Lecture 14: Structured Prediction

Detection and Segmentation

Administrative: Assignment 4

Due 2/27 11:59pm

- PyTorch,
- RNNs,
- LSTMs

Milestone: Mid-point check in

Due tonight 11:59pm

Administrative: Fridays

This Friday

Optimizing Attention

Today:

Finish up Modern Architecture

Transfer Learning

Structured Prediction

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

W' = (W - F + 2P) / S + 1

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4

=>

Q: what is the output volume size? Hint: (227-11)/4+1 = 55

Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Details:

- ILSVRC’14 2nd in classification, 1st in localization
- Similar training procedure as Krizhevsky 2012
- No Local Response Normalisation (LRN)
- Use VGG16 or VGG19 (VGG19 only slightly better, more memory)
- Use ensembles for best results
- FC7 features generalize well to other tasks



Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Stack Inception modules with dimension reduction on top of each other



Inception module



ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Very deep networks using residual connections

- 152-layer model for ImageNet
- ILSVRC'15 classification winner (3.57% top 5 error)
- Swept all classification and detection competitions in ILSVRC'15 and COCO'15!





Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Solution: Use network layers to fit a residual mapping instead of directly trying to fit a desired underlying mapping



Identity mapping:
H(x) = x if F(x) = 0

Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Skip connections smooth out the loss landscape, easier optimization



(a) without skip connections (b) with skip connections

Figure 1: The loss surfaces of ResNet-56 with/without skip connections. The proposed filter normalization scheme is used to enable comparisons of sharpness/flatness between the two figures.

Li, H., Xu, Z., Taylor, G., Studer, C., & Goldstein, T. (2018). Visualizing the Loss Landscape of Neural Nets. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*

Comparing complexity...



An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Comparing complexity...

VGG: most parameters, most operations





An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Comparing complexity...

GoogLeNet:
most efficient





An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Comparing complexity...

AlexNet:
Smaller compute, still memory heavy, lower accuracy





An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Comparing complexity...

ResNet:
Moderate efficiency depending on model, highest accuracy





An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



Improving ResNets...

“Good Practices for Deep Feature Fusion”

[Shao et al. 2016]

- Multi-scale ensembling of Inception, Inception-Resnet, Resnet, Wide Resnet models
- ILSVRC’16 classification winner

	Inception-v3	Inception-v4	Inception-Resnet-v2	Resnet-200	Wrn-68-3	Fusion（Val.）	Fusion（Test）
Err. (%)	4.20	4.01	3.52	4.26	4.65	2.92 (-0.6)	2.99

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



Improving ResNets...

Squeeze-and-Excitation Networks (SENet)

[Hu et al. 2017]

- Add a “feature recalibration” module that learns to adaptively reweight feature maps
- Global information (global avg. pooling layer) + 2 FC layers used to determine feature map weights
- ILSVRC’17 classification winner (using ResNeXt-152 as a base architecture)





ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



Completion of the challenge:
Annual ImageNet competition no longer held after 2017 -> now moved to Kaggle.

How have transformers affected architectures?



Transformer Encoder Block:

Inputs: Set of vectors **x**
Outputs: Set of vectors **y**

Self-attention is the only interaction between vectors.

Layer norm and MLP operate independently per vector.

Highly scalable, highly parallelizable, but high memory usage.

Vaswani et al, "Attention is all you need", NeurIPS 2017

Notice the residual connections!!



Residual connections inherited from ResNet's design.

Allows for better gradients to flow through all the transformers blocks.

Vaswani et al, "Attention is all you need", NeurIPS 2017

How to incorporate transformers to vision?





Cat image is free for commercial use

Idea #1: pass the image pixels into the transformer encoder.

So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a pixel.

What is the problem with this idea?

How to incorporate transformers to vision?



[Cat image](#) is free for commercial use

Idea #1: pass the image pixels into the transformer encoder.

So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a pixel.

Q. What is the problem with this idea?

A. Memory issue: Assume images are 224x224 pixels. This means that self attention will produce $224^4 = 10^9$ values!

How to incorporate transformers to vision?



Idea #2: Divide image into patches and pass those patches into the transformer

So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a 16x16x3 patch.

Q. What operation do you know already that operates over patches?

Cat image is free for commercial use

Dosovitskiyet al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

How to incorporate transformers to vision?



Cat image is free for commercial use

Dosovitskiyet al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

Idea #2: Divide image into patches and pass those patches into the transformer

So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a 16x16x3 patch.

Q. What operation do you know already that operates over patches?

Yes it's a convolution.

Q. What is the kernel size and stride and padding?

How to incorporate transformers to vision?



Idea #2: Divide image into patches and pass those patches into the transformer

So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a 16x16x3 patch.

Q. Does this solve the memory problem?

A. $14^2 \times 14^2 = 38416$, much less than 10^9

Cat image is free for commercial use

Dosovitskiyet al, “An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale”, ICLR 2021

Position encoding





Since transformers are permutation invariant, we want to add position encoding to each patch.

- Patches are 768D.
- Position encoding is some learned 768D.

Pick any consistent ordering of patches (e.g. top left patch is always first).

Simply Add position encoding and patch representation.

Dosovitskiyet al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

How to turn the output to a class prediction?



Add special [CLS] token.

Similar to <start> and <end> tokens in NLP.

Output CLS representation makes the final prediction Using a linear layer

Cat image is free for commercial use

Dosovitskiyet al, “An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale”, ICLR 2021

Common ViT architectures

Model	Layers	Hidden size D	MLP size	Heads	Params
ViT-Base	12	768	3072	12	86M
ViT-Large	24	1024	4096	16	307M
ViT-Huge	32	1280	5120	16	632M

Common patch sizes: 32, 16, 14…
Smaller patches results in larger more powerful models.

Nomenclature: ViT-B/32 means that its a ViT model that uses Base values for layers, hidden size, mlp vize, and head. /32 means the input image patches are 32x32.

Dosovitskiyet al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

Comparing ResNets with ViTs



Models are initially trained on a large dataset called JFT-300M

And then the last linear layer is finetuned on ImageNet-1.5M

ViT performs worse when only 10M images are used from JFT. But ViT outperforms ResNets with larger training data (300M images from JFT).

Dosovitskiyet al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

Self-attention is expensive… can we design something simpler?



Every self-attention is expensive. We want each input to “interact” with other tokens but can we simplify the operation a bit?

State space models offer a potential solution but it hasn’t been adopted yet (out of scope for this course)

Vaswani et al, “Attention is all you need”, NeurIPS 2017

Main takeaways

AlexNet showed that you can use CNNs to train Computer Vision models.
ZFNet, **VGG** shows that bigger networks work better
GoogLeNet is one of the first to focus on efficiency using 1x1 bottleneck convolutions and global avg pool instead of FC layers
ResNet showed us how to train extremely deep networks

- Limited only by GPU & memory!
- Showed diminishing returns as networks got bigger

After ResNet: CNNs were better than the human metric and focus shifted to Efficient networks:

- Lots of tiny networks aimed at mobile devices: **MobileNet**, **ShuffleNet**

Neural Architecture Search can now automate architecture design
ViT is the current favorite architecture but requires a lot of compute and data
State space models have presented an alternative to transformers but they haven't taken off.

Summary: Modern Architectures

- **ResNet-50** and **ViT** currently good defaults to use

Transfer learning

“You need a lot of a data if you want to train/use CNNs”

"You need a lot of a data if you want to train/use CNNs"

BUSTED

Transfer Learning with CNNs



Transfer Learning with CNNs



Test image L2 Nearest neighbors in <u>feature</u> space

(More on this in Lecture 13)

Transfer Learning with CNNs





AlexNet:
64 x 3 x 11 x 11

(More on this in Lecture 13)

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014
Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

Transfer Learning with CNNs

1. Train on Imagenet



Transfer Learning with CNNs

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014
Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

1. Train on Imagenet

2. Small Dataset (C classes)



Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014
Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

Transfer Learning with CNNs

1. Train on Imagenet

2. Small Dataset (C classes)



Finetuned from AlexNet



Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014

Transfer Learning with CNNs

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014
Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

1. Train on Imagenet



2. Small Dataset (C classes)



Reinitialize this and train

Freeze these

3. Bigger dataset



Reinitialize this and train

With bigger dataset, train more layers

Train these

Lower learning rate when finetuning; 1/10 of original LR is good starting point



	very similar dataset	**very different dataset**
very little data	?	?
quite a lot of data	?	?



	very similar dataset	**very different dataset**
very little data	Use Linear Classifier on top layer	?
quite a lot of data	Finetune a few layers	?



	very similar dataset	very different dataset
very little data	Use Linear Classifier on top layer	You're in trouble… Try linear classifier from different stages
quite a lot of data	Finetune a few layers	Finetune a larger number of layers

Transfer learning with CNNs is pervasive…
(it's the norm, not an exception)

Object Detection
(Fast R-CNN)



Image Captioning: CNN + RNN



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015. Reproduced with permission.

Karpathy and Fei-Fei, "Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions", CVPR 2015
Figure copyright IEEE, 2015. Reproduced for educational purposes.

Transfer learning with CNNs is pervasive…
(it’s the norm, not an exception)

Object Detection
(Fast R-CNN)



CNN pretrained on ImageNet

Image Captioning: CNN + RNN



Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015

Karpathy and Fei-Fei, “Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions”, CVPR 2015

Transfer learning with CNNs is pervasive…
(it's the norm, not an exception)

Object Detection
(Fast R-CNN)



CNN pretrained on ImageNet

Image Captioning: CNN + RNN



Word embedding layer pretrained with word2vec

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015

Karpathy and Fei-Fei, "Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions", CVPR 2015

Transfer learning with CNNs is pervasive…
(it's the norm, not an exception)



1. Train CNN on ImageNet
2. Fine-Tune (1) for object detection on Visual Genome
1. Train BERT language model on lots of text
2. Combine(2) and (3), train for joint image / language modeling
3. Fine-tune (4) for image captioning, visual question answering, etc.

Zhou et al, "Unified Vision-Language Pre-Training for Image Captioning and VQA" CVPR 2020
Figure copyright Luowei Zhou, 2020. Reproduced with permission.

Krishna et al, "Visual genome: Connecting language and vision using crowdsourced dense image annotations" IJCV 2017
Devlin et al. "BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding" ArXiv 2018

Transfer learning is pervasive…

But recent results show it might not always be necessary!



He et al, “Rethinking ImageNet Pre-training”, ICCV 2019

Training from scratch can work just as well as training from a pretrained ImageNet model for object detection

But it takes 2-3x as long to train.

They also find that collecting more data is better than finetuning on a related task

Takeaway for your projects and beyond:

Transfer learning be like

Source: AI & Deep Learning Memes For Back-propagated Poets

Takeaway for your projects and beyond:

Have some dataset of interest but it has < ~1M images?

1. Find a very large dataset that has similar data, train a big neural network there
2. Transfer learn to your dataset

Deep learning frameworks provide a “Model Zoo” of pretrained models so you don’t need to train your own

TensorFlow: https://github.com/tensorflow/models
PyTorch: https://github.com/pytorch/vision

Structured Prediction:

How to build NN for problems with different kinds of inputs/outputs than standard classification

Structured Prediction:

How to build NN for problems with different kinds of inputs/outputs than standard classification

Upshot: If your problem has a certain input/output format, your network can have the same!

Image Classification: A core task in Computer Vision

(assume given a set of possible labels)
{dog, cat, truck, plane, ...}

$\longrightarrow$ cat

Structured prediction tasks in vision

Classification

CAT

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

Object Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

No spatial extent

No objects, just pixels

Multiple Object

Semantic Segmentation

Classification

CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object Detection

DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation

DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

Semantic Segmentation: The Problem



GRASS, CAT,
TREE, SKY, ...

Paired training data: for each training image, each pixel is labeled with a semantic category.



At test time, classify each pixel of a new image.

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window

Full image

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window

Full image

?

Impossible to classify without context

Q: how do we include context?

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window

Full image



Q: how do we model this?

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window

Full image

Extract patch

Classify center pixel with CNN



Cow

Cow

Grass

Farabet et al, “Learning Hierarchical Features for Scene Labeling,” TPAMI 2013
Pinheiro and Collobert, “Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling”, ICML 2014

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window

Full image

Extract patch

Classify center pixel with CNN



Cow

Cow

Grass

Problem: Very inefficient! Not reusing shared features between overlapping patches

Farabet et al, “Learning Hierarchical Features for Scene Labeling,” TPAMI 2013
Pinheiro and Collobert, “Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling”, ICML 2014

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Convolution

Full image



An intuitive idea: encode the entire image with conv net, and do semantic segmentation on top.

Problem: classification architectures often reduce feature spatial sizes to go deeper, but semantic segmentation requires the output size to be the same as input size.

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design a network with only convolutional layers without downsampling operators to make predictions for pixels all at once!



Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design a network with only convolutional layers without downsampling operators to make predictions for pixels all at once!



Problem: convolutions at original image resolution will be very expensive ...

Convolutions:
D x H x W

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with **downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!

Input:
3 x H x W



Med-res:
D_2 x H/4 x W/4

Med-res:
D_2 x H/4 x W/4

Low-res:
D_3 x H/4 x W/4

High-res:
D_1 x H/2 x W/2

High-res:
D_1 x H/2 x W/2

C x H x W

Predictions:
H x W

Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation", CVPR 2015
Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Downsampling: Pooling, strided convolution

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with **downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!

Upsampling: ???



Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, “Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation”, CVPR 2015
Noh et al, “Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation”, ICCV 2015

In-Network upsampling: “Unpooling”

Nearest Neighbor

1	2
3	4

Input: 2 x 2

1	1	2	2
1	1	2	2
3	3	4	4
3	3	4	4

Output: 4 x 4

“Bed of Nails”

1	2
3	4

Input: 2 x 2

1	0	2	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	4	0
0	0	0	0

Output: 4 x 4

In-Network upsampling: “Max Unpooling”

Max Pooling
Remember which element was max!

1	2	6	3
3	5	2	1
1	2	2	1
7	3	4	8

Input: 4 x 4

5	6
7	8

Output: 2 x 2

. . .

Rest of the network

Max Unpooling
Use positions from pooling layer

1	2
3	4

Input: 2 x 2

0	0	2	0
0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	0	4

Output: 4 x 4

Corresponding pairs of downsampling and upsampling layers



Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1



Input: 4 x 4



Output: 4 x 4

Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1



Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1



Input: 4 x 4

Output: 4 x 4

Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 4 x 4



Output: 2 x 2

Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3 x 3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 4 x 4

Output: 2 x 2

Filter moves 2 pixels in the input for every one pixel in the output

Stride gives ratio between movement in input and output

We can interpret strided convolution as “learnable downsampling”.

Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 2 x 2



Output: 4 x 4

Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 2 x 2

Output: 4 x 4

Filter moves 2 pixels in the <u>output</u> for every one pixel in the <u>input</u>

Stride gives ratio between movement in output and input

Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Filter moves 2 pixels in the output for every one pixel in the input

Stride gives ratio between movement in output and input

Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution

Q: Why is it called transpose convolution?

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Sum where output overlaps

Filter moves 2 pixels in the <u>output</u> for every one pixel in the <u>input</u>

Stride gives ratio between movement in output and input

Learnable Upsampling: 1D Example



Output contains copies of the filter weighted by the input, summing at where at overlaps in the output

Convolution as Matrix Multiplication (1D Example)

We can express convolution in terms of a matrix multiplication

$$\vec{x} * \vec{a} = X\vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ay + bz \\ bx + cy + dz \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D conv, kernel size=3, <u>stride=2</u>, padding=1

Convolution as Matrix Multiplication (1D Example)

We can express convolution in terms of a matrix multiplication

$$\vec{x} * \vec{a} = X\vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ay + bz \\ bx + cy + dz \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D conv, kernel size=3, <u>stride=2</u>, padding=1

Convolution transpose multiplies by the transpose of the same matrix:

$$\vec{x} *^T \vec{a} = X^T\vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \\ z & x \\ 0 & y \\ 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax \\ ay \\ az + bx \\ by \\ bz \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D transpose conv, kernel size=3, <u>stride=2</u>, padding=0

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Downsampling: Pooling, strided convolution

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with **downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!

Upsampling: Unpooling or strided transpose convolution

Input:
3 x H x W



High-res:
D_1 x H/2 x W/2

High-res:
D_1 x H/2 x W/2

Predictions:
H x W

Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation", CVPR 2015
Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015

Today: UNet with residual connections

Input:
3 x H x W



Predictions:
H x W

Newell et al. Stacked Hourglass Networks for Human Pose Estimation. ECCV 2016

Semantic Segmentation: Summary





Semantic Segmentation

Label each pixel in the image with a category label

Don't differentiate instances, only care about pixels





Object Detection

Classification

CAT

Semantic Segmentation

GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

Object Detection

DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

No spatial extent

No objects, just pixels

Multiple Object

Object Detection

Classification

CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation

GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

Object Detection: Single Object
(Classification + Localization)



Object Detection: Single Object
(Classification + Localization)



This image is CC0 public domain



Fully Connected: 4096 to 1000

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

Vector: 4096

Object Detection: Single Object

(Classification + Localization)



This image is CC0 public domain



Vector: 4096

Fully Connected: 4096 to 1000

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

Fully Connected: 4096 to 4

Box Coordinates
(x, y, w, h)

Object Detection: Single Object
(Classification + Localization)



This image is CC0 public domain



Vector: 4096

Fully Connected: 4096 to 1000

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

Correct label:
Cat

Softmax Loss

Fully Connected: 4096 to 4

Box Coordinates (x, y, w, h)

L2 Loss

Correct box:
(x’, y’, w’, h’)

Treat localization as a regression problem!

Object Detection: Single Object
(Classification + Localization)



This image is CC0 public domain



Vector: 4096

Fully Connected: 4096 to 1000

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...

Correct label:
Cat

Softmax Loss

Fully Connected: 4096 to 4

Box Coordinates
(x, y, w, h)

L2 Loss

Correct box:
(x', y', w', h')

Multitask Loss

+ → **Loss**

Treat localization as a regression problem!

Object Detection: Multiple Objects



CAT: (x, y, w, h)



DOG: (x, y, w, h)
DOG: (x, y, w, h)
CAT: (x, y, w, h)





DUCK: (x, y, w, h)
DUCK: (x, y, w, h)
….

Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Each image needs a different number of outputs!



CAT: (x, y, w, h)

4 numbers



DOG: (x, y, w, h)
DOG: (x, y, w, h)
CAT: (x, y, w, h)

12 numbers





DUCK: (x, y, w, h)
DUCK: (x, y, w, h)
....

Many numbers!

Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? NO
Cat? NO
Background? YES

Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background





Dog? YES
Cat? NO
Background? NO

Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? YES
Cat? NO
Background? NO

Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? NO
Cat? YES
Background? NO

Q: What's the problem with this approach?

Object Detection: Multiple Objects



Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? NO
Cat? YES
Background? NO

Problem: Need to apply CNN to huge number of locations, scales, and aspect ratios, very computationally expensive!

Region Proposals: Selective Search

- Find “blobby” image regions that are likely to contain objects
- Relatively fast to run; e.g. Selective Search gives 2000 region proposals in a few seconds on CPU



Alexe et al, “Measuring the objectness of image windows”, TPAMI 2012
Uijlings et al, “Selective Search for Object Recognition”, IJCV 2013
Cheng et al, “BING: Binarized normed gradients for objectness estimation at 300fps”, CVPR 2014
Zitnick and Dollar, “Edge boxes: Locating object proposals from edges”, ECCV 2014

R-CNN


Input image

Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN

Regions of Interest (RoI) from a proposal method (~2k)

Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.

R-CNN



Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

R-CNN



Forward each region through ConvNet (ImageNet-pretranied)

Warped image regions (224x224 pixels)

Regions of Interest (RoI) from a proposal method (~2k)

Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.

R-CNN



Classify regions with SVMs

Forward each region through ConvNet (ImageNet-pretranied)

Warped image regions (224x224 pixels)

Regions of Interest (RoI) from a proposal method (~2k)

Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

R-CNN



Predict "corrections" to the RoI: 4 numbers: (dx, dy, dw, dh)

Classify regions with SVMs

Forward each region through ConvNet (ImageNet-pretranied)

Warped image regions (224x224 pixels)

Regions of Interest (RoI) from a proposal method (~2k)

Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

R-CNN

Predict “corrections” to the RoI: 4 numbers: (dx, dy, dw, dh)



Classify regions with SVMs

Forward each region through ConvNet

Warped image regions (224x224 pixels)

Regions of Interest (RoI) from a proposal method (~2k)

Problem: Very slow! Need to do ~2k independent forward passes for each image!

Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.

"Slow" R-CNN

Predict "corrections" to the RoI: 4 numbers: (dx, dy, dw, dh)



Classify regions with SVMs

Forward each region through ConvNet

Warped image regions (224x224 pixels)

Regions of Interest (RoI) from a proposal method (~2k)

Problem: Very slow! Need to do ~2k independent forward passes for each image!

Idea: Pass the image through convnet before cropping! Crop the conv feature instead!

Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

Fast R-CNN

“Slow” R-CNN



Fast R-CNN

"Backbone" network: AlexNet, VGG, ResNet, etc





Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](). Reproduced with permission.

Fast R-CNN

Regions of Interest (RoIs) from a proposal method

"Backbone" network: AlexNet, VGG, ResNet, etc



"Slow" R-CNN



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

Fast R-CNN

Regions of Interest (RoIs) from a proposal method

"Backbone" network: AlexNet, VGG, ResNet, etc



"Slow" R-CNN



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](). Reproduced with permission.

Fast R-CNN





Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

Fast R-CNN





Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; source. Reproduced with permission.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)



Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Q: how do we resize the 512 x 20 x 15 region to, e.g., a 512 x 2 x 2 tensor?.

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool

“Snap” to grid cells

Divide into 2x2 grid of (roughly) equal subregions



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Q: how do we resize the 512 x 20 x 15 region to, e.g., a 512 x 2 x 2 tensor?.

Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool

Project proposal
onto features

"Snap" to
grid cells

Divide into 2x2
grid of (roughly)
equal subregions



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)



Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Max-pool within
each subregion



Region features
(here 512 x 2 x 2;
In practice e.g 512 x 7 x 7)

Region features always the
same size even if input
regions have different sizes!

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



"Snap" to grid cells

Divide into 2x2 grid of (roughly) equal subregions

Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Region features
(here 512 x 2 x 2;
In practice e.g 512 x 7 x 7)

Region features always the same size even if input regions have different sizes!

Problem: Region features slightly misaligned

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Project proposal
onto features

"Snap" to
grid cells



Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Divide into 2x2
grid of (roughly)
equal subregions

Max-pool within
each subregion



Region features
(here 512 x 2 x 2;
In practice e.g 512 x 7 x 7)

Region features always the
same size even if input
regions have different sizes!

Problem: Region features slightly misaligned

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool

"Snap" to grid cells

Divide into 2x2 grid of (roughly) equal subregions

Project proposal onto features



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)



Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Max-pool within each subregion



Region features
(here 512 x 2 x 2;
In practice e.g 512 x 7 x 7)

Region features always the same size even if input regions have different sizes!

Problem: Region features slightly misaligned

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Align



He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

Cropping Features: RoI Align

Sample at regular points in each subregion using bilinear interpolation



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

Cropping Features: RoI Align



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Feature f_{xy} for point (x, y) is a linear combination of features at its four neighboring grid cells:

He et al, "Mask R-CNN", ICCV 2017

Cropping Features: RoI Align

Sample at regular points in each subregion using bilinear interpolation





Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Feature f_{xy} for point (x, y) is a linear combination of features at its four neighboring grid cells:

$$f_{xy} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} f_{i,j} \max(0, 1 - |x - x_i|) \max(0, 1 - |y - y_j|)$$

He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

Cropping Features: RoI Align

Sample at regular points in each subregion using bilinear interpolation



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features: C x H x W
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Region features
(here 512 x 2 x 2;
In practice e.g 512 x 7 x 7)

He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

R-CNN vs Fast R-CNN





Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.
He et al, "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition", ECCV 2014
Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015

R-CNN vs Fast R-CNN





Problem:
Runtime dominated by region proposals!

Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.
He et al, “Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition”, ECCV 2014
Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015

Faster R-CNN:
Make CNN do proposals!

Insert **Region Proposal Network (RPN)** to predict proposals from features

Otherwise same as Fast R-CNN: Crop features for each proposal, classify each one



Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015

Region Proposal Network

Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)



Image features
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Region Proposal Network

Imagine an **anchor box** of fixed size at each point in the feature map



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Region Proposal Network

Imagine an **anchor box** of fixed size at each point in the feature map



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Anchor is an object?
1 x 20 x 15

At each point, predict whether the corresponding anchor contains an object (binary classification)

Region Proposal Network

Imagine an **anchor box** of fixed size at each point in the feature map



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

For positive boxes, also predict a corrections from the anchor to the ground-truth box (regress 4 numbers per pixel)

Region Proposal Network

In practice use K different anchor boxes of different size / scale at each point



Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Anchor is an object?
K x 20 x 15

Box transforms
4K x 20 x 15

Region Proposal Network

In practice use K different anchor boxes of different size / scale at each point



Anchor is an object?
K x 20 x 15

Box transforms
4K x 20 x 15

Input Image
(e.g. 3 x 640 x 480)

Image features
(e.g. 512 x 20 x 15)

Sort the K*20*15 boxes by their "objectness" score, take top ~300 as our proposals

Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!

Jointly train with 4 losses:

1. RPN classify object / not object
2. RPN regress box coordinates
3. Final classification score (object classes)
4. Final box coordinates



Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015

Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!



Fast**er** R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!



Glossing over many details:

- Ignore overlapping proposals with **non-max suppression**
- How are anchors determined?
- How do we sample positive / negative samples for training the RPN?
- How to parameterize bounding box regression?

Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015

Faster R-CNN:
Make CNN do proposals!

Faster R-CNN is a
Two-stage object detector

First stage: Run once per image
- Backbone network
- Region proposal network

Second stage: Run once per region
- Crop features: RoI pool / align
- Predict object class
- Prediction bbox offset



Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!

Do we really need the second stage?

Faster R-CNN is a
Two-stage object detector

First stage: Run once per image
- Backbone network
- Region proposal network

Second stage: Run once per region
- Crop features: RoI pool / align
- Predict object class
- Prediction bbox offset



Single-Stage Object Detectors: YOLO / SSD / RetinaNet

Input image
3 x H x W



Divide image into grid
7 x 7

Image a set of **base boxes** centered at each grid cell
Here B = 3

Within each grid cell:

- Regress from each of the B base boxes to a final box with 5 numbers: (dx, dy, dh, dw, confidence)
- Predict scores for each of C classes (including background as a class)
- Looks a lot like RPN, but category-specific!

Output:
7 x 7 x (5 * B + C)

Redmon et al, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection", CVPR 2016
Liu et al, "SSD: Single-Shot MultiBox Detector", ECCV 2016
Lin et al, "Focal Loss for Dense Object Detection", ICCV 2017

Object Detection: Lots of variables ...

Backbone Network
VGG16
ResNet-101
Inception V2
Inception V3
Inception ResNet
MobileNet

"Meta-Architecture"
Two-stage: Faster R-CNN
Single-stage: YOLO / SSD
Hybrid: R-FCN

Image Size
 # Region Proposals
…

Takeaways
Faster R-CNN is slower but more accurate

SSD is much faster but not as accurate

Bigger / Deeper backbones work better

Huang et al, "Speed/accuracy trade-offs for modern convolutional object detectors", CVPR 2017

R-FCN: Dai et al, "R-FCN: Object Detection via Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks", NIPS 2016
Inception-V2: Ioffe and Szegedy, "Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift", ICML 2015
Inception V3: Szegedy et al, "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", arXiv 2016
Inception ResNet: Szegedy et al, "Inception-V4, Inception-ResNet and the Impact of Residual Connections on Learning", arXiv 2016
MobileNet: Howard et al, "Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications", arXiv 2017

Object Detection: Lots of variables ...

Backbone Network
VGG16
ResNet-101
Inception V2
Inception V3
Inception ResNet
MobileNet

"Meta-Architecture"
Two-stage: Faster R-CNN
Single-stage: YOLO / SSD
Hybrid: R-FCN

Image Size
 # Region Proposals
…

Takeaways
Faster R-CNN is slower but more accurate

SSD is much faster but not as accurate

Bigger / Deeper backbones work better

Huang et al, "Speed/accuracy trade-offs for modern convolutional object detectors", CVPR 2017
Zou et al, "Object Detection in 20 Years: A Survey", arXiv 2019

R-FCN: Dai et al, "R-FCN: Object Detection via Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks", NIPS 2016
Inception-V2: Ioffe and Szegedy, "Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift", ICML 2015
Inception V3: Szegedy et al, "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision", arXiv 2016
Inception ResNet: Szegedy et al, "Inception-V4, Inception-ResNet and the Impact of Residual Connections on Learning", arXiv 2016
MobileNet: Howard et al, "Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications", arXiv 2017

Instance Segmentation

Classification

CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation

GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object Detection

DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

Object Detection: Faster R-CNN



Object Detection

DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation

DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation: Mask R-CNN



Add a small mask network that operates on each RoI and predicts a 28x28 binary mask

He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

Mask R-CNN



He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, arXiv 2017

Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets



Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets



Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets



Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets



Mask R-CNN: Very Good Results!





He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

Mask R-CNN
Also does pose

He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

Open Source Frameworks

Lots of good implementations on GitHub!

TensorFlow Detection API:
https://github.com/tensorflow/models/tree/master/research/object_detection
Faster RCNN, SSD, RFCN, Mask R-CNN, ...

Detectron2 (PyTorch)
https://github.com/facebookresearch/detectron2
Mask R-CNN, RetinaNet, Faster R-CNN, RPN, Fast R-CNN, R-FCN, ...

Finetune on your own dataset with pre-trained models

Beyond 2D Object Detection...

Object Detection + Captioning = Dense Captioning



Johnson, Karpathy, and Fei-Fei, “DenseCap: Fully Convolutional Localization Networks for Dense Captioning”, CVPR 2016

Dense Video Captioning





Ranjay Krishna et al., “Dense-Captioning Events in Videos”, ICCV 2017

Objects + Relationships = Scene Graphs



108,077 Images
5.4 Million Region Descriptions
1.7 Million Visual Question Answers
3.8 Million Object Instances
2.8 Million Attributes
2.3 Million Relationships
Everything Mapped to Wordnet Synsets

VISUALGENOME

Ranjay Krishna, Yuke Zhu, Oliver Groth, Justin Johnson, Kenji Hata, Joshua Kravitz, Stephanie Chen et al. "Visual genome: Connecting language and vision using crowdsourced dense image annotations." International Journal of Computer Vision 123, no. 1 (2017): 32-73.

Scene Graph Prediction



Krishna, Lu, Bernstein, and Fei-Fei, “Scene Graph Generation by Iterative Message Passing”, ECCV 2016

Grounded Situation Recognition



Catching			
Agent	Caught Item	Tool	Place
Bear	Fish	Mouth	River



Jumping				
Agent	Source	Destination	Obstacle	Place
Female Child	Sofa	Sofa	Ø	Living Room

Kneading		
Agent	Item	Place
Person	Dough	Kitchen

Capture semantic and physical relationships of objects

Tag each image with an action and ground each entity involved in that action

Pratt et al “Grounded Situation Recognition”, ECCV 2020

3D Object Detection



2D Object Detection:
2D bounding box
(x, y, w, h)

3D Object Detection:
3D oriented bounding box
(x, y, z, w, h, l, r, p, y)

Simplified bbox: no roll & pitch

Much harder problem than 2D object detection!

3D Object Detection: Monocular Camera



- Same idea as Faster RCNN, but proposals are in 3D
- 3D bounding box proposal, regress 3D box parameters + class score

Chen, Xiaozhi, Kaustav Kundu, Ziyu Zhang, Huimin Ma, Sanja Fidler, and Raquel Urtasun. "Monocular 3d object detection for autonomous driving." CVPR 2016.

Recap: Lots of computer vision tasks!

Classification	**Semantic Segmentation**	**Object Detection**	**Instance Segmentation**
			
CAT	**GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY**	**DOG, DOG, CAT**	**DOG, DOG, CAT**
No spatial extent	No objects, just pixels	Multiple Object	

Next time:
Self-Supervised

3D Object Detection: Simple Camera Model



A point on the image plane corresponds to a **ray** in the 3D space

A 2D bounding box on an image is a **frustrum** in the 3D space

Localize an object in 3D:
The object can be anywhere in the **camera viewing frustrum**!

Image source: https://www.pcmag.com/encyclopedia_images/_FRUSTUM.GIF

3D Shape Prediction: Mesh R-CNN



Gkioxari et al., Mesh RCNN, ICCV 2019