Lecture 17: Generative Al Part 1 Autoregressive & VAEs

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 1



Administrative

- A5 is out. It is the last assignment.
- Quiz 4 tomorrow

• Almost done with the course :(

November 21, 2024

Lecture 17 - 2

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Last time: Foundation Models

Language	Classification	<u>LM + Vision</u>	And More!	<u>Chaining</u>
ELMo BERT GPT T5	CLIP CoCa	Flamingo GPT-4V Gemini	Segment Anything Whisper Dall-E Stable Diffusion Imagen	LMs + CLIP Visual Programming

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 3

Next 2 lectures:

Language	Classification	<u>LM + Vision</u>	And More!	<u>Chaining</u>
ELMo BERT GPT T5	CLIP CoCa	Flamingo GPT-4V Gemini	Segment Anything Whisper Dall-E Stable Diffusion Imagen	LMs + CLIP Visual Programming

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 4

Supervised Learning

Data: (x, y) x is data, y is label

Goal: Learn a *function* to map x -> y

Examples: Classification, regression, object detection, semantic segmentation, image captioning, etc.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 5

Supervised Learning

Data: (x, y) x is data, y is label

Goal: Learn a *function* to map x -> y

Examples: Classification, regression, object detection, semantic segmentation, image captioning, etc.





Classification

This image is CC0 public domain

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 6

Supervised Learning

Data: (x, y) x is data, y is label

Goal: Learn a *function* to map x -> y

Examples: Classification, regression, object detection, semantic segmentation, image captioning, etc.



A cat sitting on a suitcase on the floor

Image captioning

Caption generated using <u>neuraltalk2</u> <u>Image</u> is <u>CC0 Public domain</u>.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 7

Supervised Learning

Data: (x, y) x is data, y is label

Goal: Learn a *function* to map x -> y

Examples: Classification, regression, object detection, semantic segmentation, image captioning, etc.



DOG, DOG, CAT

Object Detection

This image is CC0 public domain

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 8

Supervised Learning

Data: (x, y) x is data, y is label

Goal: Learn a *function* to map x -> y

Examples: Classification, regression, object detection, semantic segmentation, image captioning, etc.



GRASS, CAT, TREE, SKY

Semantic Segmentation

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 9



November 21, 2024

Self-Supervised Learning

Data: (x, y) x is data, y is a proxy label



Goal: Learn a *function* to map x -> y

Examples: Inpainting, colorization, contrastive learning.



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 10

Unsupervised Learning

Data: x Just data, **no labels!**

Goal: Learn some underlying hidden **structure** of the data

Examples: Clustering, dimensionality reduction, feature learning, density estimation, etc.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 11

Unsupervised Learning

Data: x Just data, **no labels!**

Goal: Learn some underlying hidden **structure** of the data

Examples: Clustering, dimensionality reduction, feature learning, density estimation, etc.



K-means clustering

This image is CC0 public domain

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 12

Unsupervised Learning

Data: x Just data, **no labels!**

Goal: Learn some underlying hidden **structure** of the data

Examples: Clustering, dimensionality reduction, feature learning, density estimation, etc. PC2 PC2 PC2 PC2 PC1 PC1 PC1 PC1 PC1 PC1 PC1 PC1 PC1

> Principal Component Analysis (Dimensionality reduction)

> > This image from Matthias Scholz is CC0 public domain

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 13

original data space

Unsupervised Learning

Data: x Just data, no labels!

Goal: Learn some underlying hidden *structure* of the data

Examples: Clustering, dimensionality reduction, density estimation, etc.



Figure copyright Ian Goodfellow, 2016. Reproduced with permission

1-d density estimation



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 14

Supervised Learning

Data: (x, y) x is data, y is label

Goal: Learn a *function* to map x -> y

Examples: Classification, regression, object detection, semantic segmentation, image captioning, etc. **Unsupervised Learning**

Data: x Just data, no labels!

Goal: Learn some underlying hidden *structure* of the data

Examples: Clustering, dimensionality reduction, density estimation, etc.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 15

Data: x, Label: y



Density Function p(x) assigns a positive number to each possible x; higher numbers mean x is more likely. $\int_{X} p(x)dx = 1$ Probabilities across all values of x sum up to 1

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 16

Data: x, Label: y



Density Function p(x) assigns a positive number to each possible x; higher numbers mean x is more likely. $\int_{X} p(x)dx = 1$ Probabilities across all values of x sum up to 1

Discriminative Model: Learn a probability distribution p(y|x)



Sum of p(y | x) = 1 across C classes

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 17

Data: x, Label: y



Density Function p(x) assigns a positive number to each possible x; higher numbers mean x is more likely. $\int_{X} p(x)dx = 1$ Probabilities across all values of x sum up to 1

Discriminative Model: Learn a probability distribution p(y|x)



Sum of p(y | x) = 1 across C classes Bias term of last linear layer learns p(y)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 18

Data: x, Label: y



Density Function p(x) assigns a positive number to each possible x; higher numbers mean x is more likely. $\int_{X} p(x)dx = 1$ Probabilities across all values of x sum up to 1

Discriminative Model: Learn a probability distribution p(y|x)



If the images contain classes not part of the vocabulary, outputs are uninterpretable.

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 19

Data: x, Label: y



Density Function p(x) assigns a positive number to each possible x; higher numbers mean x is more likely. $\int_{X} p(x)dx = 1$ Probabilities across all values of x sum up to 1

Generative Model: Learn a probability distribution p(x)



All possible images compete with each other for probability mass Is a dog more likely to sit or stand? How about 3-legged dog vs 3-armed monkey?

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 20

Data: x, Label: y



Density Function p(x) assigns a positive number to each possible x; higher numbers mean x is more likely. $\int_{X} p(x)dx = 1$ Probabilities across all values of x sum up to 1

Conditional Generative Model: Learn p(x|y)

$$P(x \mid y) = \frac{P(y \mid x)}{P(y)} P(x)$$

Recall Bayes' Rule:

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 21

Data: x, Label: y



Density Function p(x) assigns a positive number to each possible x; higher numbers mean x is more likely. $\int_{X} p(x)dx = 1$ Probabilities across all values of x sum up to 1

Conditional Generative Model: Learn p(x|y)



We can build a conditional generative model from other components!

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 22

Putting them together:

Data: x, Label: y



Density Function p(x) assigns a positive number to each possible x; higher numbers mean x is more likely. $\int_{X} p(x)dx = 1$ Probabilities across all values of x sum up to 1

Discriminative Model:

Learn a probability distribution p(y|x)

Generative Model: Learn a probability distribution p(x)

Conditional Generative Model: Learn p(x|y)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 23

Applications for Generative Models

- 1. Assign labels to data
- 2. Feature learning (with labels)

Discriminative Model:
 Learn a probability
 distribution p(y|x)

Generative Model: Learn a probability distribution p(x)

Conditional Generative Model: Learn p(x|y)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 24

Applications for Generative Models

- 1. Assign labels to data
- 2. Feature learning (with labels)

Discriminative Model:
 Learn a probability
 distribution p(y|x)

- 1. Detect outliers
- 2. Feature learning (without labels)
- 3. Sample to generate new data

 Generative Model: Learn a probability distribution p(x)

Conditional Generative Model: Learn p(x|y)

Lecture 17 - 25

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Applications for Generative Models

- 1. Assign labels to data
- 2. Feature learning (with labels)

Discriminative Model:
 Learn a probability
 distribution p(y|x)

- 1. Detect outliers
- 2. Feature learning (without labels)
- 3. Sample to generate new data

Generative Model: Learn a probability distribution p(x)

- 1. Assign labels, rejecting outliers! -
- 2. Generate new data conditioned on input labels
- Conditional Generative
 Model: Learn p(x|y)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 26

Why Generative Models?



- Realistic samples for artwork, super-resolution, colorization, etc.
- Learn useful features for downstream tasks such as classification.
- Getting insights from high-dimensional data (physics, medical imaging, etc.)

Lecture 17 - 27

November 21, 2024

- Modeling physical world for simulation and planning (robotics and reinforcement learning applications)
- Many more ...

Figures from L-R are copyright: (1) Alec Radford et al. 2016; (2) Phillip Isola et al. 2017. Reproduced with authors permission (3) BAIR Blog.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

The two objectives of generative models



Objectives:

- 1. Learn $p_{model}(x)$ that approximates $p_{data}(x)$
- 2. Sampling new x from $p_{model}(x)$

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 28

Generative Modeling

Given training data, generate new samples from same distribution



Formulate as density estimation problems:

- Explicit density estimation: explicitly define and solve for p_{model}(x)
- Implicit density estimation: learn model that can sample from p_{model}(x) without explicitly defining it.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 29

Taxonomy of Generative Models



Figure copyright and adapted from Ian Goodfellow, Tutorial on Generative Adversarial Networks, 2017.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 30

Taxonomy of Generative Models



- Glow
- Ffjord

Figure copyright and adapted from Ian Goodfellow, Tutorial on Generative Adversarial Networks, 2017.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 31

Taxonomy of Generative Models



- Glow

- Ffjord

Figure copyright and adapted from Ian Goodfellow, Tutorial on Generative Adversarial Networks, 2017.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 32



Figure copyright and adapted from Ian Goodfellow, Tutorial on Generative Adversarial Networks, 2017.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Ffjord

Lecture 17 - 33



- Glow

- Ffjord

Figure copyright and adapted from Ian Goodfellow, Tutorial on Generative Adversarial Networks, 2017.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 34



Figure copyright and adapted from Ian Goodfellow, Tutorial on Generative Adversarial Networks, 2017.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Ffjord

Lecture 17 - 35

Explicit density models

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 36
Goal: Write down an explicit function for p(x) = f(x, W)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 37

Goal: Write down an explicit function for p(x) = f(x, W)

Given dataset $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots x^{(N)}$, train the model by solving:

Lecture 17 - 38

$$W^* = \arg \max_{W} \prod_i p(x^{(i)})$$

Maximize probability of training data (Maximum likelihood estimation)

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Goal: Write down an explicit function for p(x) = f(x, W)

Given dataset $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots x^{(N)}$, train the model by solving:

$$W^* = \arg \max_{W} \prod_i p(x^{(i)})$$

Maximize probability of training data (Maximum likelihood estimation)

$$= \arg \max_{W} \sum_{i} \log p(x^{(i)})$$

Log trick to exchange product for sum

November 21, 2024

Lecture 17 - 39

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Goal: Write down an explicit function for p(x) = f(x, W)

Given dataset $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots x^{(N)}$, train the model by solving:

$$W^* = \arg \max_{W} \prod_i p(x^{(i)})$$

Maximize probability of training data (Maximum likelihood estimation)

$$= \arg \max_{W} \sum_{i} \log p(x^{(i)})$$

Log trick to exchange product for sum

$$= \arg \max_{W} \sum_{i} \log f(x^{(i)}, W)$$

This will be our loss function! Train with gradient descent (backprop)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 40

Autorgressive models (PixeIRNN and PixeICNN)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt



Goal: Write down an explicit function for p(x) = f(x, W)

Assume that x is made up of multiple parts: $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_T)$

For example, images are made up of pixels, language is made up of words/characters/tokens

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 42

Goal: Write down an explicit function for p(x) = f(x, W)

Assume that x is made up of multiple parts: $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_T)$

Lecture 17 - 43

November 21, 2024

For example, images are made up of pixels, language is made up of words/characters/tokens

$$p(x) = p(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_T)$$

Likelihood of Joint likelihood of each image x part in the data

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Goal: Write down an explicit function for p(x) = f(x, W)

Assume that x is made up of multiple parts: $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_T)$

For example, images are made up of pixels, language is made up of words/characters/tokens

$$p(x) = p(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_T)$$

= $p(x_1)p(x_2 | x_1)p(x_3 | x_1, x_2) \dots$ Breat using

Break down probability using the chain rule

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 44

Goal: Write down an explicit function for p(x) = f(x, W)

Assume that x is made up of multiple parts: $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_T)$

For example, images are made up of pixels, language is made up of words/characters/tokens

$$p(x) = p(x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_T)$$

= $p(x_1)p(x_2 | x_1)p(x_3 | x_1, x_2) ...$ Break
= $\prod_{t=1}^{T} p(x_t | x_1, ..., x_{t-1})$

Break down probability using the chain rule

November 21, 2024

Probability of the next subpart given all the previous subparts

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Goal: Write down an explicit function for p(x) = f(x, W)

Assume that x is made up of multiple parts: $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_T)$

For example, images are made up of pixels, language is made up of words/characters/tokens p(x) = p(x) = p(x)

Language modeling with RNNs is an autoregressive model

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 46

We assume hidden state encodes all prior information $\mathbf{x}_{0}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 -

47

Generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels modeled using an RNN (LSTM)



[van der Oord et al. 2016]

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels modeled using an RNN (LSTM)



[van der Oord et al. 2016]

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels modeled using an RNN (LSTM)



[van der Oord et al. 2016]

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels modeled using an RNN (LSTM)

Hidden state for each pixel is conditioned on the hidden states and RGB values from the left and from above $h_{x,v} = f(h_{x-1,v}, h_{x,v-1}, W)$



[van der Oord et al. 2016]

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels modeled using an RNN (LSTM)

Hidden state for each pixel is conditioned on the hidden states and RGB values from the left and from above $h_{x,y} = f(h_{x-1,y}, h_{x,y-1}, W)$

At each pixel, predict red, then blue, then green: softmax over [0, 1, ..., 255]



[van der Oord et al. 2016]

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels modeled using an RNN (LSTM)

Drawback: sequential generation is slow in both training and inference!

Each pixel depends implicity on all pixels above and to the left.



[van der Oord et al. 2016]

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 -

54

Generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels modeled using an RNN (LSTM)

Drawback: sequential generation is slow in both training and inference!

Each pixel depends implicity on all pixels above and to the left.



[van der Oord et al. 2016]

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels modeled using an RNN (LSTM)

Very slow during both training and testing; N x N image requires 2N-1 sequential steps!



[van der Oord et al. 2016]

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Q: Where else have we seen a similar processing of input images by iterating over patches of the image?



November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

PixelCNN - improvements to training time

Still generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels now modeled using a CNN over context region (masked convolution)



Figure copyright van der Oord et al., 2016. Reproduced with permission. [van der Oord et al. 2016]

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

PixelCNN [van der Oord et al. 2016]

Still generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels now modeled using a CNN over context region (masked convolution)

Training is faster than PixelRNN (can parallelize convolutions since context region values known from training images)

Generation is still slow: For a 32x32 image, we need to do forward passes of the network 1024 times for a single image Softmax loss over pixel values at every location



Figure copyright van der Oord et al., 2016. Reproduced with permission.

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Generation Samples



32x32 CIFAR-10



32x32 ImageNet

Figures copyright Aaron van der Oord et al., 2016. Reproduced with permission.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 59

PixelRNN and **PixelCNN**

Pros:

- Can explicitly compute likelihood p(x)
- Easy to optimize
- Good samples

Con:

- Sequential generation => slow

Improving PixelCNN performance

- Gated convolutional layers
- Short-cut connections
- Discretized logistic loss
- Multi-scale
- Training tricks
- Etc...

See

- Van der Oord et al. NIPS 2016
- Salimans et al. 2017 (PixelCNN++)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 60



Figure copyright and adapted from Ian Goodfellow, Tutorial on Generative Adversarial Networks, 2017.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Ffjord

Lecture 17 - 61

So far...

PixelRNN/CNNs define tractable density function, optimize likelihood of training data: $p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{\theta}(x_i | x_1, ..., x_{i-1})$

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 62

So far...

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

PixelRNN/CNNs define tractable density function, optimize likelihood of training data: $p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{\theta}(x_i | x_1, ..., x_{i-1})$

Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) define an intractable density function with latent **z**: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z)p_{\theta}(x|z)dz$

No dependencies among pixels, can generate all pixels at the same time!

Cannot optimize directly, derive and optimize lower bound on likelihood instead

Lecture 17 -

63

So far...

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

PixelCNNs define tractable density function, optimize likelihood of training data: $p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{\theta}(x_i | x_1, ..., x_{i-1})$

Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) define intractable density function with latent **z**: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z) p_{\theta}(x|z) dz$

No dependencies among pixels, can generate all pixels at the same time!

Cannot optimize directly, derive and optimize lower bound on likelihood instead Why latent z?

Lecture 17 -

64

Variational Autoencoders (VAE)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 -

65

Unsupervised approach for learning a lower-dimensional feature representation from unlabeled training data

Z should extract useful information (maybe object identities, properties, scene type, etc) that we can use for downstream tasks





Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 66

Unsupervised approach for learning a lower-dimensional feature representation from unlabeled training data





Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 67

Unsupervised approach for learning a lower-dimensional feature representation from unlabeled training data





Unsupervised approach for learning a lower-dimensional feature representation from unlabeled training data





Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 69

Unsupervised approach for learning a lower-dimensional feature representation from unlabeled training data



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 70

Images reconstructed are blurry because z is smaller and doesn't save pixel-perfect information





Encoder: 4-layer conv Decoder: 4-layer upconv



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 71

Similar to the self-supervised feature learning + transfer to downstream tasks



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 72
Some background first: Autoencoders



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 73

Some background first: Autoencoders



Autoencoders can reconstruct data, and can learn features to initialize a supervised model

Features capture factors of variation in training data.

But we can't generate **new images** from an autoencoder because we don't know the **space of z**.

How do we make autoencoder a generative model?

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 74

Probabilistic spin on autoencoders - will let us sample from the model to generate data!

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 75

Probabilistic spin on autoencoders - will let us sample from the model to generate data!

Assume training data $\{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$ is generated from the distribution of unobserved (latent) representation **z**

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 76

Probabilistic spin on autoencoders - will let us sample from the model to generate data!

Assume training data $\{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$ is generated from the distribution of unobserved (latent) representation **z**

Lecture 17 -



Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

- 77

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Probabilistic spin on autoencoders - will let us sample from the model to generate data!

Assume training data $\{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$ is generated from the distribution of unobserved (latent) representation **z**

Lecture 17 -



Intuition (remember from autoencoders!):x is an image, z is latent factors used to generate x: attributes, orientation, etc.

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

- 78

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

We want to estimate the parameters θ^* given real training data x.



Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 79

We want to estimate the parameters θ^* given real training data x.



Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 80

We want to estimate the parameters θ^* given real training data x.



Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 81





We want to estimate the parameters θ^* given real training data x.

How should we represent this model?

Choose prior p(z) to be simple, e.g. Gaussian. Reasonable for latent attributes, e.g. pose, how much smile.

Conditional p(x|z) is complex (generates image) => represent with neural network

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 82

Decoder must be probabilistic:

Decoder inputs z, outputs mean $\mu_{x|z}$ and (diagonal) covariance $\sum_{x|z}$



We want to estimate the parameters θ^* given real training data x.

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 83



We want to estimate the parameters θ^* given real training data x.

How to train the model?

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 84



We want to estimate the parameters θ^* given real training data x.

How to train the model?

Learn model parameters to maximize likelihood of training data

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z) p_{\theta}(x|z) dz$$

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 85



We want to estimate the parameters θ^* given real training data x.

How to train the model?

Learn model parameters to maximize likelihood of training data

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z) p_{\theta}(x|z) dz$$

Q: What is the problem with this?

Intractable! Impossible to iterate over all z

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 86

Data likelihood: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z) p_{\theta}(x|z) dz$

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 87

Data likelihood: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z)p_{\theta}(x|z)dz$ Simple Gaussian prior

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 88

Data likelihood: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z) p_{\theta}(x|z) dz$

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 89

Data likelihood: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z)p_{\theta}(x|z)dz$ Intractable to compute p(x|z) for every z!

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 90

Data likelihood: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z)p_{\theta}(x|z)dz$ Intractable to compute p(x|z) for every z!

 $\log p(x) pprox \log rac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k p(x|z^{(i)})$, where $z^{(i)} \sim p(z)$

Monte Carlo estimation is too high variance

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 91

Data likelihood:
$$p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z)p_{\theta}(x|z)dz$$

Another idea: $p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{p_{\theta}(x|z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z|x)}$ Use Bayes rule

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 92

Data likelihood:
$$p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z)p_{\theta}(x|z)dz$$

Another idea: $p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{p_{\theta}(x|z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z|x)}$ We know how to calculate these

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 93

Data likelihood: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z)p_{\theta}(x|z)dz$ Another idea: $p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{p_{\theta}(x|z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z|x)}$ But how do you calculate this?

Solution: In addition to modeling $p_{\theta}(x|z)$, Learn $q_{\phi}(z|x)$ that approximates the true posterior $p_{\theta}(z|x)$.

Encoder Network

 $q_{\phi}(z \mid x) = N(\mu_{z \mid x}, \Sigma_{z \mid x})$ $\mu_{z \mid x} \qquad \Sigma_{z \mid x}$

Decoder Network



Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 94

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt



Lecture 17 - 95

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

 $\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)})\right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z)$

Using this approximation, we can derive a lower bound on the data likelihood p(x), making it tractable, therefore, possible to optimize.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 96



$$\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z)$$
Taking expectation wrt. z
(using encoder network) will
come in handy later

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 97

$$\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z)$$
$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] \quad (\text{Bayes' Rule})$$

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 98

$$\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z)$$
$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] \quad (\text{Bayes' Rule})$$
$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] \quad (\text{Multiply by constant})$$

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 99

$$\begin{split} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) &= \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] \quad (\text{Bayes' Rule}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] \quad (\text{Multiply by constant}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) \right] - \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z)} \right] + \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] \quad (\text{Logarithms}) \end{split}$$

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 100

$$\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)})\right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z)$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Bayes' Rule})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Multiply by constant})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z)}\right] + \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Logarithms})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)}))$$
The expectation wrf. z (using encoder network) let us write nice KL terms

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 101

$$\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)})\right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z)$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Bayes' Rule})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Multiply by constant})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z)}\right] + \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Logarithms})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)}))$$

Lecture 17 - 102

November 21, 2024

Decoder network gives $p_{\theta}(x|z)$, can compute estimate of this term through sampling (need some trick to differentiate through sampling).

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

$$\begin{split} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) &= \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \right] & (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] & (\text{Bayes' Rule}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] & (\text{Multiply by constant}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) \right] - \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z)} \right] + \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] & (\text{Logarithms}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) \right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})) \\ & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \\ \text{Decoder network gives } p_{\theta}(x \mid z), \text{ can compute estimate of this term through sampling}. \\ \text{This KL term (between conserved form solution!} \\ \end{array}$$

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 103

$$\begin{split} \log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) &= \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] \quad (\text{Bayes' Rule}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] \quad (\text{Multiply by constant}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) \right] - \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z)} \right] + \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \right] \quad (\text{Logarithms}) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) \right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})) \\ & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \\ \text{Decoder network gives } p_{\theta}(x|z), \text{ can} \\ \text{compute estimate of this term through sampling).} & \text{This KL term (between} \\ \text{Gaussians for encoder and } z \\ \text{prior) has nice closed-form} \\ \text{solution!} & \text{Subtion!} \\ \end{array}$$

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 104

$$\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)})\right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z)$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Bayes' Rule})$$
We want to
maximize the
data
ikelihood
$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Multiply by constant})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z)}\right] + \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Logarithms})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)}))$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)}))$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)}))\right]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)}))\right]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z)) + D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)}))\right]$$

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 105

$$\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = \mathbf{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x^{(i)})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)})\right] \quad (p_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) \text{ Does not depend on } z)$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Bayes' Rule})$$
We want to
maximize the
data
likelihood
$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)p_{\theta}(z)}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})} \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Multiply by constant})$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z)}\right] + \mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log \frac{q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)})}{p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)})}\right] \quad (\text{Logarithms})$$

$$= \underbrace{\mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) || p_{\theta}(z))}_{-\mathcal{L}(x^{(i)}, \theta, \phi)} + \underbrace{D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) || p_{\theta}(z \mid x^{(i)}))}_{\geq 0}\right]$$

Tractable lower bound which we can take gradient of and optimize! ($p_{\theta}(x|z)$ differentiable, KL term is differentiable)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 106

Tractable lower bound which we can take gradient of and optimize! ($p_{\theta}(x|z)$ differentiable, KL term differentiable)

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 107

Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{E}_{z}\left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid\mid p_{\theta}(z))}_{-\mathcal{L}(x^{(i)}, \theta, \phi)}$$

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 108
Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{E}_{z}\left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid\mid p_{\theta}(z))}_{-\mathcal{L}(x^{(i)}, \theta, \phi)}$$

Let's look at computing the KL divergence between the estimated posterior and the prior given some data



Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{E}_{z}\left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid\mid p_{\theta}(z))}_{\mathcal{L}(x^{(i)}, \theta, \phi)}$$



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 110

Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound



Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 112

Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{E}_{z}\left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid\mid p_{\theta}(z))}_{\mathcal{L}(x^{(i)}, \theta, \phi)}$$

Reparameterization trick to make sampling differentiable:

Sample
$$\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I)$$
 $z = \mu_{z|x} + \epsilon \sigma_{z|x}$



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 113

Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound

$$\mathbf{E}_{z} \left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z) \right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid\mid p_{\theta}(z))$$
$$\mathcal{L}(x^{(i)}, \theta, \phi)$$

Reparameterization trick to make sampling differentiable:



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 114

Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 115



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 116

Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{E}_{z}\left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid\mid p_{\theta}(z))}_{\mathcal{L}(x^{(i)}, \theta, \phi)}$$

For every minibatch of input data: compute this forward pass, and then backprop!



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 117

Our assumption about data generation process

Sample from
true conditional \boldsymbol{x} $p_{\theta^*}(x \mid z^{(i)})$ $\boldsymbol{p}_{\text{Decoder}}$ Sample from
true prior
 $z^{(i)} \sim p_{\theta^*}(z)$ \boldsymbol{z}

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 118

Our assumption about data generationNow given a trained VAE:
use decoder network & sample z from prior!process \hat{r}



Sample z from $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$

November 21, 2024

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Use decoder network. Now sample z from prior!



Sample z from $\, z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I) \,$

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 120



Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Use decoder network. Now sample z from prior!

Data manifold for 2-d z



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 121



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 122



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 123





Labeled Faces in the Wild

32x32 CIFAR-10

Figures copyright (L) Dirk Kingma et al. 2016; (R) Anders Larsen et al. 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Lecture 17 - 124

November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

 Run input data through encoder to get a distribution over latent codes



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 125

- Run input data through encoder to get a distribution over latent codes
- 2. Sample code z from encoder output



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 126

- Run input data through encoder to get a distribution over latent codes
- 2. Sample code z from encoder output
- 3. Modify some dimensions of sampled code



November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

- Run input data through encoder to get a distribution over latent codes
- 2. Sample code z from encoder output
- 3. Modify some dimensions of sampled code
- 4. Run modified z through decoder to get a distribution over data sample



November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

- Run input data through encoder to get a distribution over latent codes
- 2. Sample code z from encoder output
- 3. Modify some dimensions of sampled code
- 4. Run modified z through decoder to get a distribution over data sample
- 5. Sample new data from (4)



November 21, 2024

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt



Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 130

Probabilistic spin to traditional autoencoders => allows generating data Defines an intractable density => derive and optimize a (variational) lower bound

Pros:

- Principled approach to generative models
- Interpretable latent space.
- Allows inference of q(z|x), can be useful feature representation for other tasks

Cons:

- Maximizes lower bound of likelihood: okay, but not as good evaluation as PixelRNN/PixelCNN
- Samples blurrier and lower quality compared to state-of-the-art (GANs)

Active areas of research:

- More flexible approximations, e.g. richer approximate posterior instead of diagonal Gaussian, e.g., Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs), Categorical Distributions.
- Learning disentangled representations.

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 131

Comparing the two methods so far

Autoregressive model

- Directly maximize p(data)
- High-quality generated images
- Slow to generate images
- No explicit latent codes

Variational model

Lecture 17 - 132

- Maximize lower bound on p(data)

November 21, 2024

- Generated images often blurry
- Very fast to generate images
- Learn rich latent codes

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Next time: GANs and diffusion

Ali Farhadi, Sarah Pratt

Lecture 17 - 133