Lecture 7: Training Neural Networks, Part II

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

23

Administrative:

- A1 grades will be released this weekend

Lecture 7 - 2

April 18, 2023

- Project proposal are due April 24th
- A2 is due Friday April 28th, 11:59pm

Administrative: assignments

- Submission:

- submit a version of your assignment the night before the deadline to make sure you have no gradescope submission issues
- Private test cases:
 - Gradescope contains private tests that we use to autograde your work

Lecture 7 - 3

April 18, 2023

- Modifying code:

- Do not modify code outside of the code blocks
- Do not leave print statements in your code

Last time: Activation Functions



Leaky ReLU $\max(0.1x, x)$



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Maxout} \\ \max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2) \end{array}$



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 4

April 18, 2023

Last time: Activation Functions



Leaky ReLU $\max(0.1x, x)$



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Maxout} \\ \max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2) \end{array}$



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 5 Apr

April 18, 2023

Last time: Weight Initialization



Initialization too small: Activations go to zero, gradients also zero, No learning =(

Initialization too big: Activations saturate (for tanh), Gradients zero, no learning =(

Initialization just right: Nice distribution of activations at all layers, Learning proceeds nicely =)

April 18, 2023

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 6

Last time: Data Preprocessing



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 7 April 18, 2023

Last Time: Batch Normalization

Input: $x: N \times D$

Learnable scale and shift parameters:

 $\gamma,eta:D$

Learning $\gamma = \sigma$, $\beta = \mu$ will recover the identity function!



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 8

April 18, 2023

[loffe and Szegedy, 2015]

Batch Normalization: Test-Time

Input: $x: N \times D$

$$\mu_j = \frac{(Running)}{Values}$$
 seen during training

(Dunning) average of

Per-channel mean, shape is D

Learnable scale and shift parameters:

 $\gamma, \beta: D$

During testing batchnorm becomes a linear operator! Can be fused with the previous fully-connected or conv layer $\sigma_j^2= \, \, {}_{
m values \, seen \, during \, training}$

Per-channel var, shape is D



$$y_{i,j} = \gamma_j \hat{x}_{i,j} + \beta_j$$

Normalized x, Shape is N x D

Output, Shape is N x D

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 9

April 18, 2023

Batch Normalization

[loffe and Szegedy, 2015]



Usually inserted after Fully Connected or Convolutional layers, and before nonlinearity.



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 10 April 18, 2023

Batch Normalization

FC BN tanh FC BN tanh

- Makes deep networks **much** easier to train!
- Improves gradient flow
- Allows higher learning rates, faster convergence
- Networks become more robust to initialization
- Acts as regularization during training
- Zero overhead at test-time: can be fused with conv!
- Behaves differently during training and testing: this is a very common source of bugs!

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 11 April 18, 2023

[loffe and Szegedy, 2015]

Batch Normalization for ConvNets

Batch Normalization for **fully-connected** networks

Batch Normalization for **convolutional** networks (Spatial Batchnorm, BatchNorm2D)

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 12

x: N × Dx: N×C×H×WNormalize \checkmark Normalize μ, σ : 1 × D μ, σ : 1×C×1×1 χ, β : 1 × D χ, β : 1×C×1×1 $\chi = \chi(x-\mu)/\sigma+\beta$ $\chi = \chi(x-\mu)/\sigma+\beta$

Layer Normalization

Batch Normalization for fully-connected networks



Ba, Kiros, and Hinton, "Layer Normalization", arXiv 2016

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Layer Normalization for

Lecture 7 - 13

fully-connected networks Same behavior at train and test! Can be used in recurrent networks

April 18, 2023

Instance Normalization

Batch Normalization for convolutional networks



Instance Normalization for

Same behavior at train / test!

convolutional networks

Lecture 7 - 14

April 18, 2023

Ulyanov et al, Improved Texture Networks: Maximizing Quality and Diversity in Feed-forward Stylization and Texture Synthesis, CVPR 2017

Comparison of Normalization Layers



Wu and He, "Group Normalization", ECCV 2018

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 15 April 18, 2023

Group Normalization



Wu and He, "Group Normalization", ECCV 2018

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 16 April 18, 2023

Today

- Improve your training error:
 - (Fancier) Optimizers
 - Learning rate schedules
- Improve your test error:
 - Regularization
 - Choosing Hyperparameters

Lecture 7 - 17

April 18, 2023

(Fancier) Optimizers

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 18

April 18, 2023

Optimization

Vanilla Gradient Descent

while True: weights_grad = evaluate_gradient(loss_fun, data, weights) weights += - step_size * weights_grad # perform parameter update



Lecture 7 - 19

W_1

April 18, 2023

Optimization: Problem #1 with SGD

What if loss changes quickly in one direction and slowly in another? What does gradient descent do?



Aside: Loss function has high **condition number**: ratio of largest to smallest singular value of the Hessian matrix is large

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 20 April 18, 2023

Optimization: Problem #1 with SGD

What if loss changes quickly in one direction and slowly in another? What does gradient descent do?

Very slow progress along shallow dimension, jitter along steep direction



Loss function has high **condition number**: ratio of largest to smallest singular value of the Hessian matrix is large

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 21 April 18, 2023

Optimization: Problem #2 with SGD

What if the loss function has a **local minima** or **saddle point**?



Optimization: Problem #2 with SGD

What if the loss function has a **local minima** or **saddle point**?

Zero gradient, gradient descent gets stuck



Optimization: Problem #2 with SGD

What if the loss function has a **local minima** or **saddle point**?

Saddle points much more common in high dimension

Dauphin et al, "Identifying and attacking the saddle point problem in high-dimensional non-convex optimization", NIPS 2014

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 24

Optimization: Problem #3 with SGD

Our gradients come from minibatches so they can be noisy!

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(x_i, y_i, W)$$

$$\nabla_W L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_W L_i(x_i, y_i, W)$$



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 25 April 18, 2023

SGD + Momentum

Local Minima Saddle points Poor Conditioning

Gradient Noise



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 26 April 18, 2023

SGD

SGD+Momentum

SGD: the simple two line update code

SGD

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$$

while True: dx = compute_gradient(x) x -= learning_rate * dx

SGD + Momentum: continue moving in the general direction as the previous iterations SGD SGD+Momentum

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$$

 $v_{t+1} = \rho v_t + \nabla f(x_t)$

April 18, 2023

 $x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha v_{t+1}$

Lecture 7 - 28

while True:

dx = compute_gradient(x)
x -= learning_rate * dx

- Build up "velocity" as a running mean of gradients
- Rho gives "friction"; typically rho=0.9 or 0.99

Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

SGD + Momentum: continue moving in the general direction as the previous iterations SGD SGD+Momentum

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$$

while True: dx = compute_gradient(x) x -= learning_rate * dx $v_{t+1} = \rho v_t + \nabla f(x_t)$ $x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha v_{t+1}$ vx = 0
while True:
dx = compute_gradient(x)
vx = rho * vx + dx
x -= learning_rate * vx

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 29

- Build up "velocity" as a running mean of gradients
- Rho gives "friction"; typically rho=0.9 or 0.99

Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

SGD + Momentum: alternative equivalent formulation

SGD+Momentum

 $v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$ $x_{t+1} = x_t + v_{t+1}$

vx = 0
while True:
 dx = compute_gradient(x)
 vx = rho * vx - learning_rate * dx
 x += vx

SGD+Momentum

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t + \nabla f(x_t)$$
$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha v_{t+1}$$

vx = 0
while True:
 dx = compute_gradient(x)
 vx = rho * vx + dx
 x -= learning_rate * vx

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 30

You may see SGD+Momentum formulated different ways, but they are equivalent - give same sequence of x

Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

SGD+Momentum

Momentum update:



Combine gradient at current point with velocity to get step used to update weights

Nesterov, "A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate O(1/k^2)", 1983 Nesterov, "Introductory lectures on convex optimization: a basic course", 2004 Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 31

Momentum update:



Nesterov Momentum



Combine gradient at current point with velocity to get step used to update weights

Nesterov, "A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate O(1/k^2)", 1983 Nesterov, "Introductory lectures on convex optimization: a basic course", 2004 Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013 "Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 32 April 18, 2023

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t + \rho v_t)$$
$$x_{t+1} = x_t + v_{t+1}$$



"Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 33 April 18, 2023

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t + \rho v_t)$$
$$x_{t+1} = x_t + v_{t+1}$$

Annoying, usually we want update in terms of $x_t, \nabla f(x_t)$



"Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 34 April 18, 2023

Change of variables $\tilde{x}_t = |x_t| + \rho v_t$ and

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t + \rho v_t)$$

$$x_{t+1} = x_t + v_{t+1}$$
Annoying update in

Annoying, usually we want update in terms of $x_t,
abla f(x_t)$



"Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

rearrange:

Lecture 7 - 35 April 18, 2023

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t + \rho v_t)$$
$$x_{t+1} = x_t + v_{t+1}$$

Change of variables $\tilde{x}_t = x_t + \rho v_t$ and

 $\tilde{x}_{t+1} = \tilde{x}_t - \rho v_t + (1+\rho)v_{t+1}$

 $= \tilde{x}_t + v_{t+1} + \rho(v_{t+1} - v_t)$

Annoying, usually we want update in terms of x_t , $\nabla f(x_t)$



"Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

 $v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(\tilde{x}_t)$

rearrange:

Lecture 7 - 36 April 18, 2023
Nesterov Momentum



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 37 April 18, 2023

grad_squared = 0
while True:
 dx = compute_gradient(x)
 grad_squared += dx * dx
 x -= learning_rate * dx / (np.sqrt(grad_squared) + 1e-7)

Added element-wise scaling of the gradient based on the historical sum of squares in each dimension

Lecture 7 - 38

April 18, 2023

"Per-parameter learning rates" or "adaptive learning rates"

Duchi et al, "Adaptive subgradient methods for online learning and stochastic optimization", JMLR 2011





Lecture 7 - 39

April 18, 2023

Q: What happens with AdaGrad?



Q: What happens with AdaGrad?

Progress along "steep" directions is damped; progress along "flat" directions is accelerated

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 40 April 18, 2023





Q2: What happens to the step size over long time?

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 41 April 18, 2023



Q2: What happens to the step size over long time? Decays to zero

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 42 April 18, 2023

RMSProp: "Leaky AdaGrad"



Tieleman and Hinton, 2012

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 43 April 18, 2023

RMSProp



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 44

Adam (almost)

```
first_moment = 0
second_moment = 0
while True:
    dx = compute_gradient(x)
    first_moment = beta1 * first_moment + (1 - beta1) * dx
    second_moment = beta2 * second_moment + (1 - beta2) * dx * dx
    x -= learning_rate * first_moment / (np.sqrt(second_moment) + 1e-7))
```

Lecture 7 - 45

April 18, 2023

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam (almost)



Lecture 7 - 46

April 18, 2023

Sort of like RMSProp with momentum

Q: What happens at first timestep?

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam (full form)



Lecture 7 - 47

April 18, 2023

Bias correction for the fact that first and second moment estimates start at zero

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam (full form)



Bias correction for the fact that first and second moment estimates start at zero

Adam with beta1 = 0.9, beta2 = 0.999, and learning_rate = 1e-3 or 5e-4 is a great starting point for many models!

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 48

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 49 April 18, 2023

L2 Regularization vs Weight DecayOptimization AlgorithmL2 Regularization $L(w) = L_{data}(w) + L_{reg}(w)$ $L(w) = L_{data}(w) + \lambda |w|^2$ $g_t = \nabla L(w_t)$ $L(w) = VL_{data}(w) + \lambda |w|^2$ $g_t = \nabla L(w_t)$ $g_t = \nabla L(w_t) = \nabla L_{data}(w_t) + 2\lambda w_t$ $s_t = optimizer(g_t)$ $s_t = optimizer(g_t)$ $w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha s_t$ $w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha s_t$

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 50

L2 Regularization vs Weight DecayOptimization AlgorithmL2 Regularizat $L(w) = L_{data}(w) + L_{reg}(w)$ $L(w) = L_{data}$ $g_t = \nabla L(w_t)$ $g_t = \nabla L(w_t)$ $s_t = optimizer(g_t)$ $s_t = optimizer$ $w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha s_t$ $w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha$

L2 Regularization and Weight Decay are equivalent for SGD, SGD+Momentum so people often use the terms interchangeably!

L2 Regularization $L(w) = L_{data}(w) + \lambda |w|^{2}$ $g_{t} = \nabla L(w_{t}) = \nabla L_{data}(w_{t}) + 2\lambda w_{t}$

$$s_t = optimizer(g_t)$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha s_t$$

Weight Decay $L(w) = L_{data}(w)$ $g_t = \nabla L_{data}(w_t)$ $s_t = optimizer(g_t) + 2\lambda w_t$ $w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha s_t$

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 51 A

L2 Regularization vs Weight Decay **Optimization Algorithm** $L(w) = L_{data}(w) + L_{reg}(w)$ $g_t = \nabla L(w_t)$ $s_t = optimizer(q_t)$ $w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha s_t$

L2 Regularization and Weight Decay are equivalent for SGD, SGD+Momentum so people often use the terms interchangeably!

But they are not the same for adaptive methods (AdaGrad, RMSProp, Adam, etc)

L2 Regularization $L(w) = L_{data}(w) + \lambda |w|^2$

$$g_{t} = \nabla L(w_{t}) = \nabla L_{data}(w_{t}) + 2\lambda w_{t}$$

$$s_{t} = optimizer(g_{t})$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_{t} - \alpha s_{t}$$

Weight Decay $L(w) = L_{data}(w)$ $g_t = \nabla L_{data}(w_t)$ $s_t = optimizer(q_t) + 2\lambda w_t$ $w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha s_t$

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 52

AdamW: Decoupled Weight Decay

Algorithm 2 Adam with L₂ regularization and Adam with decoupled weight decay (AdamW)

- 1: given $\alpha = 0.001, \beta_1 = 0.9, \beta_2 = 0.999, \epsilon = 10^{-8}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$
- 2: initialize time step $t \leftarrow 0$, parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t=0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, first moment vector $\boldsymbol{m}_{t=0} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}$, second moment vector $\boldsymbol{v}_{t=0} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}$, schedule multiplier $\eta_{t=0} \in \mathbb{R}$
- 3: repeat
- 4: $t \leftarrow t+1$
- 5: $\nabla f_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1}) \leftarrow \text{SelectBatch}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})$
- 6: $\boldsymbol{g}_t \leftarrow \nabla f_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1}) + \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1}$
- 7: $\boldsymbol{m}_t \leftarrow \beta_1 \boldsymbol{m}_{t-1} + (1-\beta_1) \boldsymbol{g}_t$
- 8: $\mathbf{v}_t \leftarrow \beta_2 \mathbf{v}_{t-1} + (1 \beta_2) \mathbf{g}_t^2$ 9: $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_t \leftarrow \mathbf{m}_t / (1 - \beta_1^t)$
- $\begin{array}{ll} 9: & \boldsymbol{m}_t \leftarrow \boldsymbol{m}_t / (1 \beta_1) \\ 10: & \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_t \leftarrow \boldsymbol{v}_t / (1 \beta_2^t) \end{array}$
- 10: $v_t \leftarrow v_t/(1-\beta_2)$
- 11: $\eta_t \leftarrow \text{SetScheduleMultiplier}(t)$
- 12: $\boldsymbol{\theta}_t \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1} \eta_t \left(\alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_t / (\sqrt{\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_t} + \epsilon) + \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1} \right)$
- 13: **until** stopping criterion is met
- 14: return optimized parameters θ_t

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

▷ select batch and return the corresponding gradient

▷ here and below all operations are element-wise

 $\triangleright \beta_1 \text{ is taken to the power of } t$ $\triangleright \beta_2 \text{ is taken to the power of } t$ $\triangleright \text{ can be fixed, decay, or also be used for warm restarts}$

Lecture 7 - 53

First-Order Optimization



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 54 April 18, 2023

First-Order Optimization



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 55 April 18, 2023



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 56 April 18, 2023

second-order Taylor expansion:

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{\top} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{\top} \boldsymbol{H} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

Lecture 7 - 57

April 18, 2023

Solving for the critical point we obtain the Newton parameter update:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

Q: Why is this bad for deep learning?

second-order Taylor expansion:

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^\top \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{H} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

Solving for the critical point we obtain the Newton parameter update:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

Hessian has O(N²) elements Inverting takes O(N³) N = (Tens or Hundreds of) Millions

Lecture 7 - 58

April 18, 2023

Q: Why is this bad for deep learning?

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

April 18, 2023

 Quasi-Newton methods (BGFS most popular): instead of inverting the Hessian (O(n^3)), approximate inverse Hessian with rank 1 updates over time (O(n^2) each).

Lecture 7 - 59

- L-BFGS (Limited memory BFGS): Does not form/store the full inverse Hessian.

L-BFGS

- Usually works very well in full batch, deterministic mode i.e. if you have a single, deterministic f(x) then L-BFGS will probably work very nicely
- Does not transfer very well to mini-batch setting. Gives bad results. Adapting second-order methods to large-scale, stochastic setting is an active area of research.

Lecture 7 - 60

April 18, 2023

Le et al, "On optimization methods for deep learning, ICML 2011" Ba et al, "Distributed second-order optimization using Kronecker-factored approximations", ICLR 2017

In practice:

- AdamW should probably be your "default" optimizer for new problems
- Adam is a good second choice in many cases; it often works ok even with constant learning rate
- SGD+Momentum can outperform Adam but may require more tuning of LR and schedule
 - Try cosine schedule, very few hyperparameters!

Lecture 7 - 61

Learning rate schedules

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati



SGD, SGD+Momentum, Adagrad, RMSProp, Adam all have **learning rate** as a hyperparameter.



Q: Which one of these learning rates is best to use?

Lecture 7 - 63

April 18, 2023

SGD, SGD+Momentum, Adagrad, RMSProp, Adam all have **learning rate** as a hyperparameter.



Q: Which one of these learning rates is best to use?

A: In reality, all of these are good learning rates.

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 64



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 65 April 18, 2023



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 66 April 18, 2023



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 67 April 18, 2023



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 68 April 18, 2023



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 69 April 18, 2023



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 70 April 18, 2023



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 71 April 18, 2023



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 72 April 18, 2023
Phases of learning...



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 73 April 18, 2023

Learning rate decays over time



Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 74 April 18, 2023

Phases of learning...



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 75 April 18, 2023

Phases of learning...



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 76 April 18, 2023



Loshchilov and Hutter, "SGDR: Stochastic Gradient Descent with Warm Restarts", ICLR 2017 Radford et al, "Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training", 2018 Feichtenhofer et al, "SlowFast Networks for Video Recognition", arXiv 2018 Child at al, "Generating Long Sequences with Sparse Transformers", arXiv 2019

Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Cosine:
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T) \right)$$

 α_0 : Initial learning rate

- $lpha_t$: Learning rate at epoch t
 - T : Total number of epochs

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 77 April 18, 2023



Loshchilov and Hutter, "SGDR: Stochastic Gradient Descent with Warm Restarts", ICLR 2017 Radford et al, "Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training", 2018 Feichtenhofer et al, "SlowFast Networks for Video Recognition", arXiv 2018 Child at al, "Generating Long Sequences with Sparse Transformers", arXiv 2019

Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Cosine:
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T) \right)$$

 α_0 : Initial learning rate

- $lpha_t$: Learning rate at epoch t
 - T : Total number of epochs

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 78 April 18, 2023



Devlin et al, "BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding", 2018

Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Cosine:
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 \left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T)\right)$$

inear:
$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0(1 - t/T)$$

 $lpha_0$: Initial learning rate

- $lpha_t$: Learning rate at epoch t
 - T: Total number of epochs

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 79 April 18, 2023



Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Cosine:
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T)\right)$$

Linear: $\alpha_t = \alpha_0 (1 - t/T)$

Inverse sqrt:
$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0/\sqrt{t}$$

 $lpha_0$: Initial learning rate $lpha_t$: Learning rate at epoch t T : Total number of epochs

Vaswani et al, "Attention is all you need", NIPS 2017

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 80 April 18, 2023



Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Cosine:
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T)\right)$$

Linear: $\alpha_t = \alpha_0 (1 - t/T)$

Inverse sqrt: $\alpha_t = \alpha_0 / \sqrt{t}$

Lecture 7 - 81

Constant: $\alpha_t = \alpha_0$

Vaswani et al, "Attention is all you need", NIPS 2017

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Learning Rate Decay: Linear Warmup



High initial learning rates can make loss explode; linearly increasing learning rate from 0 over the first ~5000 iterations can prevent this

Empirical rule of thumb: If you increase the batch size by N, also scale the initial learning rate by N

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 82

Goyal et al, "Accurate, Large Minibatch SGD: Training ImageNet in 1 Hour", arXiv 2017

From yesterday: with cosine and warmup



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 83 April 18, 2023

Improve test error

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 84



Beyond Training Error



Better optimization algorithms help reduce training loss

But we really care about error on new data - how to reduce the gap?

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 85 April 18, 2023

Early Stopping: Always do this



Stop training the model when accuracy on the validation set decreases Or train for a long time, but always keep track of the model snapshot that worked best on val

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 86 April 18, 2023

Model Ensembles

- 1. Train multiple independent models
- 2. At test time average their results

(Take average of predicted probability distributions, then choose argmax)

Lecture 7 - 87

April 18, 2023

Enjoy 2% extra performance

How to improve single-model performance?



Regularization

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 88

Regularization: Add term to loss

$$L = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j
eq y_i} \max(0, f(x_i; W)_j - f(x_i; W)_{y_i} + 1) + \lambda R(W)$$

In common use:L2 regularization $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$ (Weight decay)L1 regularization $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l |W_{k,l}|$ Elastic net (L1 + L2) $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l \beta W_{k,l}^2 + |W_{k,l}|$

Lecture 7 - 89

April 18, 2023

In each forward pass, randomly set some neurons to zero Probability of dropping is a hyperparameter; 0.5 is common





April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 90

Srivastava et al, "Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting", JMLR 2014

p = 0.5 # probability of keeping a unit active. higher = less dropout

```
def train_step(X):
    """ X contains the data """
```

```
# forward pass for example 3-layer neural network
H1 = np.maximum(0, np.dot(W1, X) + b1)
U1 = np.random.rand(*H1.shape) H1 *= U1 # drop!
H2 = np.maximum(0, np.dot(W2, H1) + b2)
U2 = np.random.rand(*H2.shape) H2 *= U2 # drop!
out = np.dot(W3, H2) + b3
```

backward pass: compute gradients... (not shown)
perform parameter update... (not shown)

Example forward pass with a 3-layer network using dropout



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 91 April 18, 2023

How can this possibly be a good idea?



Forces the network to have a redundant representation; Prevents co-adaptation of features



Lecture 7 - 92

April 18, 2023

How can this possibly be a good idea?



Another interpretation:

Dropout is training a large **ensemble** of models (that share parameters).

Each binary mask is one model

An FC layer with 4096 units has $2^{4096} \sim 10^{1233}$ possible masks! Only ~ 10^{82} atoms in the universe...

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 93 April 18, 2023

Dropout makes our output random!



April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 94

Want to "average out" the randomness at test-time

$$y = f(x) = E_z \left[f(x, z) \right] = \int p(z) f(x, z) dz$$

But this integral seems hard ...

Want to approximate the integral

$$y = f(x) = E_z \left[f(x, z) \right] = \int p(z) f(x, z) dz$$

Consider a single neuron.



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 95 April 18, 2023

Want to approximate the integral

$$y = f(x) = E_z [f(x, z)] = \int p(z) f(x, z) dz$$

Lecture 7 - 96

April 18, 2023

Consider a single neuron.



At test time we have:
$$E[a] = w_1 x + w_2 y_3$$

Want to approximate the integral

$$y = f(x) = E_z \left[f(x, z) \right] = \int p(z) f(x, z) dz$$

April 18, 2023

Consider a single neuron.



At test time we have: $E[a] = w_1 x + w_2 y$ During training we have: $E[a] = \frac{1}{4}(w_1 x + w_2 y) + \frac{1}{4}(w_1 x + 0y) + \frac{1}{4}(0x + w_2 y) + \frac{1}{4}(0x + w_2 y) = \frac{1}{2}(w_1 x + w_2 y)$

Lecture 7 - 97

Want to approximate the integral

$$y = f(x) = E_z \left[f(x, z) \right] = \int p(z) f(x, z) dz$$

Consider a single neuron.



At test time we have: $E[a] = w_1 x + w_2 y$ During training we have: $E[a] = \frac{1}{4}(w_1 x + w_2 y) + \frac{1}{4}(w_1 x + 0y)$ At test time, **multiply** by dropout probability $= \frac{1}{2}(w_1 x + w_2 y)$

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 98

```
def predict(X):
```

```
# ensembled forward pass
H1 = np.maximum(0, np.dot(W1, X) + b1) * p # NOTE: scale the activations
H2 = np.maximum(0, np.dot(W2, H1) + b2) * p # NOTE: scale the activations
out = np.dot(W3, H2) + b3
```

At test time all neurons are active always => We must scale the activations so that for each neuron: <u>output at test time</u> = <u>expected output at training time</u>

Lecture 7 - 99

""" Vanilla Dropout: Not recommended implementation (see notes below) """

p = 0.5 # probability of keeping a unit active. higher = less dropout



Lecture 7 - 100

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Dropout Summary

More common: "Inverted dropout"

p = 0.5 # probability of keeping a unit active. higher = less dropout



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 101 April 18, 2023

Regularization: A common pattern

Training: Add some kind of randomness

$$y = f_W(x, z)$$

Testing: Average out randomness (sometimes approximate)

$$y = f(x) = E_z \left[f(x, z) \right] = \int p(z) f(x, z) dz$$

Lecture 7 - 102

April 18, 2023

Regularization: A common pattern

Training: Add some kind of randomness

$$y = f_W(x, z)$$

Testing: Average out randomness (sometimes approximate)

$$y = f(x) = E_z \left[f(x, z) \right] = \int p(z) f(x, z) dz$$

Example: Batch Normalization

Training:

Normalize using stats from random minibatches

Testing: Use fixed stats to normalize

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 103 April 18, 2023

Regularization: Data Augmentation



Lecture 7 - 104

April 18, 2023

Regularization: Data Augmentation



Lecture 7 - 105

April 18, 2023

Transform image

Data Augmentation Horizontal Flips





Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 106 April 18, 2023

Data Augmentation Random crops and scales

Training: sample random crops / scales ResNet:

- 1. Pick random L in range [256, 480]
- 2. Resize training image, short side = L
- 3. Sample random 224 x 224 patch



April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 107

Data Augmentation Random crops and scales

Training: sample random crops / scales ResNet:

- 1. Pick random L in range [256, 480]
- 2. Resize training image, short side = L
- 3. Sample random 224 x 224 patch



Testing: average a fixed set of crops ResNet:

- 1. Resize image at 5 scales: {224, 256, 384, 480, 640}
- 2. For each size, use 10 224 x 224 crops: 4 corners + center, + flips

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 108 April 18, 2023
Data Augmentation Color Jitter

Simple: Randomize contrast and brightness



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 109 April 18, 2023

Data Augmentation Color Jitter

Simple: Randomize contrast and brightness





More Complex:

- 1. Apply PCA to all [R, G, B] pixels in training set
- 2. Sample a "color offset" along principal component directions
- 1. Add offset to all pixels of a training image

(As seen in [Krizhevsky et al. 2012], ResNet, etc)

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 110

Data Augmentation

- Get creative for your problem!
 - Examples of data augmentations:
 - translation
 - rotation
 - stretching
 - shearing,
 - lens distortions, ... (go crazy)

Lecture 7 - 111

April 18, 2023

Automatic Data Augmentation



Lecture 7 - 112

April 18, 2023

Cubuk et al., "AutoAugment: Learning Augmentation Strategies from Data", CVPR 2019

Regularization: A common pattern

Lecture 7 - 113

April 18, 2023

Training: Add random noise **Testing**: Marginalize over the noise

Examples:

Dropout Batch Normalization Data Augmentation

Regularization: DropConnect

Training: Drop connections between neurons (set weights to 0) **Testing**: Use all the connections

Examples:

Dropout Batch Normalization Data Augmentation DropConnect



Lecture 7 - 114



April 18, 2023

Wan et al, "Regularization of Neural Networks using DropConnect", ICML 2013

Regularization: Fractional Pooling Training: Use randomized pooling regions Testing: Average predictions from several regions

Examples:

Dropout Batch Normalization Data Augmentation DropConnect Fractional Max Pooling



Lecture 7 - 115

April 18, 2023

Graham, "Fractional Max Pooling", arXiv 2014

Regularization: Stochastic Depth

Training: Skip some layers in the network **Testing**: Use all the layer

Examples:

Dropout Batch Normalization Data Augmentation DropConnect Fractional Max Pooling Stochastic Depth (will become more clear in next week's lecture)

Huang et al, "Deep Networks with Stochastic Depth", ECCV 2016

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 116 April 18, 2023

Regularization: Cutout Training: Set random image regions to zero Testing: Use full image

Examples:

Dropout Batch Normalization Data Augmentation DropConnect Fractional Max Pooling Stochastic Depth Cutout / Random Crop

DeVries and Taylor, "Improved Regularization of Convolutional Neural Networks with Cutout", arXiv 2017



Works very well for small datasets like CIFAR, less common for large datasets like ImageNet

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 117 April 18, 2023

Regularization: Mixup Training: Train on random blends of images Testing: Use original images

Examples:

Dropout Batch Normalization Data Augmentation DropConnect Fractional Max Pooling Stochastic Depth Cutout / Random Crop Mixup







CNN Target label: cat: 0.4 dog: 0.6

April 18, 2023

Randomly blend the pixels of pairs of training images, e.g. 40% cat, 60% dog

Lecture 7 - 118

Zhang et al, "mixup: Beyond Empirical Risk Minimization", ICLR 2018

Regularization: CutMix Training: Train on random blends of images Testing: Use original images

Examples:

Dropout Batch Normalization Data Augmentation DropConnect Fractional Max Pooling Stochastic Depth Cutout / Random Crop Mixup

Yun et al, "CutMix: Regularization Strategies to Train Strong Classifiers with Localizable Features", ICCV 2019







Target label: cat: 0.4 dog: 0.6

April 18, 2023

CNN

Replace random crops of one image with another: e.g. 60% of pixels from cat, 40% from dog

Lecture 7 - 119

Regularization: Label Smoothing Training: Change target distribution Testing: Take argmax over predictions

Examples:

Dropout **Batch Normalization** Data Augmentation DropConnect Fractional Max Pooling **Stochastic Depth** Cutout / Random Crop Mixup Label Smoothing



Standard Training:
Cat: 100%
Dog: 0%
Fish: 0%

Label Smoothing: Cat: 90% Dog: 5% Fish: 5%

April 18, 2023

Set target distribution to be $1 - \frac{K-1}{K}\epsilon$ on the correct category and ϵ/K on all other categories, with *K* categories and $\epsilon \in 0,1$. Loss is cross-entropy between predicted and target distribution.

Szegedy et al, "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision". CVPR 2015

Lecture 7 - 120



Regularization - In practice Training: Add random noise Testing: Marginalize over the noise

Examples:

Dropout Batch Normalization Data Augmentation

DropConnect Fractional Max Pooling Stochastic Depth Cutout / Random Crop Mixup

- Use **dropout** for large fully-connected layers
- Using batchnorm is always a good idea
- Try Cutout, MixUp, CutMix,
 Stochastic Depth, Label Smoothing to squeeze out a bit of extra performance

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 121 April 18, 2023



Choosing Hyperparameters: Grid Search

Choose several values for each hyperparameter (Often space choices log-linearly)

Example:

Weight decay: [1x10⁻⁴, 1x10⁻³, 1x10⁻², 1x10⁻¹] Learning rate: [1x10⁻⁴, 1x10⁻³, 1x10⁻², 1x10⁻¹]

Evaluate all possible choices on this hyperparameter grid

Lecture 7 -

April 18, 2023

Choosing Hyperparameters: Random search

Lecture 7 -

April 18, 2023

Choose several values for each hyperparameter (Often space choices log-linearly)

Example:

Weight decay: **log-uniform** on $[1x10^{-4}, 1x10^{-1}]$ Learning rate: **log-uniform** on $[1x10^{-4}, 1x10^{-1}]$

Run many different trials

Random Search vs. Grid Search

Random Search for Hyper-Parameter Optimization Bergstra and Bengio, 2012

<u>Grid Layout</u>





Illustration of Bergstra et al., 2012 by Shayne Longpre, copyright CS231n 2017

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 125 April 18, 2023

Choosing Hyperparameters (without tons of GPUs)

April 18, 2023

Lecture 7 - 126

Step 1: Check initial loss

Turn off weight decay, sanity check loss at initialization e.g. log(C) for softmax with C classes

Lecture 7 - 127

April 18, 2023

Step 1: Check initial loss
Step 2: Overfit a small sample

Try to train to 100% training accuracy on a small sample of training data (~5-10 minibatches); fiddle with architecture, learning rate, weight initialization

Loss not going down? LR too low, bad initialization Loss explodes to Inf or NaN? LR too high, bad initialization

Lecture 7 - 128

April 18, 2023

Step 1: Check initial lossStep 2: Overfit a small sampleStep 3: Find LR that makes loss go down

Use the architecture from the previous step, use all training data, turn on small weight decay, find a learning rate that makes the loss drop significantly within ~100 iterations

Lecture 7 - 129

April 18, 2023

Good learning rates to try: 1e-1, 1e-2, 1e-3, 1e-4

Step 1: Check initial loss
Step 2: Overfit a small sample
Step 3: Find LR that makes loss go down
Step 4: Coarse grid, train for ~1-5 epochs

Choose a few values of learning rate and weight decay around what worked from Step 3, train a few models for \sim 1-5 epochs.

Lecture 7 - 130

April 18, 2023

Good weight decay to try: 1e-4, 1e-5, 0

- Step 1: Check initial loss
- Step 2: Overfit a small sample
- Step 3: Find LR that makes loss go down
- Step 4: Coarse grid, train for ~1-5 epochs
- Step 5: Refine grid, train longer

Pick best models from Step 4, train them for longer (~10-20 epochs) without learning rate decay

Lecture 7 - 131

April 18, 2023

- Step 1: Check initial loss
- Step 2: Overfit a small sample
- Step 3: Find LR that makes loss go down
- Step 4: Coarse grid, train for ~1-5 epochs
- Step 5: Refine grid, train longer
- Step 6: Look at loss and accuracy curves

Lecture 7 - 132

April 18, 2023



Lecture 7 - 133 April 18, 2023



Lecture 7 - 134 April 18, 2023



Lecture 7 - 135 April 18, 2023

Look at learning curves!



Lecture 7 - 136

April 18, 2023

Losses may be noisy, use a scatter plot and also plot moving average to see trends better



Lecture 7 - 137 April 18, 2023



Lecture 7 - 138 April 18, 2023



Lecture 7 - 139 April 18, 2023

Cross-validation

We develop "command centers" to visualize all our models training with different hyperparameters

check out <u>weights</u> and biases



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 140 April 18, 2023

You can plot all your loss curves for different hyperparameters on a single plot



Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 141 April 18, 2023

- Step 1: Check initial loss
- Step 2: Overfit a small sample
- Step 3: Find LR that makes loss go down
- Step 4: Coarse grid, train for ~1-5 epochs
- Step 5: Refine grid, train longer
- Step 6: Look at loss and accuracy curves
- Step 7: GOTO step 5

Lecture 7 - 142 April 18, 2023

Hyperparameters to play with:

- learning rate,
- Its decay schedule, update type
- regularization (L2/Dropout strength)

This image by Paolo Guereta is licensed under <u>CC-BY 2.0</u>

Lecture 7 - 143

April 18, 2023

Summary

- Improve your training error:
 - Optimizers
 - Learning rate schedules
- Improve your test error:
 - Regularization
 - Choosing Hyperparameters

Lecture 7 - 144

April 18, 2023
Next time: Visualizing and understanding neural networks

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 145 April 18, 2023

Model Ensembles: Tips and Tricks

Instead of training independent models, use multiple snapshots of a single model during training!

Lecture 7 - 146

April 18, 2023



Loshchilov and Hutter, "SGDR: Stochastic gradient descent with restarts", arXiv 2016 Huang et al, "Snapshot ensembles: train 1, get M for free", ICLR 2017 Figures copyright Yixuan Li and Geoff Pleiss, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Model Ensembles: Tips and Tricks

Instead of training independent models, use multiple snapshots of a single model during training!



Loshchilov and Hutter, "SGDR: Stochastic gradient descent with restarts", arXiv 2016 Huang et al, "Snapshot ensembles: train 1, get M for free", ICLR 2017 Figures copyright Yixuan Li and Geoff Pleiss, 2017. Reproduced with permission.



Lecture 7 - 147

Cyclic learning rate schedules can make this work even better!

April 18, 2023

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Model Ensembles: Tips and Tricks

Instead of using actual parameter vector, keep a moving average of the parameter vector and use that at test time (Polyak averaging)



Lecture 7 - 148

April 18, 2023

Polyak and Juditsky, "Acceleration of stochastic approximation by averaging", SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 1992.

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Track the ratio of weight updates / weight magnitudes:

```
# assume parameter vector W and its gradient vector dW
param_scale = np.linalg.norm(W.ravel())
update = -learning_rate*dW # simple SGD update
update_scale = np.linalg.norm(update.ravel())
W += update # the actual update
print update_scale / param_scale # want ~le-3
```

ratio between the updates and values: ~ 0.0002 / 0.02 = 0.01 (about okay) want this to be somewhere around 0.001 or so

Ranjay Krishna, Aditya Kusupati

Lecture 7 - 149 April 18, 2023