this language. Prove just the case(s) directly involving `count e` expressions.
- (c) (13 points) State an appropriate Progress Lemma for this language. Prove just the case(s) directly involving `count e` expressions.
- (d) (6 points) Give an example program that terminates in our language *and* would terminate if we changed function application to be call-by-name *but* under call-by-name it would produce a different resulting count. (Hint: This should not be difficult.)

Name: _____
(*This page intentionally blank*)