

CSE 505: Concepts of Programming Languages

Dan Grossman

Fall 2007

Lecture 3— Operational Semantics for IMP

Where we are

- Done: IMP syntax, structural induction, Caml basics
- Today: IMP operational semantics
- Tonight: You could (almost?) finish homework 1

Review

IMP's abstract syntax is defined inductively:

$$s ::= \text{skip} \mid x := e \mid s; s \mid \text{if } e \text{ } s \text{ } s \mid \text{while } e \text{ } s$$
$$e ::= c \mid x \mid e + e \mid e * e$$
$$(c \in \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\})$$
$$(x \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, y_1, y_2, \dots, z_1, z_2, \dots, \dots\})$$

We haven't said what programs mean yet! (Syntax is boring)

But we have a social understanding about variables and control flow

Expression semantics

$H ::= \cdot \mid H, x \mapsto c$

$H ; e \Downarrow c$

CONST

$\frac{}{H ; c \Downarrow c}$

VAR

$\frac{}{H ; x \Downarrow H(x)}$

ADD

$\frac{H ; e_1 \Downarrow c_1 \quad H ; e_2 \Downarrow c_2}{H ; e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow c_1 + c_2}$

MULT

$\frac{H ; e_1 \Downarrow c_1 \quad H ; e_2 \Downarrow c_2}{H ; e_1 * e_2 \Downarrow c_1 * c_2}$

“pronounce” as proofs (upward) or evaluations (downward)

Expression semantics cont'd

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } H = H', x \mapsto c \\ H'(x) & \text{if } H = H', y \mapsto c' \\ 0 & \text{if } H = \cdot \end{cases}$$

Last case avoids “errors” (makes function *total*)

We have *rule schemas* (“rules”). We *instantiate* a rule by replacing metavariables appropriately.

Instantiating rules

Example instantiation:

$$\frac{\cdot, y \mapsto 4 ; 3 + y \Downarrow 7 \quad \cdot, y \mapsto 4 ; 5 \Downarrow 5}{\cdot, y \mapsto 4 ; (3 + y) + 5 \Downarrow 12}$$

Instantiates:

$$\frac{H ; e_1 \Downarrow c_1 \quad H ; e_2 \Downarrow c_2}{H ; e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow c_1 + c_2}$$

with $H = \cdot, y \mapsto 4$, $e_1 = (3 + y)$, $c_1 = 7$, $e_2 = 5$, $c_2 = 5$

Derivations

A (*complete*) *derivation* is a tree of instantiations with *axioms* at the leaves.

Example:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\cdot, y \mapsto 4 ; 3 \Downarrow 3} \quad \frac{}{\cdot, y \mapsto 4 ; y \Downarrow 4} \\
 \hline
 \cdot, y \mapsto 4 ; 3 + y \Downarrow 7 \quad \cdot, y \mapsto 4 ; 5 \Downarrow 5 \\
 \hline
 \cdot, y \mapsto 4 ; (3 + y) + 5 \Downarrow 12
 \end{array}$$

So $H ; e \Downarrow c$ if there exists a derivation with $H ; e \Downarrow c$ at the root.

Some theorems

- Progress: For all H and e , there exists a c such that $H ; e \Downarrow c$.
- Determinacy: For all H and e , there is at most one c such that $H ; e \Downarrow c$.

We rigged it that way...

what would division, undefined-variables, or `gettime()` do?

Note: Our semantics is *syntax-directed*.

Some theory comments

Inference rules are PL notation for some standard math...

- “ H and e evaluating to c ” is a *relation* on triples of the form (H, e, c) (i.e., $H ; e \Downarrow c$)
- Relation defined inductively on the derivation *height*
- Can define syntax the same way:

$$\frac{}{c \in E} \qquad \frac{}{x \in E}$$
$$\frac{e_1 \in E \quad e_2 \in E}{e_1 + e_2 \in E} \qquad \frac{e_1 \in E \quad e_2 \in E}{e_1 * e_2 \in E}$$

Less metanotation for you, but not what “we” do

Statement semantics

$$H_1 ; s_1 \rightarrow H_2 ; s_2$$

ASSIGN

$$\frac{H ; e \Downarrow c}{H ; x := e \rightarrow H, x \mapsto c ; \text{skip}}$$

SEQ1

$$\frac{}{H ; \text{skip}; s \rightarrow H ; s}$$

SEQ2

$$\frac{H ; s_1 \rightarrow H' ; s'_1}{H ; s_1; s_2 \rightarrow H' ; s'_1; s_2}$$

IF1

$$\frac{H ; e \Downarrow c \quad c > 0}{H ; \text{if } e \text{ } s_1 \text{ } s_2 \rightarrow H ; s_1}$$

IF2

$$\frac{H ; e \Downarrow c \quad c \leq 0}{H ; \text{if } e \text{ } s_1 \text{ } s_2 \rightarrow H ; s_2}$$

Statement semantics cont'd

What about **while** e s (do s and loop if $e > 0$)?

WHILE

$H ; \text{while } e \ s \rightarrow H ; \text{if } e \ (s ; \text{while } e \ s) \ \text{skip}$

Many other equivalent definitions possible

Program semantics

We defined $H ; s \rightarrow H' ; s'$, but what does “ s ” mean/do?

Our machine iterates: $H_1 ; s_1 \rightarrow H_2 ; s_2 \rightarrow H_3 ; s_3 \dots$

Let $H_1 ; s_1 \rightarrow^* H_2 ; s_2$ mean “becomes after some number of steps” and pick a special “answer” variable ans

The program s produces c if $\cdot ; s \rightarrow^* H ; \mathbf{skip}$ and $H(ans) = c$

Does every s produce a c ?

Example program execution

$x := 3; (y := 1; \text{while } x (y := y * x; x := x - 1))$

(Let's write some of the state sequence. You can justify each step with a full derivation. Let $s = (y := y * x; x := x - 1)$.)

$\cdot; x := 3; y := 1; \text{while } x s$
 $\rightarrow \cdot, x \mapsto 3; \text{skip}; y := 1; \text{while } x s$
 $\rightarrow \cdot, x \mapsto 3; y := 1; \text{while } x s$
 $\rightarrow^2 \cdot, x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 1; \text{while } x s$
 $\rightarrow \cdot, x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 1; \text{if } x (s; \text{while } x s) \text{ skip}$
 $\rightarrow \cdot, x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 1; y := y * x; x := x - 1; \text{while } x s$

Continued...

\rightarrow^2 $\cdot, x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 3; x := x - 1; \text{while } x \ s$

\rightarrow^2 $\cdot, x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 3, x \mapsto 2; \text{while } x \ s$

\rightarrow $\dots, y \mapsto 3, x \mapsto 2; \text{if } x \ (s; \text{while } x \ s) \ \text{skip}$

\dots

\rightarrow $\dots, y \mapsto 6, x \mapsto 0; \text{skip}$

Where we are

We have defined $H ; e \Downarrow c$ and $H ; s \rightarrow H' ; s'$ and extended the latter to give s a meaning.

The way we did expressions is “large-step” or “natural”.

The way we did statements is “small-step”.

So now you have seen both.

Large-step does not distinguish errors and divergence.

Establishing Properties

We can prove a property of a terminating program by “running” it.

Example: Our last program terminates with x holding 0 .

We can prove a program diverges, i.e., for all H and n ,

• $; s \rightarrow^n H ; \text{skip}$ cannot be derived.

Example: **while 1 skip**

By induction on n with stronger induction hypothesis: If we can derive

• $; s \rightarrow^n H ; s'$ then s' is **while 1 skip** or

if 1 (skip; while 1 skip) skip or **skip; while 1 skip**.

More General Proofs

We can prove properties of executing all programs (satisfying another property)

Example: If H and s have no negative constants and $H ; s \rightarrow^* H' ; s'$, then H' and s' have no negative constants.

Example: If for all H , we know s_1 and s_2 terminate, then for all H , we know $H ; (s_1 ; s_2)$ terminates.