Computer Science & Engineering 505 – Final Exam December 14, 2001 Open book & notes – 110 minutes – 10 points per question 100 points total

Name: _

Please use the back of the page if necessary for long answers.

1. Consider the CLP(R) queue problem from Assignment 3. The first question asked you to write predicates put(Q0,I,Q1) and get(Q0,I,Q1). The put predicate puts an item I on a queue Q0 to give Q1. The get predicate gets an item I from a queue Q0 to give Q1. Here is the solution:

put(Q0, I, Q1) :- append(Q0, [I], Q1).
get([I|Q1], I, Q1).
/* convenience rule to make an empty queue, or test if a queue is empty */
empty([]).

/* reference definition of append */
append([],Ys,Ys).
append([X|Xs],Ys,[X|Zs]) :- append(Xs,Ys,Zs)

If we try the goal

?- empty(Q0), put(Q0,100,Q1).

this will succeed with the answer Q0=[], Q1=[100].

What will happen when we try the following goal? (Say whether it succeeds or fails, and if it succeeds, give the answer.)

empty(Q0), put(Q0,100,Q1), put(Q1,200,Q2), get(Q2,I,Q3).

2. Now consider the difference list version of the queue rules.

```
/* queue(N,L,T) represents a queue of length N, where the list of elements
    is stored in L with T lopped off the end */
put_dl(queue(N,L,[I|T]), I, queue(N+1,L,T)).
get_dl(queue(N,[I|L],T), I, queue(N-1,L,T)) :- N>0.
```

```
/* convenience rule to build an empty queue, or test if a queue is empty */ empty(queue(0,T,T)).
```

What will happen when we try a similar goal for the difference list version?

empty(Q0), put_dl(Q0,100,Q1).

And what happens for this goal?

empty(Q0), put_dl(Q0,100,Q1), put_dl(Q1,200,Q2), get_dl(Q2,I,Q3).

3. The Pizza paper describes two techniques for translating Pizza into Java. What are they, and what are their advantages and disadvantages? (Please be brief.)

4. Consider the following expressions in the lambda calculus with let-polymorphism. Give the principal type of each expression, or say why it cannot be given a type.

(a) λ f. λ x. (f (f x))

(b) let $id = \lambda x.x$ in ((id id) true)

(c) λ id.((id id) true)

5. Consider the following Smalltalk class definition.

```
Object subclass: #BlockHolder
    instanceVariableNames: 'myblock'
    classVariableNames: ''
    poolDictionaries: ''
setBlock: b
    myblock := b
getValue
    ^ myblock value
```

What is the result of evaluating the following code? (The code evaluates without error. The value in each case will be the value of the last s getValue expression.)

```
(a) | s |
s := BlockHolder new.
s setBlock: [3+4].
s getValue
```

6. Joe Mocha is defining an interface Appendable in Pizza that includes an append method. He then defines two classes, MyString and MyList, which both implement Appendable. He wants Pizza's type system to allow a MyString to be appended to a MyString, and a MyList to be appended to a MyList, but not a MyString to a MyList, or a MyList to a MyString.

Here is his definition of Appendable:

```
interface Appendable {
   Appendable append(Appendable a);
}
```

What is wrong with this definition? What is a correct one?

Also write a definition for a class MyString that uses the revised definition of Appendable. (Just put ... in the body of the method — we only care about the header.)

7. Suppose that we have a Java class Vehicle, and another class Bus that extends Vehicle. Consider the following pieces of Java code. In each case, say whether the code runs correctly, gives a compile time error, or gives a run time error. If there is an error, identify the line on which it occurs.

```
(a) Vehicle[] v = new Vehicle[10];
v[0] = new Bus();
v[1] = new Vehicle();
```

```
(b) Bus[] b = new Bus[10];
b[0] = new Vehicle();
b[1] = new Bus();
```

(c) Vehicle[] v = new Bus[10]; v[0] = new Vehicle(); v[1] = new Bus(); 8. Suppose we are writing a simulation of a local network. We have two flavors of packet: an ordinary type Packet, and a subtype EncryptedPacket. We also have a type Ethercard that has a receive method with an argument of type Packet, and another type DecryptingEthercard that defines a receive method with an argument of type EncryptedPacket.

We might sketch these classes as follows (using Java-like syntax):

```
class Packet
{ . . . .
   String getHeader () { \ldots }
}
class EncryptedPacket
{ . . .
   String getHeader () \{ \ldots \}
   int keylength () { ... }
}
class Ethercard
    void receive(Packet p)
    { . . .
       h = p.getHeader();
       . . .
    }
}
class DecryptingEthercard
{
    void receive(EncryptedPacket p)
    { . . .
       h = p.getHeader();
       k = p.keylength();
       . . .
    }
}
```

Using structural subtyping rules (i.e. the ones given in class to define covariance and contravariance), clearly EncryptedPacket is a subtype of Packet.

- (a) What is the subtyping relation, if any, between Ethercard and DecryptingEthercard under the contravariant typing rule?
- (b) What is the subtyping relation if any between Ethercard and DecryptingEthercard under the covariant typing rule?

If one of the rules gave an unsound result, describe an example that is statically correct but that has a runtime type error. (Continue your answer on the other side if necessary.)

9. Suppose we're given that Int is a subtype of Num. Formally, we add the following rule to the structural subtyping rules given in class for the simply typed lambda calculus with records:

 $\overline{Int \leq Num} \ (S\text{-IntNum})$

Show a derivation of the fact that {x:Num \rightarrow Int,y:Int} is a subtype of {x:Int \rightarrow Num}.

10. I'm deciding whether to make my new programming language be statically typed or dynamically typed. What benefits would I get by choosing static typechecking over dynamic typechecking? What benefits would I get by choosing dynamic typechecking over static typechecking?