

Lecture 13:

Modern Architectures

Administrative: Assignment 3

Due 5/11 11:59pm

- Multi-layer Neural Networks,
- Image Features,
- Optimizers

Administrative: Assignment 4

Due 5/25 11:59pm

- PyTorch
- RNNs
- LSTMs

Administrative: Milestone

- Now due **next Friday 5/23 11:59pm**; **no late days**
- Proposal feedback will be released later today

Administrative: Fridays

This Friday

Exam Review

Administrative: Exam


For the exam coming up

Refer to the [exam archive](#) for practice materials

Today: Modern Architectures

Review: LeNet-5

[LeCun et al., 1998]




Conv filters were 5x5, applied at stride 1
Subsampling (Pooling) layers were 2x2 applied at stride 2
i.e. architecture is [CONV-POOL-CONV-POOL-FC-FC]

Today: Modern Architectures


Case Studies

- AlexNet
- VGG
- GoogLeNet
- ResNet
- ViT

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Architecture:

CONV1

MAX POOL1

NORM1

CONV2

MAX POOL2

NORM2

CONV3

CONV4

CONV5

Max POOL3

FC6

FC7

FC8





Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4

=>


Q: what is the output volume size? Hint: $(227-11)/4+1 = 55$

$$W' = (W - F + 2P) / S + 1$$

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4

=>

Output volume **[55x55x96]**

$$W' = (W - F + 2P) / S + 1$$





Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4

=>

Output volume **[55x55x96]**

Q: What is the total number of parameters in this layer?





Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4

=>

Output volume **[55x55x96]**

Parameters: $(11 \times 11 \times 3 + 1) \times 96 = \mathbf{35K}$





Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images
After CONV1: 55x55x96

$$W' = (W - F + 2P) / S + 1$$


Second layer (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2

Q: what is the output volume size? Hint: $(55-3)/2+1 = 27$

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images
After CONV1: 55x55x96

$$W' = (W - F + 2P) / S + 1$$


Second layer (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2
Output volume: 27x27x96

Q: what is the number of parameters in this layer?

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]




Input: 227x227x3 images
After CONV1: 55x55x96

Second layer (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2
Output volume: 27x27x96
Parameters: 0!

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

After CONV1: 55x55x96

After POOL1: 27x27x96

...

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

[227x227x3] INPUT

[55x55x96] **CONV1**: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0

[27x27x96] **MAX POOL1**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[27x27x96] **NORM1**: Normalization layer

[27x27x256] **CONV2**: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2

[13x13x256] **MAX POOL2**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[13x13x256] **NORM2**: Normalization layer

[13x13x384] **CONV3**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[13x13x384] **CONV4**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[13x13x256] **CONV5**: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[6x6x256] **MAX POOL3**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[4096] **FC6**: 4096 neurons

[4096] **FC7**: 4096 neurons

[1000] **FC8**: 1000 neurons (class scores)




Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

[227x227x3] INPUT

[55x55x96] **CONV1**: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0

[27x27x96] **MAX POOL1**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[27x27x96] **NORM1**: Normalization layer

[27x27x256] **CONV2**: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2

[13x13x256] **MAX POOL2**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[13x13x256] **NORM2**: Normalization layer

[13x13x384] **CONV3**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[13x13x384] **CONV4**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1


[13x13x256] **CONV5**: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[6x6x256] **MAX POOL3**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[4096] **FC6**: 4096 neurons

[4096] **FC7**: 4096 neurons

[1000] **FC8**: 1000 neurons (class scores)



Details/Retrospectives:

- first use of ReLU
- used Norm layers (not common anymore)
- heavy data augmentation
- dropout 0.5
- batch size 128
- SGD Momentum 0.9
- Learning rate 1e-2, reduced by 10 manually when val accuracy plateaus
- L2 weight decay 5e-4
- 7 CNN ensemble: 18.2% -> 15.4%

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

[227x227x3] INPUT

[55x55x96] **CONV1**: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0

[27x27x96] **MAX POOL1**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[27x27x96] **NORM1**: Normalization layer

[27x27x256] **CONV2**: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2

[13x13x256] **MAX POOL2**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[13x13x256] **NORM2**: Normalization layer

[13x13x384] **CONV3**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[13x13x384] **CONV4**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1


[13x13x256] **CONV5**: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[6x6x256] **MAX POOL3**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[4096] **FC6**: 4096 neurons

[4096] **FC7**: 4096 neurons

[1000] **FC8**: 1000 neurons (class scores)



[55x55x48] x 2

Historical note: Trained on GTX 580 GPU with only 3 GB of memory. Network spread across 2 GPUs, half the neurons (feature maps) on each GPU.

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

[227x227x3] INPUT

[55x55x96] **CONV1**: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0

[27x27x96] **MAX POOL1**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[27x27x96] **NORM1**: Normalization layer

[27x27x256] **CONV2**: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2

[13x13x256] **MAX POOL2**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[13x13x256] **NORM2**: Normalization layer

[13x13x384] **CONV3**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[13x13x384] **CONV4**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1


[13x13x256] **CONV5**: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[6x6x256] **MAX POOL3**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[4096] **FC6**: 4096 neurons

[4096] **FC7**: 4096 neurons

[1000] **FC8**: 1000 neurons (class scores)



CONV1, CONV2, CONV4, CONV5:
Connections only with feature maps
on same GPU

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

[227x227x3] INPUT

[55x55x96] **CONV1**: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0

[27x27x96] **MAX POOL1**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[27x27x96] **NORM1**: Normalization layer

[27x27x256] **CONV2**: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2

[13x13x256] **MAX POOL2**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[13x13x256] **NORM2**: Normalization layer

[13x13x384] **CONV3**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[13x13x384] **CONV4**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1


[13x13x256] **CONV5**: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[6x6x256] **MAX POOL3**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[4096] **FC6**: 4096 neurons

[4096] **FC7**: 4096 neurons

[1000] **FC8**: 1000 neurons (class scores)




CONV3, FC6, FC7, FC8:


Connections with all feature maps in preceding layer, communication across GPUs

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners




ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



ZFNet

[Zeiler and Fergus, 2013]




AlexNet but:

CONV1: change from (11x11 stride 4) to (7x7 stride 2)

CONV3,4,5: instead of 384, 384, 256 filters use 512, 1024, 512

ImageNet top 5 error: 16.4% -> 11.7%

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Small filters, Deeper networks


8 layers (AlexNet)

-> 16 - 19 layers (VGG16Net)

Only 3x3 CONV stride 1, pad 1
and 2x2 MAX POOL stride 2

11.7% top 5 error in ILSVRC'13 (ZFNet)

-> 7.3% top 5 error in ILSVRC'14



AlexNet




VGG16

VGG19

Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)



AlexNet



VGG16

VGG19


Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Stack of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers has same **effective receptive field** as one 7x7 conv layer

Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?



AlexNet




VGG16

VGG19

Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]


Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?



Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]


Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?



Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]


Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?



Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]


Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?



Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?




Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Stack of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers has same **effective receptive field** as one 7x7 conv layer

[7x7]



AlexNet



VGG16

VGG19

Case Study: VGGNet


[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Stack of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers has same **effective receptive field** as one 7x7 conv layer

But deeper, more non-linearities

And fewer parameters: $3 * (3^2 C^2)$ vs. $7^2 C^2$ for C channels per layer



AlexNet



VGG16

VGG19

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: 224*224*3=150K params: 0 (not counting biases)

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: $(3*3*3)*64 = 1,728$

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: $(3*3*64)*64 = 36,864$

POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112*112*64=800K params: 0

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: $(3*3*64)*128 = 73,728$

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112*112*128=1.6M params: $(3*3*128)*128 = 147,456$

POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: 56*56*128=400K params: 0

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: $(3*3*128)*256 = 294,912$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28*28*256=200K params: 0

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: $(3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: 0

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$


CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7*7*512=25K params: 0

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448$

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $4096*4096 = 16,777,216$

FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: $4096*1000 = 4,096,000$



VGG16

Diagram illustrating a deep neural network architecture for CIFAR-10. The network consists of the following layers (from bottom to top):

- Input
- 3x3 conv, 64
- 3x3 conv, 64
- Pool
- 3x3 conv, 128
- 3x3 conv, 128
- Pool
- 3x3 conv, 256
- 3x3 conv, 256
- Pool
- 3x3 conv, 512
- 3x3 conv, 512
- 3x3 conv, 512
- Pool
- 3x3 conv, 512
- 3x3 conv, 512
- 3x3 conv, 512
- Pool
- FC 4096
- FC 4096
- FC 1000
- Softmax

VGG16

TOTAL memory: $24M * 4 \text{ bytes} \approx 96MB / \text{image}$ (for a forward pass)

TOTAL params: 138M parameters

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: $224*224*3=150\text{K}$ params: 0 (not counting biases)

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*3)*64 = 1,728$

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*64)*64 = 36,864$

POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: $112*112*64=800\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*64)*128 = 73,728$

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*128)*128 = 147,456$

POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: $56*56*128=400\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*128)*256 = 294,912$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: $28*28*256=200\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: $7*7*512=25\text{K}$ params: 0

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448$

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $4096*4096 = 16,777,216$

FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: $4096*1000 = 4,096,000$

TOTAL memory: $24\text{M} * 4 \text{ bytes} \approx 96\text{MB} / \text{image}$ (only forward! ~ 2 for bwd)

TOTAL params: 138M parameters

Note:

Most memory is in early CONV

Most params are in late FC

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: $224*224*3=150K$ params: 0 (not counting biases)

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2M$ params: $(3*3*3)*64 = 1,728$

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2M$ params: $(3*3*64)*64 = 36,864$

POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: $112*112*64=800K$ params: 0

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6M$ params: $(3*3*64)*128 = 73,728$

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6M$ params: $(3*3*128)*128 = 147,456$

POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: $56*56*128=400K$ params: 0

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800K$ params: $(3*3*128)*256 = 294,912$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800K$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800K$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: $28*28*256=200K$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400K$ params: $(3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400K$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400K$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100K$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100K$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100K$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100K$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: $7*7*512=25K$ params: 0

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448$

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $4096*4096 = 16,777,216$

FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: $4096*1000 = 4,096,000$

TOTAL memory: $24M * 4 \text{ bytes} \approx 96MB / \text{image}$ (only forward! ~ 2 for bwd)

TOTAL params: 138M parameters



VGG16


Common names

Case Study: VGGNet


[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Details:

- ILSVRC'14 2nd in classification, 1st in localization
- Similar training procedure as Krizhevsky 2012
- No Local Response Normalisation (LRN)
- Use VGG16 or VGG19 (VGG19 only slightly better, more memory)
- Use ensembles for best results
- FC7 features generalize well to other tasks




AlexNet



VGG16

VGG19

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners





Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Deeper networks, with computational efficiency



- ILSVRC'14 classification winner (6.7% top 5 error)
- 22 layers
- Only 5 million parameters!
12x less than AlexNet
27x less than VGG-16
- Efficient “Inception” module
- No FC layers



Case Study: GoogLeNet


[Szegedy et al., 2014]

“Inception module”: design a good local network topology (network within a network) and then stack these modules on top of each other



Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]



Naive Inception module


Apply parallel filter operations on the input from previous layer:

- Multiple receptive field sizes for convolution (1x1, 3x3, 5x5)
- Pooling operation (3x3)

Concatenate all filter outputs together channel-wise

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]



Naive Inception module

Apply parallel filter operations on the input from previous layer:

- Multiple receptive field sizes for convolution (1x1, 3x3, 5x5)
- Pooling operation (3x3)

Concatenate all filter outputs together channel-wise


Q: What is the problem with this?
[Hint: Computational complexity]

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Q: What is the problem with this?
[Hint: Computational complexity]

Example:



Naive Inception module


Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Q: What is the problem with this?
[Hint: Computational complexity]

Example:

Q1: What are the output sizes of all different filter operations?



Naive Inception module


Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Q: What is the problem with this?
[Hint: Computational complexity]

Example:

Q1: What are the output sizes of all different filter operations?



Naive Inception module


Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Q: What is the problem with this?
[Hint: Computational complexity]

Example:

Q2: What is output size after
filter concatenation?



Naive Inception module


Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Q: What is the problem with this?
[Hint: Computational complexity]

Example: Q2: What is output size after filter concatenation?

$$28 \times 28 \times (128 + 192 + 96 + 256) = 28 \times 28 \times 672$$



Naive Inception module


Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2: What is output size after filter concatenation?

$$28 \times 28 \times (128 + 192 + 96 + 256) = 28 \times 28 \times 672$$



Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this?
[Hint: Computational complexity]

Conv Ops:

[1x1 conv, 128] $28 \times 28 \times 128 \times 1 \times 1 \times 256$

[3x3 conv, 192] $28 \times 28 \times 192 \times 3 \times 3 \times 256$

[5x5 conv, 96] $28 \times 28 \times 96 \times 5 \times 5 \times 256$

Total: 854M ops


Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2: What is output size after filter concatenation?

$$28 \times 28 \times (128 + 192 + 96 + 256) = 28 \times 28 \times 672$$



Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this?
[Hint: Computational complexity]

Conv Ops:

[1x1 conv, 128] $28 \times 28 \times 128 \times 1 \times 1 \times 256$
[3x3 conv, 192] $28 \times 28 \times 192 \times 3 \times 3 \times 256$
[5x5 conv, 96] $28 \times 28 \times 96 \times 5 \times 5 \times 256$

Total: 854M ops

Very expensive compute


Pooling layer also preserves feature depth, which means total depth after concatenation can only grow at every layer!

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2: What is output size after filter concatenation?




Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this?
[Hint: Computational complexity]


Solution: “bottleneck” layers that use 1×1 convolutions to reduce feature channel size

Review: 1x1 convolutions




Review: 1x1 convolutions

Alternatively, interpret it as applying the same FC layer on each input pixel




Review: 1x1 convolutions

Alternatively, interpret it as applying the same FC layer on each input pixel




Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]




Naive Inception module



Inception module with dimension reduction


Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]



Naive Inception module


1x1 conv “bottleneck”
layers



Inception module with dimension reduction

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]



Inception module with dimension reduction

Using same parallel layers as naive example, and adding “1x1 conv, 64 filter” bottlenecks:

Conv Ops:

[1x1 conv, 64] 28x28x64x1x1x256
[1x1 conv, 64] 28x28x64x1x1x256
[1x1 conv, 128] 28x28x128x1x1x256
[3x3 conv, 192] 28x28x192x3x3x64
[5x5 conv, 96] 28x28x96x5x5x64
[1x1 conv, 64] 28x28x64x1x1x256



Total: 358M ops

Compared to 854M ops for naive version
Bottleneck can also reduce depth after pooling layer

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]


Stack Inception modules
with dimension reduction
on top of each other



Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Full GoogLeNet
architecture




Stacked Inception
Modules

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Full GoogLeNet
architecture




Classifier output

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Full GoogLeNet
architecture




Note: after the last convolutional layer, a global average pooling layer is used that spatially averages across each feature map, before final FC layer. No longer multiple expensive FC layers!

Classifier output

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Full GoogLeNet
architecture




Auxiliary classification outputs to inject additional gradient at lower layers
(AvgPool-1x1Conv-FC-FC-Softmax)

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Full GoogLeNet architecture



22 total layers with weights



(parallel layers count as 1 layer => 2 layers per Inception module. Don't count auxiliary output layers)

Case Study: GoogLeNet


[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Deeper networks, with computational efficiency

- 22 layers
- Efficient “Inception” module
- Avoids expensive FC layers
- 12x less params than AlexNet
- 27x less params than VGG-16
- ILSVRC’14 classification winner (6.7% top 5 error)



ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners





Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Very deep networks using residual connections

- 152-layer model for ImageNet
- ILSVRC'15 classification winner (3.57% top 5 error)
- Swept all classification and detection competitions in ILSVRC'15 and COCO'15!



Case Study: ResNet



[He et al., 2015]

What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a “plain” convolutional neural network?

Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]



What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a “plain” convolutional neural network?



Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a “plain” convolutional neural network?



56-layer model performs worse on both test and training error

-> The deeper model performs worse, but it's **not caused by overfitting!**

Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Fact: Deep models have more representation power (more parameters) than shallower models.

Hypothesis: the problem is an *optimization* problem,
deeper models are harder to optimize

Case Study: ResNet


[He et al., 2015]

Fact: Deep models have more representation power (more parameters) than shallower models.

Hypothesis: the problem is an *optimization* problem, deeper models are harder to optimize

What should the deeper model learn to be at least as good as the shallower model?


A solution by construction is copying the learned layers from the shallower model and setting additional layers to identity mapping.



Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]



Solution: Use network layers to fit a residual mapping instead of directly trying to fit a desired underlying mapping



Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Solution: Use network layers to fit a residual mapping instead of directly trying to fit a desired underlying mapping




Identity mapping:
 $H(x) = x$ if $F(x) = 0$

Case Study: ResNet


[He et al., 2015]

Solution: Use network layers to fit a residual mapping instead of directly trying to fit a desired underlying mapping



$$H(x) = F(x) + x$$

$$H(x) = F(x) + x$$



Identity mapping:
 $H(x) = x$ if $F(x) = 0$


Use layers to fit **residual**
 $F(x) = H(x) - x$
instead of
 $H(x)$ directly

Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Full ResNet architecture:

- Stack residual blocks
- Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers




Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Full ResNet architecture:

- Stack residual blocks
 - Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers
 - Periodically, double # of filters and downsample spatially using stride 2 (/2 in each dimension)
- Reduce the activation volume by half.




Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Full ResNet architecture:

- Stack residual blocks
- Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers
- Periodically, double # of filters and downsample spatially using stride 2 (/2 in each dimension)
- Additional conv layer at the beginning (stem)




Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Full ResNet architecture:


- Stack residual blocks
- Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers
- Periodically, double # of filters and downsample spatially using stride 2 (/2 in each dimension)
- Additional conv layer at the beginning (stem)
- No FC layers at the end (only FC 1000 to output classes)
- (In theory, you can train a ResNet with input image of variable sizes)



Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]


Total depths of 18, 34, 50, 101, or 152 layers for ImageNet



Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]


For deeper networks
(ResNet-50+), use “bottleneck”
layer to improve efficiency
(similar to GoogLeNet)



Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

For deeper networks
(ResNet-50+), use “bottleneck”
layer to improve efficiency
(similar to GoogLeNet)



Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Training ResNet in practice:

- Batch Normalization after every CONV layer
- Xavier initialization from He et al.
- SGD + Momentum (0.9)
- Learning rate: 0.1, divided by 10 when validation error plateaus
- Mini-batch size 256
- Weight decay of $1e-5$
- No dropout used

Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Experimental Results

- Able to train very deep networks without degrading (152 layers on ImageNet, 1202 on Cifar)
- Deeper networks now achieve lower training error as expected
- Swept 1st place in all ILSVRC and COCO 2015 competitions

MSRA @ ILSVRC & COCO 2015 Competitions

- **1st places in all five main tracks**

- ImageNet Classification: “Ultra-deep” (quote Yann) **152-layer** nets
- ImageNet Detection: **16%** better than 2nd
- ImageNet Localization: **27%** better than 2nd
- COCO Detection: **11%** better than 2nd
- COCO Segmentation: **12%** better than 2nd

Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Experimental Results

- Able to train very deep networks without degrading (152 layers on ImageNet, 1202 on Cifar)
- Deeper networks now achieve lower training error as expected
- Swept 1st place in all ILSVRC and COCO 2015 competitions



MSRA @ ILSVRC & COCO 2015 Competitions

• 1st places in all five main tracks

- ImageNet Classification: “Ultra-deep” (quote Yann) 152-layer nets
- ImageNet Detection: 16% better than 2nd
- ImageNet Localization: 27% better than 2nd
- COCO Detection: 11% better than 2nd
- COCO Segmentation: 12% better than 2nd

ILSVRC 2015 classification winner (3.6% top 5 error) -- better than “human performance”! (Russakovsky 2014)

Comparing complexity...





An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Comparing complexity...



Inception-v4: Resnet + Inception!



An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.



Comparing complexity...



An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.


Comparing complexity...




An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Comparing complexity...




AlexNet:
Smaller compute, still memory
heavy, lower accuracy




An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Comparing complexity...




ResNet:
Moderate efficiency depending on
model, highest accuracy



An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



Improving ResNets...


“Good Practices for Deep Feature Fusion”

[Shao et al. 2016]

- Multi-scale ensembling of Inception, Inception-Resnet, Resnet, Wide Resnet models
- ILSVRC'16 classification winner

	Inception-v3	Inception-v4	Inception-Resnet-v2	Resnet-200	Wrn-68-3	Fusion (Val.)	Fusion (Test)
Err. (%)	4.20	4.01	3.52	4.26	4.65	2.92 (-0.6)	2.99

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners





Improving ResNets...


Squeeze-and-Excitation Networks (SENet)

[Hu et al. 2017]


- Add a “feature recalibration” module that learns to adaptively reweight feature maps
- Global information (global avg. pooling layer) + 2 FC layers used to determine feature map weights
- ILSVRC'17 classification winner (using ResNeXt-152 as a base architecture)




ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



How have transformers affected architectures?



Transformer Encoder Block:

Inputs: Set of vectors $\mathbf{x}$

Outputs: Set of vectors $\mathbf{y}$


Self-attention is the only interaction between vectors.

Layer norm and MLP operate independently per vector.

Highly scalable, highly parallelizable, but high memory usage.

Vaswani et al, "Attention is all you need", NeurIPS 2017

Notice the residual connections!!




Residual connections inherited from ResNet's design.

Allows for better gradients to flow through all the transformers blocks.

Vaswani et al, "Attention is all you need", NeurIPS 2017

How to incorporate transformers to vision?




[Cat image](#) is free for commercial use

Idea #1: pass the image pixels into the transformer encoder.

So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a pixel.

What is the problem with this idea?

How to incorporate transformers to vision?



[Cat image](#) is free for commercial use


Idea #1: pass the image pixels into the transformer encoder.

So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a pixel.

Q. What is the problem with this idea?

A. Memory issue: Assume images are 224×224 pixels. This means that self attention will produce $224^4 = 10^9$ values!

How to incorporate transformers to vision?



[Cat image](#) is free for commercial use


Dosovitskiy et al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

Idea #2: Divide image into patches and pass those patches into the transformer

So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a 16x16x3 patch.

Q. What operation do you know already that operates over patches?

How to incorporate transformers to vision?



[Cat image](#) is free for commercial use

Dosovitskiy et al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

Idea #2: Divide image into patches and pass those patches into the transformer


So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a 16x16x3 patch.

Q. What operation do you know already that operates over patches?

Yes it's a convolution.

Q. What is the kernel size and stride and padding?

How to incorporate transformers to vision?



[Cat image](#) is free for commercial use

Dosovitskiy et al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021


Idea #2: Divide image into patches and pass those patches into the transformer

So, each $z_{0,0}$ is a 16x16x3 patch.

Q. Does this solve the memory problem?

A. $14^2 \times 14^2 = 38416$, much less than 10^9

Position encoding



[Cat image](#) is free for commercial use

Dosovitskiy et al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021


Since transformers are permutation invariant, we want to add position encoding to each patch.

- Patches are 768D.
- Position encoding is some learned 768D.

Pick any consistent ordering of patches (e.g. top left patch is always first).

Simply Add position encoding and patch representation.

How to turn the output to a class prediction?



Add special [CLS] token.

Similar to <start> and <end> tokens in NLP.

Output CLS representation makes the final prediction
Using a linear layer

[Cat image](#) is free for commercial use

Dosovitskiy et al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

Common ViT architectures

Model	Layers	Hidden size D	MLP size	Heads	Params
ViT-Base	12	768	3072	12	86M
ViT-Large	24	1024	4096	16	307M
ViT-Huge	32	1280	5120	16	632M


Common patch sizes: 32, 16, 14...

Smaller patches results in larger more powerful models.

Nomenclature: ViT-B/32 means that its a ViT model that uses Base values for layers, hidden size, mlp vize, and head. /32 means the input image patches are 32x32.

Dosovitskiyet al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

Comparing ResNets with ViTs




Models are initially trained on a large dataset called JFT-300M

And then the last linear layer is finetuned on ImageNet-1.5M

ViT performs worse when only 10M images are used from JFT. But ViT outperforms ResNets with larger training data (300M images from JFT).

Dosovitskiy et al, "An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale", ICLR 2021

Self-attention is expensive... can we design something simpler?



Every self-attention is expensive. We want each input to “interact” with other tokens but can we simplify the operation a bit?

Vaswani et al, “Attention is all you need”, NeurIPS 2017

Main takeaways

AlexNet showed that you can use CNNs to train Computer Vision models.

ZFNet, **VGG** shows that bigger networks work better

GoogLeNet is one of the first to focus on efficiency using 1x1 bottleneck convolutions and global avg pool instead of FC layers

ResNet showed us how to train extremely deep networks

- Limited only by GPU & memory!
- Showed diminishing returns as networks got bigger

After ResNet: CNNs were better than the human metric and focus shifted to Efficient networks:

- Lots of tiny networks aimed at mobile devices: **MobileNet**, **ShuffleNet**

Neural Architecture Search can now automate architecture design

ViT is the current favorite architecture but requires a lot of compute and data


State space models have presented an alternative to transformers but they haven't taken off.

Summary: Modern Architectures

- **ResNet-50** and **ViT** currently good defaults to use
- Next time: Structure prediction


Next time: Structured Prediction

MLP-Mixer: an all-MLP architecture



Tolstikhinet al, "MLP-Mixer: An all-MLP architecture for vision", NeurIPS2021

MLP-Mixer: an all-MLP architecture




Input: $N \times C$
 N patches with
 C channels each

MLP 1: $C \rightarrow C$,
apply to each of the
 N patches

MLP 2: $N \rightarrow N$,
apply to each of the
 C patches

MLP-Mixer: The MLPs are sort of like **convs**

Cool idea; but hasn't taken off yet.



Tolstikhinet al, "MLP-Mixer: An all-MLP architecture for vision", NeurIPS2021

MLP-Mixer: Many concurrent and followups

Touvron et al, “ResMLP: Feedforward Networks for Image Classification with Data-Efficient Training”, arXiv2021,

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.03404>

Tolstikhin et al, “MLP-Mixer: An all-MLP architecture for vision”, NeurIPS2021,

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.01601>

Liu et al, “Pay Attention to MLPs”, NeurIPS2021, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.08050>

Yu et al, “S2-MLP: Spatial-Shift MLP Architecture for Vision”, WACV 2022,

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.07477>

Chen et al, “CycleMLP: AMLP-like Architecture for Dense Prediction”, ICLR 2022,

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.10224>

But research has continued since ImageNet


(Will go over following slides if time,
Otherwise skip to the summary slides in the end.)

Improving ResNets...

Identity Mappings in Deep Residual Networks

[He et al. 2016]

- Improved ResNet block design from creators of ResNet
- Creates a more direct path for propagating information throughout network
- Gives better performance




Improving ResNets...


Wide Residual Networks

[Zagoruyko et al. 2016]

- Argues that residuals are the important factor, not depth
- Use wider residual blocks ($F \times k$ filters instead of F filters in each layer)
- 50-layer wide ResNet outperforms 152-layer original ResNet
- Increasing width instead of depth more computationally efficient (parallelizable)



Basic residual block




Wide residual block

Improving ResNets...

Aggregated Residual Transformations for Deep Neural Networks (ResNeXt)

[Xie et al. 2016]

- Also from creators of ResNet
- Increases width of residual block through multiple parallel pathways (“cardinality”)
- Parallel pathways similar in spirit to Inception module




Other ideas...

Densely Connected Convolutional Networks (DenseNet)

[Huang et al. 2017]


- Dense blocks where each layer is connected to every other layer in feedforward fashion
- Alleviates vanishing gradient, strengthens feature propagation, encourages feature reuse
- Showed that shallow 50-layer network can outperform deeper 152 layer ResNet



Efficient networks...

MobileNets: Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Applications *[Howard et al. 2017]*

- Depthwise separable convolutions replace standard convolutions by factorizing them into a depthwise convolution and a 1×1 convolution
- Much more efficient, with little loss in accuracy
- Follow-up MobileNetV2 work in 2018 (Sandler et al.)
- ShuffleNet: Zhang et al, CVPR 2018




Learning to search for network architectures...

Neural Architecture Search with Reinforcement Learning (NAS)

[Zoph et al. 2016]

- “Controller” network that learns to design a good network architecture (output a string corresponding to network design)
- Iterate:
 - 1) Sample an architecture from search space
 - 2) Train the architecture to get a “reward” R corresponding to accuracy
 - 3) Compute gradient of sample probability, and scale by R to perform controller parameter update (i.e. increase likelihood of good architecture being sampled, decrease likelihood of bad architecture)






Learning to search for network architectures...

Learning Transferable Architectures for Scalable Image Recognition

[Zoph et al. 2017]

- Applying neural architecture search (NAS) to a large dataset like ImageNet is expensive
- Design a search space of building blocks (“cells”) that can be flexibly stacked
- NASNet: Use NAS to find best cell structure on smaller CIFAR-10 dataset, then transfer architecture to ImageNet
- Many follow-up works in this space e.g. AmoebaNet (Real et al. 2019) and ENAS (Pham, Guan et al. 2018)



But sometimes smart heuristic is better than NAS ...

EfficientNet: Smart Compound Scaling

[Tan and Le. 2019]

- Increase network capacity by scaling width, depth, and resolution, while balancing accuracy and efficiency.
- Search for optimal set of compound scaling factors given a compute budget (target memory & flops).
- Scale up using smart heuristic rules



depth: $d = \alpha^\phi$

width: $w = \beta^\phi$


resolution: $r = \gamma^\phi$

s.t. $\alpha \cdot \beta^2 \cdot \gamma^2 \approx 2$

$\alpha \geq 1, \beta \geq 1, \gamma \geq 1$



Efficient networks...



<https://openai.com/blog/ai-and-efficiency/>

Summary: Modern Architectures

Case Studies

- AlexNet
- VGG
- GoogLeNet
- ResNet
- ViT
- MLP-Mixer

Also....

- SENet
- Wide ResNet
- ResNeXT
- DenseNet
- MobileNets
- NASNet