# Lecture 15: LLMs

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 1

# Administrative

- Assignment 4 due today

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 2

## Instance vs. Sequence Contrastive Learning



#### Instance-level contrastive learning:

contrastive learning based on positive & negative instances. Examples: SimCLR, MoCo



#### Source: van den Oord et al., 2018

#### Sequence-level contrastive learning:

contrastive learning based on sequential / temporal orders. Example: Contrastive Predictive Coding (CPC)

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 3

Lecture 15 - 4



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

**Contrastive**: contrast between "right" and "wrong" sequences using contrastive learning.

**Predictive**: the model has to predict future patterns given the current context.

**Coding**: the model learns useful feature vectors, or "code", for downstream tasks, similar to other self-supervised methods.

Source: van den Oord et al., 2018,



1. Encode all samples in a sequence into vectors  $z_t = g_{enc}(x_t)$ 

Source: van den Oord et al., 2018,

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 5



1. Encode all samples in a sequence into vectors  $z_t = g_{enc}(x_t)$ 

2. Summarize context (e.g., half of a sequence) into a context code  $c_t$  using an auto-regressive model  $(g_{ar})$ . The original paper uses GRU-RNN here.

Source: van den Oord et al., 2018,

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 6

Lecture 15 - 7



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

1. Encode all samples in a sequence into vectors  $z_t = g_{enc}(x_t)$ 

2. Summarize context (e.g., half of a sequence) into a context code  $c_t$  using an auto-regressive model  $(g_{ar})$ 

3. Compute InfoNCE loss between the context  $c_t$  and future code  $z_{t+k}$  using the following time-dependent score function:

$$s_k(z_{t+k},c_t)=z_{t+k}^TW_kc_t$$

, where  $W_k$  is a trainable matrix.

Source: van den Oord et al., 2018,



1. Encode all samples in a sequence into vectors  $z_t = g_{enc}(x_t)$ 

2. Summarize context (e.g., half of a sequence) into a context code  $c_t$  using an auto-regressive model  $(g_{ar})$ 

3. Predict  $\mathbf{z}_{t+k}$  using **c** and trainable weights. Loss is similarity to true  $\mathbf{z}_{t+k}$  value over similarity to constrasting option

Source: van den Oord et al., 2018,

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 8

## CPC example: modeling audio sequences



Source: van den Oord et al., 2018,

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 9

# CPC example: modeling audio sequences



Figure 2: t-SNE visualization of audio (speech) representations for a subset of 10 speakers (out of 251). Every color represents a different speaker.

Method	ACC	
Phone classification		
Random initialization	27.6	
MFCC features	39.7	
CPC	64.6	
Supervised	74.6	
Speaker classification		
Random initialization	1.87	
MFCC features	17.6	
CPC	97.4	
Supervised	98.5	

Linear classification on trained representations (LibriSpeech dataset)

#### Source: van den Oord et al., 2018,

### February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 10

# CPC example: modeling visual context

**Idea**: split image into patches, model rows of patches from top to bottom as a sequence. I.e., use top rows as context to predict bottom rows.



Source: van den Oord et al., 2018,

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 11

# CPC example: modeling visual context

Method	Top-1 ACC
Using AlexNet conv5	
Video [28]	29.8
Relative Position [11]	30.4
BiGan [35]	34.8
Colorization [10]	35.2
Jigsaw [29] *	38.1
Using ResNet-V2	
Motion Segmentation [36]	27.6
Exemplar [36]	31.5
Relative Position [36]	36.2
Colorization [36]	39.6
CPC	48.7

Table 3: ImageNet top-1 unsupervised classification results. \*Jigsaw is not directly comparable to the other AlexNet results because of architectural differences.

- Compares favorably with other pretext task-based self-supervised learning method.
- Doesn't do as well compared to newer instance-based contrastive learning methods on image feature learning.



Source: van den Oord et al., 2018,

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 12

A general formulation for contrastive learning:

$$\operatorname{score}(f(x),f(x^+))>>\operatorname{score}(f(x),f(x^-))$$

InfoNCE loss: N-way classification among positive and negative samples  $L = -\mathbb{E}_X \left[ \log \frac{\exp(s(f(x), f(x^+))}{\exp(s(f(x), f(x^+)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \exp(s(f(x), f(x_j^-)))} \right]$ 

Commonly known as the InfoNCE loss (van den Oord et al., 2018) A *lower bound* on the mutual information between f(x) and  $f(x^+)$ 

$$MI[f(x),f(x^+)] - \log(N) \geq -L$$

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 13

**SimCLR**: a simple framework for contrastive representation learning

- Key ideas: non-linear projection head to allow flexible representation learning
- Simple to implement, effective in learning visual representation
- Requires large training batch size to be effective; large memory footprint



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 14

**MoCo** (v1, v2): contrastive learning using momentum sample encoder

- Decouples negative sample size from minibatch size; allows large batch training without TPU
- MoCo-v2 combines the key ideas from SimCLR, i.e., nonlinear projection head, strong data augmentation, with momentum contrastive learning



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 15

CPC: sequence-level contrastive learning

- Contrast "right" sequence with "wrong" sequence.
- InfoNCE loss with a time-dependent score function.
- Can be applied to a variety of learning problems, but not as effective in learning image representations compared to instance-level methods.



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 16

# Other examples: will be covered in next lecture

Contrastive learning between image and natural language sentences



2. Create dataset classifier from label text

February 22, 2024

CLIP (Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training) Radford et al., 2021

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

1. Contrastive pre-training

Lecture 15 - 17

## Other examples

### Contrastive learning on pixel-wise feature descriptors



Dense Object Net, Florence et al., 2018

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 18

### Other examples



Dense Object Net, Florence et al., 2018

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 19

### Other examples



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 20

# LLMs

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 21

# **Recap: Self-Supervised**

Skills needed to classify dogs

Identify different textures Identify different colors Identify which pixels are parts of an object Understand parts of objects which make up the whole Understand the context of the object/animal in the image Understand lighting conditions Understand objects close up/far away Have common-sense reasoning skills Learn which features are associated with which dog

February 22, 2024

Lecture 15 - 22

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# Recap: Self-Supervised

General visual modelling skills (Can be learned with Self Supervised)

Identify different textures Identify different colors Identify which pixels are parts of an object Understand parts of objects which make up the whole Understand the context of the object/animal in the image Understand lighting conditions Understand objects close up/far away Have common-sense reasoning skills **Specific (Supervised)** Learn which features are associated with which dog

February 22, 2024

Lecture 15 - 23

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# Self-Supervised for Language

Skills needed to classify book genres Knowledge of words/letters Knowledge of grammar Meanings of words Understanding context of words Keeping track of entities over time Understanding expressions/idioms Understanding tone Learning which features are associated with each genre

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 24

# Self-Supervised for Language

General language modelling skills (Can be learned with Self Supervised)

Knowledge of words/letters Knowledge of grammar Meanings of words Understanding context of words Keeping track of entities over time Understanding expressions/idioms Understanding tone

February 22, 2024

**Specific (Supervised)** Learning which features are associated with each genre

Lecture 15 - 25

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# Self-Supervised for Language

General language modelling skills (Can be learned with Self Supervised)	Knowledge of words/letters Knowledge of grammar Meanings of words Understanding context of words Keeping track of entities over time Understanding expressions/idioms Understanding tone	
--	--	--

Specific (Supervised) | Learning which features are associated with each genre

Want: model with general understanding of language (Language model!)

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 26

# LLMs

Building LLMs: Pre-training objectives + architectures

Lecture 15 - 27

February 22, 2024

- Encoder only
- Decoder only
- Encoder Decoder

### GPT

Gradient-Free Performance Improvement

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# LLMs

**Building LLMs: Pre-training objectives + architectures** 

- Encoder only
- Decoder only
- Encoder Decoder

### GPT

Gradient-Free Performance Improvement

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 28



### Pre-training tasks







#### colorization



Source: Google AI blog post

- 1. Solving the pretext tasks allow the model to learn good features.
- 2. We can automatically generate labels for the pretext tasks.

### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 29



### Pre-training tasks





 $90^{\circ}$  rotation





#### colorization



February 22, 2024

### What to use as pre-training task for language?

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 30

# Encoder only LLMs

It's cold today! Don't forget to wear a \_\_\_\_\_

The \_\_\_\_\_\_ is a popular tourist attraction in Seattle.

I missed <u>bus</u>.

I had 3 pencils and lost one so now I have \_\_\_\_\_ pencils.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 31

# Encoder only LLMs

It's cold today! Don't forget to wear a jacket / coat / sweater.

The <u>Space Needle</u> is a popular tourist attraction in Seattle.

I missed <u>the</u> bus.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

I had 3 pencils and lost one so now I have <u>2 / two</u> pencils.

Lecture 15 - 32

# Encoder only LLMs

It's cold today! Don't forget to wear a jacket / coat / sweater.

**Common Sense** 

Factual knowledge

The <u>Space Needle</u> is a popular tourist attraction in Seattle.

I missed <u>the</u> bus.

I had 3 pencils and lost one so now I have <u>2 / two</u> pencils.

Grammar

Math/ Reasoning

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 33



### **Encoder Only:**



### **Decoder Only:**

l love

Encoder-Decoder:



### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 34



### **Encoder Only:**



### **Decoder Only:**

l love

Encoder-Decoder:

I love cake me gusta

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 35

ELMo (Embeddings from Language Models) Pre-training task

This wall needs another coat of paint

# Predict words based on previous words



# Predict words based on following words



February 22, 2024

Peters et al. Deep contextualized word representations. 2018.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 36
## ELMo (Embeddings from Language Models) Application to downstream tasks

This wall needs another <u>coat</u> of paint



Peters et al. Deep contextualized word representations. 2018.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## ELMo (Embeddings from Language Models) Application to downstream tasks

This wall needs another coat of paint

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt



#### Fine-tune

Peters et al. Deep contextualized word representations. 2018.

February 22, 2024

## Vision: Pre-train and then fine-tune

Step 1: <u>Pretrain</u> a network on a <u>pretext</u> <u>task</u> that doesn't require supervision



**Step 2:** Transfer encoder to <u>downstream tasks</u> via linear classifiers, KNN, finetuning



**Downstream tasks:** Image classification, object detection, semantic segmentation

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 39

## Language: Pre-train and then fine-tune

Step 1: <u>Pretrain</u> a network on a <u>pretext</u> task that doesn't require supervision





Step 2: Transfer encoder to <u>downstream tasks</u> via linear classifiers, KNN, finetuning



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 40

## Encoder only LLMs

It's cold today! Don't forget to wear a <u>coat</u>.

This wall needs another coat of paint

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

 $coat = \begin{bmatrix} 0.286 \\ 0.792 \\ -0.177 \\ -0.107 \\ 0.109 \\ -0.542 \\ 0.349 \\ 0.271 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Glove embeddings use the same vector for every instance of a word, no matter the context!

Lecture 15 - 41

## Elmo Results

TASK	<b>PREVIOUS SOTA</b>		OUR BASELINE	ELMO + E baseline	INCREASE (ABSOLUTE/ RELATIVE)
SQuAD	Liu et al. (2017)	84.4	81.1	85.8	4.7 / 24.9%
SNLI	Chen et al. (2017)	88.6	88.0	$88.7\pm0.17$	0.7 / 5.8%
SRL	He et al. (2017)	81.7	81.4	84.6	3.2 / 17.2%
Coref	Lee et al. (2017)	67.2	67.2	70.4	3.2/9.8%
NER	Peters et al. (2017)	$91.93\pm0.19$	90.15	$92.22\pm0.10$	2.06 / 21%
SST-5	McCann et al. (2017)	53.7	51.4	$54.7\pm0.5$	3.3 / 6.8%

Source: Peters et al. Deep contextualized word representations. 2018.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Encoder Only: Bert (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers)

Input: Text sequence
Output: Feature Vector



**Outputs:** context vectors: **y** (shape: D<sub>v</sub>)

February 22, 2024

Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence
Output: Feature Vector



**Outputs:** context vectors: **y** (shape: D<sub>v</sub>)

February 22, 2024

Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

ELMo (Embeddings from Language Models)





#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 45

Input: Text sequence
Output: Feature Vector

# What information do the y vectors contain?



**Outputs:** context vectors: **y** (shape: D<sub>v</sub>)

February 22, 2024

Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence
Output: Feature Vector

# What information do the y vectors contain?

Just copying input



**Outputs:** context vectors: **y** (shape: D<sub>v</sub>)

February 22, 2024

Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence **Output:** Feature Vector



Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence Output: Feature Vector

1 Masked Language Model



Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence Output: Feature Vector

1 Masked Language Model 2. Next Sentence Prediction

Does sentence 2 follow sentence 1?



Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence Output: Feature Vector

1 Masked Language Model 2. Next Sentence Prediction

#### Does sentence 2 follow sentence 1?



Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## Application to downstream tasks



Image Source: Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## Application to downstream tasks



Image Source: Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## ELMO: Two step process

**Step 1:** <u>Pretrain</u> a network on a <u>pretext</u> <u>task</u> that doesn't require supervision





Step 2: Transfer encoder to <u>downstream tasks</u> via linear classifiers, KNN, finetuning



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 54

## **BERT**: Two step process

Step 1: <u>Pretrain</u> a network on a <u>pretext</u> task that doesn't require supervision



**Step 2:** Transfer encoder to <u>downstream tasks</u> via linear classifiers, KNN, finetuning



**Downstream tasks:** Sentiment classification, NLI,

February 22, 2024

. . .

Image Source: Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## **BERT Results**

System	Dev	Test
ESIM+GloVe	51.9	52.7
ESIM+ELMo	59.1	59.2
OpenAI GPT	-	78.0
BERT <sub>BASE</sub>	81.6	-
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub>	<b>86.6</b>	86.3

Table 4: SWAG Dev and Test accuracies. <sup>†</sup>Human performance is measured with 100 samples, as reported in the SWAG paper.

System	Dev		Test						
	EM	F1	EM	F1					
Top Leaderboard Systems (Dec 10th, 2018)									
Human	-	- `	82.3	91.2					
#1 Ensemble - nlnet	-	-	86.0	91.7					
#2 Ensemble - QANet	-	-	84.5	90.5					
Published									
BiDAF+ELMo (Single)	-	85.6	-	85.8					
R.M. Reader (Ensemble)	81.2	87.9	82.3	88.5					
Ours									
BERT <sub>BASE</sub> (Single)	80.8	88.5	-	-					
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub> (Single)	84.1	90.9	-	-					
BERTLARGE (Ensemble)	85 8	91 8	_	_					
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub> (Sgl.+TriviaQA)	84.2	91.1	85.1	91.8					
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub> (Ens.+TriviaQA)	86.2	92.2	87.4	93.2					

Table 2: SQuAD 1.1 results. The BERT ensemble is 7x systems which use different pre-training checkpoints and fine-tuning seeds.

February 22, 2024

Image Source: Devlin et al. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. 2018.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## LLMs

#### Encoder Only:



**ELMO:** Bi-directional next word prediction, **BERT:** Masked language objective, Next Sentence Prediction

#### **Decoder Only:**

l love

Encoder-Decoder:

I love cake me gusta

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 57

## LLMs

#### **Encoder Only:**



**ELMO:** Bi-directional next word prediction, **BERT:** Masked language objective, Next Sentence Prediction

#### **Decoder Only:**



Encoder-Decoder:



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 58

Input: Text sequence



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence
Output: Completed text sequence



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence
Output: Completed text sequence

Cons: Need to process entire sentence in order to get loss from one word - not very much signal for the amount of processing



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence Output: Completed text sequence

Cons: Need to process entire sentence in order to get loss from one word - not very much signal for the amount of processing

Solution: predict each word given previous words so far



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 62

Input: Text sequence Output: Completed text sequence

Cons: Need to process entire sentence in order to get loss from one word - not very much signal for the amount of processing

Solution: predict each word given previous words so far



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence
Output: Completed text sequence

What's wrong with this?



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence
Output: Completed text sequence

What's wrong with this?

It can see the answer!



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence
Output: Completed text sequence

What's wrong with this?

It can see the answer!

Solution: zero out values from future words



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence Output: Completed text sequence

What's wrong with this?

It can see the answer!

Solution: zero out values from future words



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Input: Text sequence Output: Completed text sequence

To pre-train: predict next words from previous words for large text corpus



Brown et al. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. 2020.

#### February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## LLMs

#### **Encoder Only:**



**ELMO:** Bi-directional next word prediction, **BERT:** Masked language objective, Next Sentence Prediction

#### **Decoder Only:**



#### **GPT:** next token prediction (autoregressive)

Lecture 15 - 69

#### Encoder-Decoder:

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt



## LLMs

#### **Encoder Only:**



#### **Decoder Only:**



**ELMO:** Bi-directional next word prediction, **BERT:** Masked language objective, Next Sentence Prediction

**GPT:** next token prediction (autoregressive)

#### Encoder-Decoder:



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 70

**Encoder**-Decoder: Generate text based on previously generated text and the meaning of a separate sequence





Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 71

**Encoder-Decoder:** Generate text based on previously generated text and the meaning of a separate sequence



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 72


Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 73



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 74



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 75

Objective	Inputs	Targets
Prefix language modeling	Thank you for inviting	me to your party last week .
BERT-style Devlin et al. (2018)	Thank you $\langle M \rangle \langle M \rangle$ me to your party apple week .	(original text)
Deshuffling	party me for your to . last fun you inviting week Thank	(original text)
MASS-style Song et al. (2019)	Thank you $<\!M\!>$ $<\!M\!>$ me to your party $<\!M\!>$ week .	(original text)
I.i.d. noise, replace spans	Thank you $\langle X \rangle$ me to your party $\langle Y \rangle$ week .	<x> for inviting <math><y></y></math> last <math><z></z></math></x>
I.i.d. noise, drop tokens	Thank you me to your party week .	for inviting last
Random spans	Thank you $<\!\!x\!\!>$ to $<\!\!y\!\!>$ week .	$<\!\!X\!\!>$ for inviting me $<\!\!Y\!\!>$ your party last $<\!\!Z\!\!>$

Image Source: Raffel et al. Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer. 2019

February 22, 2024

Lecture 15 - 76

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# LLMs

### Encoder Only:



**ELMO:** Bi-directional next word prediction, **BERT:** Masked language objective, Next Sentence Prediction

### **Decoder Only:**

l love

#### GPT: text token prediction

### Encoder-Decoder.

#### T5: Masked language objective

I love cake me gusta

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 77

# LLMs

Building LLMs: Pre-training objectives + architectures

Lecture 15 - 78

February 22, 2024

- Encoder only
- Decoder only
- Encoder Decoder

# GPT

Gradient-Free Performance Improvement

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Step 1: <u>Pretrain</u> a network on a <u>pretext</u> <u>task</u> that doesn't require supervision



**Step 2:** Transfer encoder to <u>downstream tasks</u> via linear classifiers, KNN, finetuning



**Downstream tasks:** Image classification, object detection, semantic segmentation

### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 79

Step 1: <u>Pretrain</u> a network on a <u>pretext</u> <u>task</u> that doesn't require supervision

### reference frame



#### Source: Vondrick et al., 2018

Step 2: Transfer encoder to <u>downstream tasks</u> via linear classifiers, KNN, finetuning



**Downstream tasks:** Image classification, object detection, semantic segmentation

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

### Lecture 15 - 80

Step 1: <u>Pretrain</u> a network on a <u>pretext</u> <u>task</u> that doesn't require supervision

### reference frame



#### Source: Vondrick et al., 2018

**Step 2:** Use the model out of the box in a creative way!



Source: Google AI blog post

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 81

# **Decoder Only:** GPT

Input: Text sequence
Output: Completed text sequence

To pre-train: predict next words from previous words for large text corpus



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 82

# **Decoder Only:** Inference

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 83

# **Decoder Only:** Inference

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt



Lecture 15 - 84

# **Decoder Only:** Inference





Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 85

**Step 1:** <u>Pretrain</u> a network on a <u>pretext</u> <u>task</u> that doesn't require supervision



Step 2: Transfer encoder to <u>downstream tasks</u> via linear classifiers, KNN, finetuning

"I hated the movie"



#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 86

**Step 1:** <u>Pretrain</u> a network on a <u>pretext</u> <u>task</u> that doesn't require supervision







#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 87

# Zero-shot



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 88



Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 89



Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 90



Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 91

# In-Context Learning

#### Zero-shot

The model predicts the answer given only a natural language description of the task. No gradient updates are performed.

1	Translate English to French:	<i>←</i>	task description
2	cheese =>	<i>←</i>	- prompt

One-shot

In addition to the task description, the model sees a single example of the task. No gradient updates are performed.



#### Few-shot

example

In addition to the task description, the model sees a few examples of the task. No gradient updates are performed.

	Translate English to French:	$\longleftarrow$ task description
	sea otter => loutre de mer	← examples
	peppermint => menthe poivrée	<
	plush girafe => girafe peluche	$\leftarrow$
	cheese =>	←— prompt
		Press Press

Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 92

# Effect of In-Context Learning

s.u!c/c!e.s s i/o/n = succession

February 22, 2024



Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 93

# Effect of In-Context Learning

Example Question:

audacious is to boldness as

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

- (a) sanctimonious is to hypocrisy,
- (b) anonymous is to identity,
- (c) remorseful is to misdeed,
- (d) deleterious is to result,
- (e) impressionable is to temptation



Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

Lecture 15 - 94



**Figure 3.3:** On TriviaQA GPT3's performance grows smoothly with model size, suggesting that language models continue to absorb knowledge as their capacity increases. One-shot and few-shot performance make significant gains over zero-shot behavior, matching and exceeding the performance of the SOTA fine-tuned open-domain model, RAG [LPP<sup>+</sup>20]

Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 95



Figure 3.6: GPT-3 results on PIQA in the zero-shot, one-shot, and few-shot settings. The largest model achieves a score on the development set in all three conditions that exceeds the best recorded score on the task.

Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

February 22, 2024

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 96

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

	SuperGLUI	E BoolQ	CB	CB	COPA	RTE
	Average	Accurac	y Accurac	y F1	Accuracy	Accuracy
Fine-tuned SOTA	<b>89.0</b>	<b>91.0</b>	<b>96.9</b>	<b>93.9</b>	<b>94.8</b>	<b>92.5</b>
Fine-tuned BERT-Large	69.0	77.4	83.6	75.7	70.6	71.7
GPT-3 Few-Shot	71.8	76.4	75.6	52.0	92.0	69.0
	WiC	WSC	MultiRC	MultiRC	ReCoRD	ReCoRD
	Accuracy	Accuracy	Accuracy	F1a	Accuracy	F1
Fine-tuned SOTA	<b>76.1</b>	<b>93.8</b>	<b>62.3</b>	<b>88.2</b>	<b>92.5</b>	<b>93.3</b>
Fine-tuned BERT-Large	69.6	64.6	24.1	70.0	71.3	72.0
GPT-3 Few-Shot	49.4	80.1	30.5	75.4	90.2	91.1

**Table 3.8:** Performance of GPT-3 on SuperGLUE compared to fine-tuned baselines and SOTA. All results are reported on the test set. GPT-3 few-shot is given a total of 32 examples within the context of each task and performs no gradient updates.

Lecture 15 - 97

Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

	SuperGLUI	E BoolQ	CB	CB	COPA	RTE
	Average	Accuracy	y Accurac	y F1	Accuracy	Accuracy
Fine-tuned SOTA	<b>89.0</b>	<b>91.0</b>	<b>96.9</b>	<b>93.9</b>	<b>94.8</b>	<b>92.5</b>
Fine-tuned BERT-Large	69.0	77.4	83.6	75.7	70.6	71.7
GPT-3 Few-Shot	71.8	76.4	75.6	52.0	92.0	69.0
	WiC	WSC	MultiRC	MultiRC	ReCoRD	ReCoRD
	Accuracy	Accuracy	Accuracy	F1a	Accuracy	F1
Fine-tuned SOTA	<b>76.1</b>	<b>93.8</b>	<b>62.3</b>	<b>88.2</b>	<b>92.5</b>	<b>93.3</b>
Fine-tuned BERT-Large	69.6	64.6	24.1	70.0	71.3	72.0
GPT-3 Few-Shot	49.4	80.1	30.5	75.4	90.2	91.1

**Table 3.8:** Performance of GPT-3 on SuperGLUE compared to fine-tuned baselines and SOTA. All results are reported on the test set. GPT-3 few-shot is given a total of 32 examples within the context of each task and performs no gradient updates.

# All GPT was trained to do was predict the next token, how is it so good???

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 98



Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 99

# Scale! (In data)

## BERT

# 3.3 Billion tokens<sup>1</sup>

- All of english wikipedia
- 11,000 Books

**GPT - 3** ~300 billion tokens - Common Crawl (Much of the internet)

<sup>1</sup>https://aclanthology.org/W19-4828.pdf

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 100



Image source: Kaplan et al. Scaling Laws for Neural Language Models. 2020.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 101

# **The Bitter Lesson**

#### **Rich Sutton**

#### March 13, 2019

The biggest lesson that can be read from 70 years of AI research is that general methods that leverage computation are ultimately the most effective, and by a large margin. The ultimate reason for this is Moore's law, or rather its generalization of continued exponentially falling cost per unit of computation. Most AI research has been conducted as if the computation available to the agent were constant (in which case leveraging human knowledge would be one of the only ways to improve performance) but, over a slightly longer time than a typical research project, massively more computation inevitably becomes available. Seeking an improvement that makes a difference in the shorter term, researchers seek to leverage their human knowledge of the domain, but the only thing that matters in the long run is the leveraging of computation. These two need not run counter to each other, but in practice they tend to. Time spent on one is time not spent on the other. There are psychological commitments to investment in one approach or the other. And the human-knowledge approach tends to complicate methods in ways that make them less suited to taking advantage of general methods leveraging computation. There were many examples of AI researchers' belated learning of this bitter lesson, and it is instructive to review some of the most prominent.

http://www.incompleteideas.net/Incldeas/BitterLesson.html

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 102

# LLMs

Building LLMs: Pre-training objectives + architectures

- Encoder only
- Decoder only
- Encoder Decoder

### GPT

### **Gradient-Free Performance Improvement**

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 103

# Improving accuracy without fine-tuning

In-context learning is better than zero-shot with no additional training

Can we do better than in context learning with no additional training?

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 104

# Chain of thought prompting

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now? A: The answer is 11.

...

Q: John takes care of 10 dogs. Each dog takes .5 hours a day to walk and take care of their business. How many hours a week does he spend taking care of dogs? A:



GS	M	8	K
00	1.1	LO.	

February 22, 2024

Finetuned GPT-3 175B	33%
Finetuned GPT-3 175B + verifier (prior SOTA)	55%
9–12 year olds (Cobbe et al., 2021)	60%
PaLM 540B: standard prompting	17.9%

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 105

# Chain of thought prompting

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?
A: Roger started with 5 balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. 5 + 6 = 11. The answer is 11.

...

Q: John takes care of 10 dogs. Each dog takes .5 hours a day to walk and take care of their business. How many hours a week does he spend taking care of dogs? A: GPT (or similar)

# GSM8KFinetuned GPT-3 175B33%Finetuned GPT-3 175B + verifier (prior SOTA)55%9–12 year olds (Cobbe et al., 2021)60%PaLM 540B: standard prompting17.9%

February 22, 2024

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 106

# Chain of thought prompting

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?
A: Roger started with 5 balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. 5 + 6 = 11. The answer is 11.

. . .

Q: John takes care of 10 dogs. Each dog takes .5 hours a day to walk and take care of their business. How many hours a week does he spend taking care of dogs? A: John takes care of 10 dogs. Each dog takes .5 hours a day to walk and take care of their business. So that is  $10 \times .5 = 5$  hours a day. 5 hours a day x 7 days a week = 35 hours a week. The answer is 35 hours a week.

	GSM8K
Finetuned GPT-3 175B	33%
Finetuned GPT-3 175B + verifier (prior SOTA)	55%
9–12 year olds (Cobbe et al., 2021)	60%
PaLM 540B: standard prompting	17.9%
PaLM 540B: chain of thought prompting	58.1%

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 107

GPT (or similar)

# Chain of thought for Math Problems

Table 20: Few-shot exemplars for full chain of thought prompt for math word problems. This set of exemplars was used for all math word problem datasets except AQuA.

#### **PROMPT FOR MATH WORD PROBLEMS**

**Q:** There are 15 trees in the grove. Grove workers will plant trees in the grove today. After they are done, there will be 21 trees. How many trees did the grove workers plant today?

A: There are 15 trees originally. Then there were 21 trees after some more were planted. So there must have been 21 - 15 = 6. The answer is 6.

Q: If there are 3 cars in the parking lot and 2 more cars arrive, how many cars are in the parking lot?

A: There are originally 3 cars. 2 more cars arrive. 3 + 2 = 5. The answer is 5.

Q: Leah had 32 chocolates and her sister had 42. If they ate 35, how many pieces do they have left in total?

A: Originally, Leah had 32 chocolates. Her sister had 42. So in total they had 32 + 42 = 74. After eating 35, they had 74 - 35 = 39. The answer is 39.

**Q:** Jason had 20 lollipops. He gave Denny some lollipops. Now Jason has 12 lollipops. How many lollipops did Jason give to Denny?

A: Jason started with 20 lollipops. Then he had 12 after giving some to Denny. So he gave Denny 20 - 12 = 8. The answer is 8.

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 108
# Chain of thought for Math Problems

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Table 8: Example of correct chains of thought produced by the model for the GSM8K dataset.

**QUESTION:** James decides to run 3 sprints 3 times a week. He runs 60 meters each sprint. How many total meters does he run a week?

**MODEL ANSWER (CORRECT):** James decides to run 3 sprints 3 times a week. He runs 60 meters each sprint. So he runs 60 meters x 3 sprints x 3 times a week. That is 60 meters x 9. The answer is 540.  $\checkmark$ 

**QUESTION:** Brandon's iPhone is four times as old as Ben's iPhone. Ben's iPhone is two times older than Suzy's iPhone. If Suzy's iPhone is 1 year old, how old is Brandon's iPhone?

**MODEL ANSWER (CORRECT):** Brandon's iPhone is 4 times as old as Ben's iPhone. Ben's iPhone is 2 times older than Suzy's iPhone. So Brandon's iPhone is  $4 \times 2 = 8$  times older than Suzy's iPhone. Suzy's iPhone is 1 year old. So Brandon's iPhone is  $8 \times 1 = 8$  years old. The answer is 8.

Lecture 15 - 109

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

# Chain of thought for Symbolic Reasoning

#### **PROMPT FOR LAST LETTER CONCATENATION**

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Q: Take the last letters of the words in "Elon Musk" and concatenate them.

A: The last letter of "Elon" is "n". The last letter of "Musk" is "k". Concatenating them is "nk". The answer is nk.

Q: Take the last letters of the words in "Larry Page" and concatenate them.

A: The last letter of "Larry" is "y". The last letter of "Page" is "e". Concatenating them is "ye". The answer is ye.

Q: Take the last letters of the words in "Sergey Brin" and concatenate them.

A: The last letter of "Sergey" is "y". The last letter of "Brin" is "n". Concatenating them is "yn". The answer is yn.

**Q:** Take the last letters of the words in "Bill Gates" and concatenate them.

A: The last letter of "Bill" is "l". The last letter of "Gates" is "s". Concatenating them is "ls". The answer is ls.

Lecture 15 - 110

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

# Chain of thought for Symbolic Reasoning

Table 13: Examples of correct and incorrect chains of thought produced by LaMDA 137B on the letter concatenation task.

QUESTION: Take the last letters of the words in "Waldo Schmidt" and concatenate them.

**MODEL ANSWER (CORRECT):** The last letter of "Waldo" is "o". The last letter of "Schmidt" is "t". Concatenating them is "ot". So the answer is ot.  $\checkmark$ 

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# Chain of thought for Physical Reasoning

#### PROMPT FOR COIN FLIP

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Q: Q: A coin is heads up. Ka flips the coin. Sherrie flips the coin. Is the coin still heads up?

A: The coin was flipped by Ka and Sherrie. So the coin was flipped 2 times, which is an even number. The coin started heads up, so after an even number of flips, it will still be heads up. So the answer is yes.

Q: A coin is heads up. Jamey flips the coin. Teressa flips the coin. Is the coin still heads up?

A: The coin was flipped by Jamey and Teressa. So the coin was flipped 2 times, which is an even number. The coin started heads up, so after an even number of flips, it will still be heads up. So the answer is yes.

Q: A coin is heads up. Maybelle flips the coin. Shalonda does not flip the coin. Is the coin still heads up?

A: The coin was flipped by Maybelle. So the coin was flipped 1 time, which is an odd number. The coin started heads up, so after an odd number of flips, it will be tails up. So the answer is no.

Lecture 15 - 112

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

# Chain of thought for Physical Reasoning

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

QUESTION: A coin is heads up. Andree flips the coin. Audrie does not flip the coin. Is the coin still heads up? MODEL ANSWER (CORRECT): The coin was flipped by Andree. So the coin was flipped 1 time, which is an odd number. The coin started heads up, so after an odd number of flips, it will be tails up. So the answer is no.  $\checkmark$ 

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

February 22, 2024

# Chain of thought results

	GSM8K	SVAMP	ASDiv	MAWPS
Standard prompting	$6.5{\scriptstyle~\pm 0.4}$	$29.5{\scriptstyle~\pm 0.6}$	$40.1{\scriptstyle~\pm 0.6}$	$43.2 \pm 0.9$
Chain of thought prompting	$14.3 \pm 0.4$	$36.7{\scriptstyle~\pm 0.4}$	$46.6 \pm 0.7$	$57.9{\scriptstyle~\pm1.5}$

	Commonsense			Symbolic	
	Date	Sports	SayCan	Concat	Coin
Standard prompting	$21.5{\scriptstyle~\pm 0.6}$	59.5 ±3.0	$80.8 \pm 1.8$	$5.8{\scriptstyle~\pm 0.6}$	$49.0{\scriptstyle~\pm2.1}$
Chain of thought prompting	$26.8 \pm 2.1$	$85.8{\scriptstyle~\pm1.8}$	$91.7{\scriptstyle~\pm1.4}$	$77.5{\scriptstyle~\pm3.8}$	$99.6 \pm 0.3$

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# Think step by step

(a) Few-shot

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

A: The answer is 11.

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A:

(Output) The answer is 8. X

#### (c) Zero-shot

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A: The answer (arabic numerals) is

(Output) 8 X

#### (b) Few-shot-CoT

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

A: Roger started with 5 balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. 5 + 6 = 11. The answer is 11.

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A:

(Output) The juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls. So there are 16 / 2 = 8 golf balls. Half of the golf balls are blue. So there are 8 / 2 = 4 blue golf balls. The answer is 4.

#### (d) Zero-shot-CoT (Ours)

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

#### A: Let's think step by step.

(Output) There are 16 balls in total. Half of the balls are golf balls. That means that there are 8 golf balls. Half of the golf balls are blue. That means that there are 4 blue golf balls.

February 22, 2024

Image Source: Chowdhery et al. Large Language Models are Zero-Shot Reasoners. 2022.

### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

## Think step by step

	MultiArith	GSM8K
Zero-Shot	17.7	10.4
Few-Shot (2 samples)	33.7	15.6
Few-Shot (8 samples)	33.8	15.6
Zero-Shot-CoT	78.7	40.7
Few-Shot-CoT (2 samples)	84.8	41.3
Few-Shot-CoT (4 samples : First) (*1)	89.2	-
Few-Shot-CoT (4 samples : Second) (*1)	90.5	_
Few-Shot-CoT (8 samples)	93.0	48.7
Zero-Plus-Few-Shot-CoT (8 samples) (*2)	92.8	51.5

Image Source: Chowdhery et al. Large Language Models are Zero-Shot Reasoners. 2022.

February 22, 2024

### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

# Self Consistency



Image Source: Xie et al. Self-Consistency Improves Chain of Thought Reasoning in Language Models. 2022.

### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

#### Lecture 15 - 117

# Self Consistency

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

	GSM8K	MultiArith	AQuA	SVAMP	CSQA	ARC-c
Greedy decode	56.5	94.7	35.8	79.0	79.0	85.2
Weighted avg (unnormalized) Weighted avg (normalized)	$\begin{array}{c} 56.3\pm0.0\\22.1\pm0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 90.5\pm 0.0\\ 59.7\pm 0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 35.8\pm0.0\\ 15.7\pm0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.0\pm0.0\\ 40.5\pm0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74.8\pm0.0\\ 52.1\pm0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 82.3 \pm 0.0 \\ 51.7 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$
Weighted sum (unnormalized) Weighted sum (normalized)	$\begin{array}{c} 59.9\pm0.0\\74.1\pm0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}92.2\pm0.0\\99.3\pm0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38.2\pm0.0\\ 48.0\pm0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 76.2\pm0.0\\ 86.8\pm0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 76.2\pm0.0\\ 80.7\pm0.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 83.5\pm0.0\\ 88.7\pm0.0\end{array}$
Unweighted sum (majority vote)	$74.4\pm0.1$	$99.3\pm0.0$	$48.3\pm0.5$	$\textbf{86.6} \pm 0.1$	$80.7\pm0.1$	$\textbf{88.7} \pm 0.1$
Table 1: Accuracy comparison of different answer aggregation strategies on Pal M-540B					1-540B	

Table 1: Accuracy comparison of different answer aggregation strategies on PaLM-540B.

Lecture 15 - 118

Image Source: Xie et al. Self-Consistency Improves Chain of Thought Reasoning in Language Models. 2022.

# Summary Slide

**Encoder Only:** Capture the meaning of an entire sequence



love

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

**ELMO:** Bi-directional next word prediction, **BERT:** Masked language objective, Next Sentence Prediction

February 22, 2024

### **Decoder Only:** Generate text based on previously generated text



Encoder-Decoder: Generate text based on previously generated text and the meaning of a separate sequence T5: Masked language objective

# Summary

#### Zero-shot

The model predicts the answer given only a natural language description of the task. No gradient updates are performed.

1	Translate English to French:	$\longleftarrow$ task description
2	cheese =>	← prompt

#### One-shot

In addition to the task description, the model sees a single example of the task. No gradient updates are performed.



#### Few-shot

In addition to the task description, the model sees a few examples of the task. No gradient updates are performed.

	Translate English to French:	$\longleftarrow$ task description
	sea otter => loutre de mer	← examples
	peppermint => menthe poivrée	~
	plush girafe => girafe peluche	$\leftarrow$
	cheese =>	← prompt

Image Source: Language Models are Few-Shot Learners, Brown et al

#### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 15 - 120

## Summary



Image source: Kaplan et al. Scaling Laws for Neural Language Models. 2020.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt



**Inference Only Performance Improvement** 

- Chain-of-thought
- Think step by step
- Self consistency

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?
A: Roger started with 5 balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. 5 + 6 = 11. The answer is 11.

Image Source: Wei et al. Chain of Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models. 2022.

February 22, 2024

Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt



https://twitter.com/alirahimi0

February 22, 2024

### Ranjay Krishna, Sarah Pratt