Natural Language Processing (CSE 490U): Dependency Structure

Noah Smith

© 2017

University of Washington nasmith@cs.washington.edu

February 17, 2017

1/16

Dependencies

Informally, you can think of **dependency** structures as a transformation of phrase-structures that

- maintains the word-to-word relationships induced by lexicalization,
- adds labels to them, and
- eliminates the phrase categories.

There are also linguistic theories built on dependencies (??), as well as treebanks corresponding to those.

► Free(r)-word order languages (e.g., Czech)

Dependency Tree: Definition

Let $x = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ be a sentence. Add a special ROOT symbol as " x_0 ."

A dependency tree consists of a set of tuples $\langle p,c,\ell\rangle$, where

- $p \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ is the index of a parent
- $c \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is the index of a child
- $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ is a label

Different annotation schemes define different label sets \mathcal{L} , and different constraints on the set of tuples. Most commonly:

- The tuple is represented as a directed edge from x_p to x_c with label ℓ .
- ► The directed edges form an arborescence (directed tree) with x_0 as the root.



Phrase-structure tree.



Phrase-structure tree with heads.



Phrase-structure tree with heads, lexicalized.



"Bare bones" dependency tree.







The bugbear of dependency syntax: coordination structures.



Make the first conjunct the head?



Make the coordinating conjunction the head?



Make the second conjunct the head?

Dependency Schemes

- Transform the treebank: define "head rules" that can select the head child of any node in a phrase-structure tree and label the dependencies.
- More powerful, less local rule sets, possibly collapsing some words into arc labels.
 - ► Stanford dependencies are a popular example (?).
- Direct annotation.

Dependencies and Grammar

Context-free grammars can be used to encode dependency structures.

For every head word and constellation of dependent children:

 $\begin{array}{rrr} N_{head} & \to & N_{leftmost-sibling} \ \dots \ N_{head} \ \dots \ N_{rightmost-sibling} \\ \\ \mbox{And for every head word: } N_{head} \ \to \ head \end{array}$

A **bilexical** dependency grammar binarizes the dependents, generating only one per rule, usually "outward" from the head.

Such a grammar can produce only **projective** trees, which are (informally) trees in which the arcs don't cross.

Nonprojective Example

