# Lecture 3: Loss Functions Optimization

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 1 January 11, 2024

# Administrative: Assignment 0

- Due **tonight** by 11:59pm
- Easy assignment
- Hardest part is learning how to use colab and how to submit on gradescope
- Worth 0% of your grade
- Used to evaluate how prepared you are to take this course

### Lecture 3 - 2 J

Administrative: Assignment 1

Due 1/18 11:59pm

- K-Nearest Neighbor
- Linear classifiers: SVM, Softmax

Lecture 3 - 3

## Administrative: EdStem

## Please make sure to check and read all pinned EdStem posts.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 4

## Administrative: Fridays

## This Friday 9:30-10:30am and again 12:30-1:30pm

## **Quiz Prep**

Presenter: Mahtab Bigverdi (TA)

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 5 January 11, 2024

# Administrative: Course Project

Project proposal due 2/06 11:59pm

"Is X a valid project for 493G1?"

- Anything related to deep learning
- Maximum of 3 students per team
- Make a EdStem private post or come to TA Office Hours

More info on the website

### Lecture 3 - 6 January 11, 2024

## Administrative: Fridays

Next week Friday

## **Quiz Prep**

Presenter: Mahtab Bigverdi (TA)

January 11, 2024

Lecture 3 - 7

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## Last time: Image Classification: A core task in Computer Vision



This image by Nikita is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

(assume given a set of labels) {dog, cat, truck, plane, ...}



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 8

# Recall from last time: Challenges of recognition

#### Viewpoint



Illumination



This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

Deformation



This image by Umberto Salvagnin is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

#### Occlusion



This image by jonsson is licensed under <u>CC-BY 2.0</u>



This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

#### **Intraclass Variation**



This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

## Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## Lecture 3 - 9

# **Recall from last time**: data-driven approach, kNN



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 10

# Recall from last time: Linear Classifier



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 11 January 11, 2024

# Interpreting a Linear Classifier: Visual Viewpoint







#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

### Lecture 3 - 12 January 11, 2024

## Example with an image with 4 pixels, and 3 classes (cat/dog/ship)



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 13 J

# Interpreting a Linear Classifier: Geometric Viewpoint



Plot created using Wolfram Cloud

f(x,W) = Wx + b



Array of **32x32x3** numbers (3072 numbers total)

Cat image by Nikita is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 14 January 11, 2024

# Linear Classifier

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 15 January 11, 2024

## **Parametric Approach**



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 16 January 11, 2024

## Parametric Approach: Linear Classifier



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 17 January 11, 2024



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 18 January 11, 2024



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 19 January 11, 2024



This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

Lecture 3 - 20

January 11, 2024

### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## Lecture 3 - 21 January 11, 2024

# **Recall CIFAR10**



50,000 training images each image is 32x32x3

January 11, 2024

10,000 test images.

Lecture 3 - 22

### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Flatten tensors into a vector



Lecture 3 - 23

January 11, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Flatten tensors into a vector



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 24 January 11, 2024

Flatten tensors into a vector



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 25 January 11, 2024

Flatten tensors into a vector



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 26 January 11, 2024

Flatten tensors into a vector



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 27 January 11, 2024

## Algebraic viewpoint: Bias trick to simply computation



Flatten tensors into a vector

Stretch pixels into column 56 0.2 -0.5 0.1 2.0 1.1 -96.8 231 56 231 1.5 1.3 2.1 0.0 + 3.2 437.9 = 24 24 0 0.25 0.2 -0.3 -1.2 61.95 Input image 2 (2, 2)W (3,4) (3,) b (4,) (3,)



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Algebraic viewpoint:

Lecture 3 - 29





Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 30







#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

### Lecture 3 - 31 January 11, 2024





Lecture 3 - 32

January 11, 2024



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt





January 11, 2024

Lecture 3 - 33



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# **Geometric Viewpoint:** linear decision boundaries



f(x,W) = Wx + b



Array of **32x32x3** numbers (3072 numbers total)

Plot created using Wolfram Cloud

Cat image by Nikita is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

## Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 34

# **Geometric Viewpoint:** linear decision boundaries



Plot created using Wolfram Cloud

f(x,W) = Wx + b



Array of **32x32x3** numbers (3072 numbers total)

Cat image by Nikita is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

## Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 35

# **Geometric Viewpoint:** linear decision boundaries



Plot created using Wolfram Cloud

f(x,W) = Wx + b



Array of **32x32x3** numbers (3072 numbers total)

Lecture 3 - 36

Cat image by Nikita is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

January 11, 2024

## Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt
## Hard cases for a linear classifier

**Class 1**: First and third quadrants

**Class 2**: Second and fourth quadrants Class 1: 1 <= L2 norm <= 2

Class 2: Everything else Class 1: Three modes

#### Class 2: Everything else

January 11, 2024



Lecture 3 - 37

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## Recall the Minsky report 1969 from last lecture

Unable to learn the XNOR function





#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 38 January 11, 2024

## Three viewpoints for interpreting linear classifiers



f(x,W) = Wx



Visual Viewpoint

One template per class



**Geometric Viewpoint** 

#### Hyperplanes cutting up space



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 39 January 11, 2024

## Next: How to train the weights in a Linear Classifier

## TODO:

- 1. Define a **loss function** that quantifies our unhappiness with the scores across the training data.
- 2. Come up with a way of efficiently finding the parameters that minimize the loss function. **(optimization)**

Lecture 3 - 40

January 11, 2024

# Example output for CIFAR-10:



airplane	-3.45	-0.51	3.42
automobile	-8.87	6.04	4.64
bird	0.09	5.31	2.65
cat	2.9	-4.22	5.1
deer	4.48	-4.19	2.64
dog	8.02	3.58	5.55
frog	3.78	4.49	-4.34
horse	1.06	-4.37	-1.5
ship	-0.36	-2.09	-4.79
truck	-0.72	-2.93	6.14

Cat image by Nikita is licensed under CC-BY 2.0; Car image is CC0 1.0 public domain; Frog image is in the public domain

- A random W produces the following 10 scores for the 3 images to the left.
- 10 scores because there are 10 classes.
- First column bad because dog is highest.

January 11, 2024

- Second column good.
- Third column bad because frog is highest

Lecture 3 - 41

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt



cat	3.2	1.3	2.2
car	5.1	4.9	2.5
frog	-1.7	2.0	-3.1

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 42 January 11, 2024

A **loss function** tells how good our current classifier is



cat	3.2	1.3	2.2
car	5.1	4.9	2.5
frog	-1.7	2.0	-3.1

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 43 January 11, 2024



A **loss function** tells how good our current classifier is

Given a dataset of examples

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$$

Where  $oldsymbol{x_i}_i$  is image and  $oldsymbol{y_i}_i$  is (integer) label

January 11, 2024

Lecture 3 - 44

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt



A **loss function** tells how good our current classifier is

Given a dataset of examples

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$$

Where  $oldsymbol{x_i}_i$  is image and  $oldsymbol{y_i}_i$  is (integer) label

Loss over the dataset is a average of loss over examples:

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i)$$

January 11, 2024

Lecture 3 - 45

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

frog

where 
$$x_i$$
 is the image and  
where  $y_i$  is the (integer) is  
and using the shorthand for  
scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, V)$   
the SVM loss has the form  
 $5.1$  4.9 2.5  $L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_y \\ s_j - s_{y_i} + 1 & \text{othe} \end{cases}$   
 $-1.7$  2.0 -3.1  $= \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ 

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

frog

Lecture 3 - 46 January 11, 2024

#### Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$  where  $x_i$  is the image and eger) label,

nand for the  $f(x_i, W)$ 

if  $s_{y_i} \ge s_j + 1$ 

otherwise

e form:



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

frog

## Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_{i} = \sum_{\substack{j \neq y_{i} \\ s_{j} = s_{y_{i}} \neq y_{i}}} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_{y_{i}} \geq s_{j} + 1 \\ s_{j} = s_{y_{i}} + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{j \neq y_{i} \\ s_{j} \neq y_{i} \neq y_{i} \neq y_{i}}} \max(0, s_{j} - s_{y_{i}} + 1)$$

Lecture 3 - 47 January 11, 2024

where 
$$x_i$$
 is the image and  
where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,  
and using the shorthand for the  
scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$   
  
**3.2** 1.3 2.2 the SVM loss has the form:  
5.1 4.9 2.5  $L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 & \text{if } s_{y_i} \ge s_j + 1 \\ s_j - s_{y_i} + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$   
-1.7 2.0 -3.1  $= \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ 

#### Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ e and jer) label,

nd for the  $(x_i, W)$ 

form:

$$L_{i} = \sum_{j \neq y_{i}} \left\{ s_{j} - s_{y_{i}} + 1 \text{ otherwise} \right.$$
$$= \sum_{j \neq y_{i}} \max(0, s_{j} - s_{y_{i}} + 1)$$

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

frog

#### Lecture 3 - 48 January 11, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

Lecture 3 - 49 January 11, 2024

Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ 

Suppose: 3 training examples, 3 classes. Interpreting Multiclass SVM loss: With some W the scores f(x, W) = Wx are: Loss  $s_{y_i} - s_j$ (000) difference in scores between correct and 2.2 3.2 1.3 incorrect class cat  $L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_{y_i} \ge s_j + 1\\ s_j - s_{y_i} + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 2.5 4.9 5.1 car  $=\sum_{i \neq j} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ -3.1 2.0 -1.7 frog  $j \neq y_i$ 

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 50 January 11, 2024

Suppose: 3 training examples, 3 classes. Interpreting Multiclass SVM loss: With some W the scores f(x, W) = Wx are: Loss  $s_{y_i} - s_j$ (000) difference in scores between correct and 2.2 3.2 1.3 incorrect class cat  $L_{i} = \sum_{j \neq y_{i}} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_{y_{i}} \geq s_{j} + 1\\ s_{j} - s_{y_{i}} + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 2.5 4.9 5.1 car  $=\sum \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ -3.1 2.0 -1.7 frog  $j \neq y_i$ 

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 51 January 11, 2024

#### Interpreting Multiclass SVM loss:



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

#### Lecture 3 - 52 January 11, 2024



#### Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

#### Lecture 3 - 53 January 11, 2024



### Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$\begin{split} L_i &= \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1) \\ &= \max(0, 5.1 - 3.2 + 1) \\ &+ \max(0, -1.7 - 3.2 + 1) \end{split}$$

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 54 January 11, 2024



#### Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

$$= \max(0, 5.1 - 3.2 + 1) \\ + \max(0, -1.7 - 3.2 + 1)$$

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 55 January 11, 2024



#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$\begin{split} L_i &= \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1) \\ &= \max(0, 5.1 - 3.2 + 1) \\ &+ \max(0, -1.7 - 3.2 + 1) \end{split}$$

 $= \max(0, 2.9) + \max(0, -3.9)$ 

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 56 January 11, 2024



#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$\begin{split} L_i &= \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1) \\ &= \max(0, 5.1 - 3.2 + 1) \\ &+ \max(0, -1.7 - 3.2 + 1) \\ &= \max(0, 2.9) + \max(0, -3.9) \\ &= 2.9 + 0 \\ &= 2.9 \end{split}$$

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 57 January 11, 2024



#### Multiclass SVM loss:

January 11, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 58

#### Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$
  
= max(0, 2.2 - (-3.1) + 1)  
+max(0, 2.5 - (-3.1) + 1)  
= max(0, 6.3) + max(0, 6.6)  
= 6.3 + 6.6  
= 12.9

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

Lecture 3 - 59 January 11, 2024



#### Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Loss over full dataset is average:

$$L = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i$$

January 11, 2024

L = (2.9 + 0 + 12.9)/3= 5.27

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

frog

Lecture 3 - 60



Multiclass SVM loss: $L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ 

Q1: What happens to loss if car scores decrease by 0.5 for this training example?

cat	1.3
car	4.9
frog	2.0
Losses:	0

Lecture 3 - 61 January 11, 2024



Multiclass SVM loss: $L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ 

Q1: What happens to loss if car scores decrease by 0.5 for this training example?

cat	1.3
car	4.9
frog	2.0
Losses:	0

Q2: what is the min/max possible SVM loss  $L_i$ ?

January 11, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 62



Multiclass SVM loss: $L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ 

Q1: What happens to loss if car scores decrease by 0.5 for this training example?

cat	1.3
car	4.9
frog	2.0
Losses:	0
Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt	

Q2: what is the min/max possible SVM loss  $L_i$ ?

Q3: At initialization W is small so all s  $\approx$  0. What is the loss L<sub>i</sub>, assuming N examples and C classes?

Lecture 3 - 63

January 11, 2024



### Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Q4: What if the sum was over all classes? (including j = y i )

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

Lecture 3 - 64 January 11, 2024



#### Multiclass SVM loss:

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Q5: What if we used mean instead of sum?

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

Lecture 3 - 65 January 11, 2024



#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Q6: What if we used

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)^2$$

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 66

January 11, 2024

#### Multiclass SVM loss:



12.9

## Losses:

cat

car

frog

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

2.9

Lecture 3 - 67 January 11, 2024

## Multiclass SVM Loss: Example code

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

```
def L_i_vectorized(x, y, W):
    scores = W.dot(x)
    margins = np.maximum(0, scores - scores[y] + 1)
    margins[y] = 0
    loss_i = np.sum(margins)
    return loss_i
```

Lecture 3 - 68

January 11, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

 $egin{aligned} f(x,W) &= Wx \ L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, f(x_i;W)_j - f(x_i;W)_{y_i} + 1) \end{aligned}$ 

# Q7. Suppose that we found a W such that L = 0. Is this W unique?

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 69 January 11, 2024

 $egin{aligned} f(x,W) &= Wx \ L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, f(x_i;W)_j - f(x_i;W)_{y_i} + 1) \end{aligned}$ 

E.g. Suppose that we found a W such that L = 0. Is this W unique?

Lecture 3 - 70

January 11, 2024

## No! 2W is also has L = 0!

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt



$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

## **Before:** $= \max(0, 1.3 - 4.9 + 1)$ $+\max(0, 2.0 - 4.9 + 1)$ $= \max(0, -2.6) + \max(0, -1.9)$ = 0 + 0= 0 With W twice as large: $= \max(0, 2.6 - 9.8 + 1)$ $+\max(0, 4.0 - 9.8 + 1)$ $= \max(0, -6.2) + \max(0, -4.8)$ = 0 + 0= 0

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 71 January 11, 2024

 $egin{aligned} f(x,W) &= Wx \ L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, f(x_i;W)_j - f(x_i;W)_{y_i} + 1) \end{aligned}$ 

E.g. Suppose that we found a W such that L = 0. Is this W unique?

# No! 2W is also has L = 0! How do we choose between W and 2W?

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 72 January 11, 2024
$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i)$ 

**Data loss**: Model predictions should match training data

Lecture 3 - 73

January 11, 2024

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

**Data loss**: Model predictions should match training data

**Regularization**: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Lecture 3 - 74

January 11, 2024

## Regularization intuition: toy example training data



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 75 January 11, 2024

## **Regularization intuition: Prefer Simpler Models**



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 76 January 11, 2024

## **Regularization: Prefer Simpler Models**



Regularization pushes against fitting the data *too* well so we don't fit noise in the data

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 77 January 11, 2024

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

**Data loss**: Model predictions should match training data

**Regularization**: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Lecture 3 - 78

January 11, 2024

**Occam's Razar**: Among multiple competing hypotheses, the simplest is the best, William of Ockham 1285-1347

 $\lambda$  = regularization strength (hyperparameter)

January 11, 2024

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

**Data loss**: Model predictions should match training data

**Regularization**: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

- 79

Lecture 3 -

 $\lambda$  = regularization strength (hyperparameter)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

**Data loss**: Model predictions should match training data

**Regularization**: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Lecture 3 - 80

January 11, 2024

#### Simple examples

<u>L2 regularization</u>:  $R(W) = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} W_{k,l}^2$ L1 regularization:  $R(W) = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} |W_{k,l}|$ Elastic net (L1 + L2):  $R(W) = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \beta W_{k,l}^2 + |W_{k,l}|$ 

 $\lambda$  = regularization strength (hyperparameter)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

**Data loss**: Model predictions should match training data

**Regularization**: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

# Simple examplesMore complex:L2 regularization: $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$ DropoutL1 regularization: $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l |W_{k,l}|$ Batch normalizationElastic net (L1 + L2): $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l \beta W_{k,l}^2 + |W_{k,l}|$ Stochastic depth, fractional pooling, etc

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 81 January 11, 2024

 $\lambda$  = regularization strength (hyperparameter)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

**Data loss**: Model predictions should match training data

**Regularization**: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Lecture 3 - 82

January 11, 2024

Why regularize?

- Express preferences over weights
- Make the model *simple* so it works on test data
- Improve optimization by adding curvature

## **Regularization: Expressing Preferences**

$$x = [1, 1, 1, 1] \ w_1 = [1, 0, 0, 0]$$

L2 Regularization
$$R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$$

Which of w1 or w2 will the L2 regularizer prefer?

January 11, 2024

Lecture 3 - 83

$$w_2 = \left[0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25 
ight]$$

$$w_1^T x = w_2^T x = 1$$

## **Regularization: Expressing Preferences**

$$x = [1, 1, 1, 1]$$
  
 $w_1 = [1, 0, 0, 0]$   
 $w_2 = [0.25, 0.25, 0.2]$ 

$$w_2 = \left[0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25 
ight]$$

L2 Regularization $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$ 

Which of w1 or w2 will the L2 regularizer prefer? L2 regularization likes to "spread out" the weights

January 11, 2024

Lecture 3 - 84

$$w_1^T x = w_2^T x = 1$$

## **Regularization: Expressing Preferences**

$$egin{aligned} x &= [1,1,1,1] \ w_1 &= [1,0,0,0] \ w_2 &= [0.25,0.25,0.25,0.25] \end{aligned}$$

L2 Regularization $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$ 

Which of w1 or w2 will the L2 regularizer prefer? L2 regularization likes to "spread out" the weights

$$w_1^T x = w_2^T x = 1$$

Which one would L1 regularization prefer?

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 85 January 11, 2024

## Softmax classifier

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 86 January 11, 2024

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities** 



cat**3.2**car5.1frog-1.7

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 87 January 11, 2024



Want to interpret raw classifier scores as probabilities

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y=k|X=x_i)=rac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}$$
 Softmax

cat	3.2
car	5.1
frog	-1.7

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 88 January 11, 2024

 $s = f(x_i; W)$ 

**Probabilities** 



-1.7

probabilities

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as probabilities

$$P(Y=k|X=x_i)=rac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}$$
 Softmax Function

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

frog

Lecture 3 - 89



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 90



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 91





#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 93 Jai





Want to interpret raw classifier scores as probabilities

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 95 January 11, 2024





Want to interpret raw classifier scores as probabilities

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y=k|X=x_i)=rac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}$$
 Softmax Function

Maximize probability of correct class

$$L_i = -\log P(Y=y_i|X=x_i)$$

Putting it all together:

$$L_i = -\log(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})$$

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 97 January 11, 2024



3.2

 $L_i$ 

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as probabilities

$$s=f(x_i;W)$$

$$P(Y=k|X=x_i)=rac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}$$
 Softmax Function

Maximize probability of correct class

Putting it all together:

January 11, 2024

$$X_i = -\log P(Y=y_i|X=x_i) \hspace{1cm} L_i = -\log (rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})$$

Q1: What is the min/max possible softmax loss  $L_i$ ?

Lecture 3 - 98

car 5.1 frog -1.7

cat



3.2

5.1

-1.7

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as probabilities

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y=k|X=x_i)=rac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}$$
 Softmax Function

Maximize probability of correct class

Putting it all together:

$$L_i = -\log P(Y=y_i|X=x_i) \hspace{0.5cm} L_i = -\log igl(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}igr)$$

Q1: What is the min/max possible softmax loss L<sub>i</sub>?

Q2: At initialization all  $s_j$  will be approximately equal; what is the softmax loss  $L_i$ , assuming C classes?

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

cat

car

frog

Lecture 3 - 99 January 11, 2024



-1.7

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as probabilities

$$s=f(x_i;W)$$

$$P(Y=k|X=x_i)=rac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}$$
 Softmax

Maximize probability of correct class

Putting it all together:

21

$$L_i = -\log P(Y = y_i | X = x_i) \hspace{0.5cm} L_i = -\log igl( rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum e^{s_j}} igr)$$

cat**3.2**car**5.1** 

frog

Q2: At initialization all s will be approximately equal; what is the loss? A:  $-\log(1/C) = \log(C)$ , If C = 10, then L<sub>i</sub> =  $\log(10) \approx 2.3$ 

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 100 January 11, 2024



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 101 January 11, 2024

Softmax vs. SVM

$$L_i = -\log(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})$$

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 102 January 11, 2024

Softmax vs. SVM

$$L_i = -\log(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}) \qquad \qquad L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

assume scores:  
[10, -2, 3]  
[10, 9, 9]  
[10, -100, -100]  
and 
$$y_i = 0$$

#### Q: What is the SVM loss?

Lecture 3 - 103

January 11, 2024

## Softmax vs. SVM

$$L_i = -\log(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})$$

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

assume scores: [10, -2, 3] [10, 9, 9] [10, -100, -100]and  $y_i = 0$ 

## Q: What is the SVM loss?

Lecture 3 - 104

Q: Is the **Softmax** loss zero for any of them?

January 11, 2024

## Softmax vs. SVM

$$L_i = -\log(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})$$

$$L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

assume scores: [20, -2, 3] [20, 9, 9] [20, -100, -100]and  $y_i = 0$  Q: What is the **SVM loss?** 

Q: Is the **Softmax** loss zero for any of them?

I doubled the correct class score from 10 -> 20?

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 105 January 11, 2024

## Recap

- We have some dataset of (x,y)
- We have a score function:  $s = f(x; W) \stackrel{\text{e.g.}}{=} Wx$
- We have a loss function:

$$L_i = -\log(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})$$
 SVM $L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$  $L = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i + R(W)$  Full loss

$$W \xrightarrow{\text{regularization loss}} \\ W \xrightarrow{\text{score function}} \\ f(x_i, W) \xrightarrow{\text{data loss}} \\ L \xrightarrow{y_i} \\ y_i \\ L \xrightarrow{y_i} \\ L \xrightarrow{y_i}$$

January 11, 2024

Lecture 3 - 106

## Recap

#### How do we find the best W?

eа

- We have some dataset of (x,y)
- We have a score function: s
- We have a loss function:

$$L_i = -\log(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})$$
 SVM $L_i = \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$  $L = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i + R(W)$  Full loss

$$s = f(x; W) = Wx$$

Lecture 3 - 107



January 11, 2024

## Optimization

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 108 January 11, 2024


This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 109 January 11, 2024



Walking man image is CC0 1.0 public domain

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 110 January 11, 2024

## Strategy #1: A first very bad idea solution: Random search

```
# assume X train is the data where each column is an example (e.g. 3073 x 50,000)
# assume Y train are the labels (e.g. 1D array of 50,000)
# assume the function L evaluates the loss function
bestloss = float("inf") # Python assigns the highest possible float value
for num in xrange(1000):
 W = np.random.randn(10, 3073) * 0.0001 # generate random parameters
 loss = L(X train, Y train, W) # get the loss over the entire training set
 if loss < bestloss: # keep track of the best solution
   bestloss = loss
   bestW = W
 print 'in attempt %d the loss was %f, best %f' % (num, loss, bestloss)
# prints:
# in attempt 0 the loss was 9.401632, best 9.401632
# in attempt 1 the loss was 8.959668, best 8.959668
# in attempt 2 the loss was 9.044034, best 8.959668
# in attempt 3 the loss was 9.278948, best 8.959668
# in attempt 4 the loss was 8.857370, best 8.857370
# in attempt 5 the loss was 8.943151, best 8.857370
# in attempt 6 the loss was 8.605604, best 8.605604
# ... (trunctated: continues for 1000 lines)
```

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 111 January 11, 2024

## Lets see how well this works on the test set...

```
# Assume X_test is [3073 x 10000], Y_test [10000 x 1]
scores = Wbest.dot(Xte_cols) # 10 x 10000, the class scores for all test examples
# find the index with max score in each column (the predicted class)
Yte_predict = np.argmax(scores, axis = 0)
# and calculate accuracy (fraction of predictions that are correct)
np.mean(Yte_predict == Yte)
# returns 0.1555
```

## 15.5% accuracy! not bad! (SOTA is ~99.7%)

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 112 January 11, 2024

## Strategy #2: Follow the slope



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 113 January 11, 2024

## Strategy #2: Follow the slope

In 1-dimension, the derivative of a function:

$$rac{df(x)}{dx} = \lim_{h o 0} rac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

In multiple dimensions, the **gradient** is the vector of (partial derivatives) along each dimension

The slope in any direction is the **dot product** of the direction with the gradient The direction of steepest descent is the **negative gradient** 

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 114 January 11, 2024

current W:	
[0.34,	
-1.11,	
0.78,	
0.12,	
0.55,	
2.81,	
-3.1,	
-1.5,	
0.33,]	
loss 1.25347	

gradient dW:



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 115 January 11, 2024

current W:	W + h (first dim):	gradient dW:
[0.34,	[0.34 + <b>0.0001</b> ,	[?,
-1.11,	-1.11,	?,
0.78,	0.78,	?,
0.12,	0.12,	?,
0.55,	0.55,	?,
2.81,	2.81,	?,
-3.1,	-3.1,	?,
-1.5,	-1.5,	?
0.33,]	0.33,]	?]
loss 1.25347	loss 1.25322	

#### Lecture 3 - 116 January 11, 2024

current W:	W + h (first dim):	gradient dW:
[0.34, -1.11, 0.78, 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,]	[0.34 + 0.0001, -1.11, 0.78, 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,]	$[-2.5, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?,]$ $(1.25322 - 1.25347)/0.0001 = -2.5$ $\frac{df(x)}{dx} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$ ?, ?,]

#### Lecture 3 - 117 January 11, 2024

current W:	W + h (second dim):
[0.34,	[0.34,
-1.11,	-1.11 + <b>0.0001</b> ,
0.78,	0.78,
0.12,	0.12,
0.55,	0.55,
2.81,	2.81,
-3.1,	-3.1,
-1.5,	-1.5,
0.33,]	0.33,]
loss 1.25347	loss 1.25353

gradient dW:



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 118 January 11, 2024



#### Lecture 3 - 119 January 11, 2024

current W:	W + h (third dim):
[0.34,	[0.34,
-1.11,	-1.11,
0.78,	0.78 + <b>0.0001</b> ,
0.12,	0.12,
0.55,	0.55,
2.81,	2.81,
-3.1,	-3.1,
-1.5,	-1.5,
0.33,]	0.33,]
loss 1.25347	loss 1.25347

# gradient dW:



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 120 January 11, 2024

current W:	W + h (third dim):
[0.34,	[0.34,
-1.11,	-1.11,
0.78,	0.78 + <b>0.0001</b> ,
0.12,	0.12,
0.55,	0.55,
2.81,	2.81,
-3.1,	-3.1,
-1.5,	-1.5,
0.33,]	0.33,]
loss 1.25347	loss 1.25347



#### Lecture 3 - 121 January 11, 2024

current W:	W + h (third dim):	gradient dW:
[0.34, -1.11, 0.78, 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,] <b>loss 1.25347</b>	[0.34, -1.11, 0.78 + <b>0.0001</b> , 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,] <b>Ioss 1.25347</b>	[-2.5, 0.6, 0, ?, Numeric Gradient - Slow! Need to loop over all dimensions - Approximate

#### Lecture 3 - 122 January 11, 2024

# This is silly. The loss is just a function of W:

$$egin{aligned} L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i + \sum_k W_k^2 \ L_i &= \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1) \ s &= f(x; W) = Wx \end{aligned}$$

want  $\nabla_W L$ 

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 123 January 11, 2024

# This is silly. The loss is just a function of W:

$$egin{aligned} L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i + \sum_k W_k^2 \ L_i &= \sum_{j 
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1) \ s &= f(x; W) = Wx \end{aligned}$$

want  $\nabla_W L$ 

# Use calculus to compute an analytic gradient



This image is in the public domain

Lecture 3 - 124

This image is in the public domain

January 11, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## current W:

[0.34, -1.11, 0.78, 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,...] loss 1.25347

[-2.5, dW = ... 0.6, (some function 0, data and W) 0.2, 0.7, -0.5, 1.1, 1.3, -2.1,...]

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 3 - 125 January 11, 2024

gradient dW:

# In summary:

- Numerical gradient: approximate, slow, easy to write
- Analytic gradient: exact, fast, error-prone

=>

In practice: Always use analytic gradient, but check implementation with numerical gradient. This is called a gradient check.

Lecture 3 - 126

January 11, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# **Gradient Descent**

```
# Vanilla Gradient Descent
while True:
    weights_grad = evaluate_gradient(loss_fun, data, weights)
    weights += - step_size * weights_grad # perform parameter update
```

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 127 January 11, 2024



Lecture 3 - 128 January 11, 2024



#### Lecture 3 - 129 January 11, 2024

# Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(x_i, y_i, W) + \lambda R(W)$$
$$\nabla_W L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_W L_i(x_i, y_i, W) + \lambda \nabla_W R(W)$$

Full sum expensive when N is large!

Approximate sum using a **minibatch** of examples 32 / 64 / 128 common

January 11, 2024

```
# Vanilla Minibatch Gradient Descent
while True:
    data_batch = sample_training_data(data, 256) # sample 256 examples
    weights_grad = evaluate_gradient(loss_fun, data_batch, weights)
    weights += - step_size * weights_grad # perform parameter update
```

Lecture 3 - 130

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# Next time:

### Introduction to neural networks

**Backpropagation** 

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 3 - 131 January 11, 2024