

Chapter 26. Rademacher Complexity.

• we learned in lecture 3 that

Uniform Convergence $\implies$ PAC learnable with ERM

$\mathcal{H}$ is uniformly convergent

if $|L_S(h) - L(h)| \leq \epsilon$ w.p. $1 - \delta$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$

where $m \geq m_{\mathcal{H}}^{VC}(\epsilon, \delta)$ for some $m_{\mathcal{H}}^{VC}(\epsilon, \delta)$.

Recall:

$$L_S(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_h(\mathbf{x}_i)$$

$$L(h) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim D} [\ell_h(\mathbf{z})]$$

$\uparrow$ generalize

VC-dim: 0 or 1 loss

Definition [Representativeness]

Representativeness of S w.r.t. $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$\text{Rep}_D(\mathcal{H}, S) \triangleq \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |L(h) - L_S(h)|$$

Since this cannot be measured from sample S ,

consider a surrogate as follows.

- Partition S into $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ $|S_1| = |S_2|$, and consider

$$\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |L_{S_1}(h) - L_{S_2}(h)|$$

- write it as

$$\frac{1}{m} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \ell_h(\mathbf{x}_i) \quad \text{where}$$

$$b_i = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } i \in S_1 \\ -1 & \text{if } i \in S_2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$$

"Rademacher Complexity" uses this surrogate with random $\mathbf{b}$

$$b_i = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{w.p. } 1/2 \\ -1 & \text{w.p. } 1/2 \end{cases}$$

*Another way to look at symmetrization

Rademacher Complexity of $\mathcal{H}$ w.r.t. S is defined as

$$R(\mathcal{H}, S) \triangleq \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{b} \sim \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{B}_2} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \cdot \ell_h(\mathbf{x}_i) \right]$$

Example > bounded linear class

$$\mathcal{H}_2 \triangleq \left\{ \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle : \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq 1 \right\}$$

claim > for $S = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$, $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$R(\mathcal{H}_2, S) \leq \frac{\max_i \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (**)$$

Proof > Cauchy-Schwarz: $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \leq \|\mathbf{w}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{v}\|$

$$m \cdot R(\mathcal{H}_2, S) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{b}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{w}: \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \cdot \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_G \left[\sup_{w: \|w\|_2 \leq 1} \langle w, \sum_{i=1}^m G_i X_i \rangle \right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_G \left[\sup_{w: \|w\|_2 \leq 1} \|w\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m G_i X_i \right\| \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_G \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m G_i X_i \right\| \right]$$

Using Jensen's inequality, $\sqrt{\cdot}$ is concave in a

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m G_i X_i \right\| \right] = \mathbb{E}_G \left[\left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m G_i X_i \right\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] \leq \left(\mathbb{E}_G \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m G_i X_i \right\|_2^2 \right] \right)^{1/2}$$

finally

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m G_i X_i \right\|^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}_G \left[\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^m G_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^m G_j X_j \right\rangle \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_G \left[\sum_{i=1}^m G_i^2 \langle X_i, X_i \rangle + \underbrace{\sum_{i \neq j} G_i G_j \langle X_i, X_j \rangle}_{\mathbb{E}[\cdot] = 0} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \langle X_i, X_i \rangle \leq m \max_i \|X_i\|^2$$

[Theorem 26.5] Suppose $|h_k(z)| \leq C$ for all $k \in \mathcal{H}$ and z ,
(data-independent bound)

① With probability at least $1-\delta$, for all $k \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\underbrace{L_D(h) - L_S(h)}_{\text{R.V.}} \leq 2 \cdot \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{S'} [R(h \circ H \circ S')]}_{\text{deterministic}} + c \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(4/\delta)}{m}}$$

② (data-dependent bound)

$$L_D(h) - L_S(h) \leq 2 \cdot \underbrace{R(h \circ H \circ S)}_{\text{R.V.}} + 4c \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(4/\delta)}{m}}$$

③ (data-dependent bound) $h^* \in \arg \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_D(h)$

$$L_D(h_{\text{ERM}(S)}) - L_D(h^*) \leq 2 \cdot R(h \circ H \circ S) + 5c \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(4/\delta)}{m}}$$

eg from (*) for Lipschitz & bounded l : $|l(z)| \leq C$
 $|l(x) - l(y)| \leq p \|x - y\|$

$$R(H_2 \circ S) \leq \frac{\max_i \|X_i\|_2}{\sqrt{m}}$$

data dependent, also n -independent

$$R(h \circ H_2 \circ S) \leq p \cdot \frac{\max_i \|X_i\|_2}{\sqrt{m}} \text{ if } l(\cdot, y) \text{ is } p\text{-Lipschitz} \quad \leftarrow \text{skip proof}$$

$$\rightarrow L_D(h) - L_S(h) \leq 2 \cdot p \cdot \frac{\max_i \|X_i\|_2}{\sqrt{m}} + 4c \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(4/\delta)}{m}}$$

[Lemma 26.2]

$$\mathbb{E}_S [Rep_D(\mathcal{H}, S)] \leq 2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_S [R(\mathcal{H}, S)] \quad (*)$$

[McDiarmid's inequality]

Let V be a set and $f: V^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that for some $c > 0$, for all $i \in [m]$,
 $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$

and for all $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in V^m$, and $x_i \in V$, we have

$$|f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)| \leq c. \quad \leftarrow \text{bounded difference condition (xxx)}$$

Let $X_1, \dots, X_m$ be m independent random variables taking values in V .

Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]| > \varepsilon) \leq 2e^{-\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{mc^2}}$$

$\Leftrightarrow$ with probability $1-\delta$,

$$|f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]| \leq c \sqrt{\ln\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right) \cdot \frac{m}{2}}$$

e.g. $f(X) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$, $a \leq X_i \leq b \rightarrow c = \frac{b-a}{m}$

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]| > \varepsilon) \leq 2e^{-\frac{2\varepsilon^2 m}{(b-a)^2}}$$

$\rightarrow$ Recovers Hoeffding's as a special case!

Proof of [Theorem 26.5]

$$Rep_D(\mathcal{H}, S) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_D(h) - L_S(h) \text{ satisfies (xxx) with constant } \frac{2c}{m}.$$

Applying McDiarmid's inequality, & (*), with probability $1-\delta$

$$\begin{aligned} Rep_D(\mathcal{H}, S) &\leq \mathbb{E}[Rep_D(\mathcal{H}, S)] + c \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(2/\delta)}{m}} \\ &\leq 2 \mathbb{E}_S [R(\mathcal{H}, S)] + c \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(2/\delta)}{m}} \end{aligned}$$

This proves ①

Proof of ② follows from the fact that $R(\mathcal{H}, S)$ satisfies (xxx) with $\frac{2c}{m}$ also.

Similarly:

$$\rightarrow 2 \mathbb{E}_S [R(\mathcal{H}, S)] \leq 2 R(\mathcal{H}, S) + 2c \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(2/\delta)}{m}} \quad \text{Union Bound for } \delta.$$

Similarly for ③

We are left to prove (*) $\mathbb{E}_S \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_D(h) - L_S(h) \right] \leq 2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_S \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_h(x_i) \delta_i \right] \frac{1}{m}$

Proof of (*) > by symmetrization.

$$L_D(h) - L_S(h) = \mathbb{E}_S [L_{S'}(h)] - L_S(h) = \mathbb{E}_{S'} [L_{S'}(h) - L_S(h)]$$

take sup $\rightarrow \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_D(h) - L_S(h) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{S'} [L_{S'}(h) - L_S(h)]$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{S'} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_{S'}(h) - L_S(h) \right]$$

take $\mathbb{E}_S$

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{E}_S \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_D(h) - L_S(h) \right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{S, S'} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_{S'}(h) - L_S(h) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}_{S, S'} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m (l_h(\underline{z}_i') - l_h(\underline{z}_i)) \right]$$

Notice that $l_h(\underline{z}_i') - l_h(\underline{z}_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{b}_i (l_h(\underline{z}_i') - l_h(\underline{z}_i))$

then $\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m l_h(\underline{z}_i') - l_h(\underline{z}_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m \underline{b}_i (l_h(\underline{z}_i') - l_h(\underline{z}_i))$

for $\underline{b}_i = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$

and $\mathbb{E}_{S, S'} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m l_h(\underline{z}_i') - l_h(\underline{z}_i) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{S, S', \underline{b}} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m \underline{b}_i (l_h(\underline{z}_i') - l_h(\underline{z}_i)) \right]$

Finally, $\mathbb{E}_{S, S', \underline{b}} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m \underline{b}_i (l_h(\underline{z}_i') - l_h(\underline{z}_i)) \right] \leq \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m \underline{b}_i l_h(\underline{z}_i') + \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m (-\underline{b}_i l_h(\underline{z}_i))$

$$\mathbb{E}_S \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_D(h) - L_S(h) \right] \leq \frac{2}{m} \mathbb{E}_{S, \underline{b}} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^m \underline{b}_i l_h(\underline{z}_i) \right] = 2 \mathbb{E}_S [R(\mathcal{H}, S)]$$
