CSE 484 / CSE M 584: Hash Functions + Asymmetric Cryptography

Winter 2024

Tadayoshi (Yoshi) Kohno yoshi@cs

UW Instruction Team: David Kohlbrenner, Yoshi Kohno, Franziska Roesner. Thanks to Dan Boneh, Dieter Gollmann, Dan Halperin, John Manferdelli, John Mitchell, Vitaly Shmatikov, Bennet Yee, and many others for sample slides and materials ...

#### Announcements

- HW2: Due tomorrow (extended)
- Wednesday, Feb 7 (Zoom)
  - Guest Lecture: Gennie Gebhart (EFF + UW)
- Friday, Feb 23 (in person)
  - Guest Lecture: Jim O'Leary (Signal)

#### (Review) Hash Functions: Main Idea



Hash function H is a lossy compression function

– Collision: h(x)=h(x') for distinct inputs x, x'

• H(x) should look "random"

- Every bit (almost) equally likely to be 0 or 1

• <u>Cryptographic</u> hash function needs a few properties...

## Hashing vs. Encryption

- Hashing is one-way. There is no "un-hashing"
  - A ciphertext can be decrypted with a decryption key... hashes have no equivalent of "decryption"
- Hash(x) looks "random" but can be compared for equality with Hash(x')
  - Hash the same input twice  $\rightarrow$  same hash value
  - Encrypt the same input twice  $\rightarrow$  different ciphertexts
- Crytographic hashes are also known as "cryptographic checksums" or "message digests"

## **Application: Password Hashing**

- Instead of user password, store <a href="https://www.store.com">hash(password)</a>
- When user enters a password, compute its hash and compare with the entry in the password file
- Why is hashing better than encryption here?
- System does not store actual passwords
- Don't need to worry about where to store the key
- Cannot go from hash to password

## **Application: Password Hashing**

- Which property do we need?
  - One-wayness?
  - (At least weak) Collision resistance?
  - Both?

• This is not the whole story on password storage; we'll return to this later in the course.



<u>Goal</u>: Software manufacturer wants to ensure file is received by users without modification.

<u>Idea:</u> given goodFile and hash(goodFile), very hard to find badFile such that hash(goodFile)=hash(badFile)

## **Application: Software Integrity**

- Which property do we need?
  - One-wayness?
  - (At least weak) Collision resistance?
  - Both?

#### Which Property Do We Need?

#### **One-wayness, Collision Resistance, Weak CR?**

- UNIX passwords stored as hash(password)
  - **One-wayness:** hard to recover the/a valid password
- Integrity of software distribution
  - Weak collision resistance
  - But software images are not really random... may need full collision resistance if considering malicious developers

#### **Common Hash Functions**

- SHA-2: SHA-256, SHA-512, SHA-224, SHA-384
- SHA-3: standard released by NIST in August 2015
- MD5 Don't use for security!
  - 128-bit output
  - Designed by Ron Rivest, used very widely
  - Collision-resistance broken (summer of 2004)
- SHA-1 (Secure Hash Algorithm) Don't use for security!
  - 160-bit output
  - US government (NIST) standard as of 1993-95
  - Theoretically broken 2005; practical attack 2017!

### **Recall: Achieving Integrity**

Message authentication schemes: A tool for protecting integrity.



Integrity and authentication: only someone who knows KEY can compute correct MAC for a given message.

CSE 484 - Winter 2024

#### **MAC with SHA3**

- SHA<sub>3</sub>(Key || Message)
- Nice and simple 🙂
- Previous hash functions couldn't quite be used in this way (see: length extension attack)
  - HMAC construction (FYI)
- Why not encryption? (Historical reasons)
  - Hashing is faster than block ciphers in software
  - Can easily replace one hash function with another
  - There used to be US export restrictions on encryption

# Flavors of Cryptography

- Symmetric cryptography
  - Both communicating parties have access to a shared random string K, called the key.
- Asymmetric cryptography
  - Each party creates a public key pk and a secret key sk.

#### **Asymmetric Setting**

Each party creates a public key pk and a secret key sk.



#### Public Key Crypto: Basic Problem



<u>Goals</u>: 1. Alice wants to send a secret message to Bob 2. Bob wants to authenticate themself

## **Applications of Public Key Crypto**

- Encryption for confidentiality
  - <u>Anyone</u> can encrypt a message
    - With symmetric crypto, must know secret key to encrypt
  - Only someone who knows private key can decrypt
  - Key management is simpler (or at least different)
    - Secret is stored only at one site: good for open environments
- Digital signatures for authentication
  - Can "sign" a message with your private key
- Session key establishment
  - Exchange messages to create a secret session key
  - Then switch to symmetric cryptography (why?)

#### **Session Key Establishment**

#### **Modular Arithmetic**

- Given g and prime p, compute: g<sup>1</sup> mod p, g<sup>2</sup> mod p, ... g<sup>100</sup> mod p
  - For p=11, g=10
    - 10<sup>1</sup> mod 11 = 10, 10<sup>2</sup> mod 11 = 1, 10<sup>3</sup> mod 11 = 10, ...
    - Produces cyclic group {10, 1} (order=2)
  - For p=11, g=7
    - $7^1 \mod 11 = 7, 7^2 \mod 11 = 5, 7^3 \mod 11 = 2, ...$
    - Produces cyclic group {7,5,2,3,10,4,6,9,8,1} (order = 10)
      - Numbers "wrap around" after they reach p
    - g=7 is a "generator" of Z<sub>11</sub>\*

## Diffie-Hellman Protocol (1976)

#### Diffie and Hellman Receive 2015 Turing Award





CSE 484 - Winter 2024

## Diffie-Hellman Protocol (1976)

- Alice and Bob never met and share no secrets
- <u>Public</u> info: p and g
  - p is a large prime, g is a **generator** of  $Z_p^*$ 
    - $Z_p *=\{1, 2 \dots p-1\};$  a is in  $Z_p *$  if there is an i such that  $a=g^i \mod p$



#### **Example Diffie Hellman Computation**

- PUBLIC
  - p = 11
  - g = 2
  - (g is a generator for group mod p)
- Alice: x=9, sends 6 ( $g^x \mod p = 2^9 \mod 11 = 6$ )
- Bob: y=4, send 5 (g^y mod p = 2^4 mod 11 = 5)
- A compute: 5<sup>x</sup> mod 11 (5<sup>9</sup> mod 11 = 9)
- B compute  $6^{y} \mod 11 (6^{4} \mod 11 = 9)$
- Both get 9
- All computations modulo 11

#### **Diffie-Hellman: Conceptually**



Common paint: p and g

Secret colors: x and y

Send over public transport:  $g^{x} \mod p$  $g^{y} \mod p$ 

**Common secret:** g<sup>xy</sup> mod p

[from Wikipedia]

### Why is Diffie-Hellman Secure?

- Discrete Logarithm (DL) problem: given g<sup>x</sup> mod p, it's hard to extract x
  - There is no known <u>efficient</u> algorithm for doing this
  - This is <u>not</u> enough for Diffie-Hellman to be secure!
- Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem: given g<sup>x</sup> and g<sup>y</sup>, it's hard to compute g<sup>xy</sup> mod p
  - ... unless you know x or y, in which case it's easy
- Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem:

given  $g^x$  and  $g^y$ , it's hard to tell the difference between  $g^{xy} \mod p$  and  $g^r \mod p$  where r is random

## Diffie-Hellman Caveats (1)

- Assuming DDH problem is hard (depends on choice of parameters!), Diffie-Hellman protocol is a secure key establishment protocol against <u>passive</u> attackers
  - Common recommendation:
    - Choose p=2q+1, where q is also a large prime
    - Choose g that generates a subgroup of order q in Z\_p\*
    - DDH is hard in this group
  - Eavesdropper can't tell the difference between the established key and a random value
  - In practice, often hash  $g^{xy} \mod p$ , and use the hash as the key
  - Can use the new key for symmetric cryptography

### Diffie-Hellman Caveats (2)

- Diffie-Hellman protocol (by itself) does not provide authentication (against <u>active</u> attackers)
  - Person in the middle attack (aka "man in the middle attack")

### **Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Today**

#### Important Note:

- We have discussed discrete logs modulo integers
- Significant advantages in using elliptic curve groups
  - Groups with some similar mathematical properties (i.e., are "groups") but have better security and performance (size) properties
  - Today's de-facto standard

## **Stepping Back: Asymmetric Crypto**

- We've just seen session key establishment
  - Can then use shared key for symmetric crypto
- Next: public key encryption
  - For confidentiality
- Then: digital signatures
  - For authenticity

#### **Requirements for Public Key Encryption**

- Key generation: computationally easy to generate a pair (public key PK, private key SK)
- Encryption: given plaintext M and public key PK, easy to compute ciphertext C=E<sub>PK</sub>(M)
- Decryption: given ciphertext C=E<sub>PK</sub>(M) and private key SK, easy to compute plaintext M
  - Infeasible to learn anything about M from C without SK
  - Trapdoor function: Decrypt(SK,Encrypt(PK,M))=M

#### **Some Number Theory Facts**

- Euler totient function φ(n) (n≥1) is the number of integers in the [1,n] interval that are relatively prime to n
  - Two numbers are relatively prime if their greatest common divisor (gcd) is 1
  - Easy to compute for primes:  $\phi(p) = p-1$
  - Note that  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a) \phi(b)$  if a & b are relatively prime

#### RSA Cryptosystem [Rivest, Shamir, Adleman 1977]

#### • Key generation:

- Generate large primes p, q
  - Say, 2048 bits each (need primality testing, too)
- Compute n=pq and  $\phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$
- Choose small **e**, relatively prime to  $\phi(n)$ 
  - Typically, e=3 or e=2<sup>16</sup>+1=65537
- Compute unique **d** such that  $ed \equiv 1 \mod \phi(n)$ 
  - Modular inverse:  $d \equiv e^{-1} \mod \phi(n)$
- Public key = (e,n); private key = (d,n)
- Encryption of m: c = m<sup>e</sup> mod n
- Decryption of c:  $c^d \mod n = (m^e)^d \mod n = m$

#### How to compute?

- Extended Euclidian algorithm
- Wolfram Alpha 🙂
- Brute force for small values

### Why is RSA Secure?

- RSA problem: given c, n=pq, and e such that gcd(e, φ(n))=1, find m such that m<sup>e</sup>=c mod n
  - In other words, recover m from ciphertext c and public key (n,e) by taking e<sup>th</sup> root of c modulo n
  - There is no known efficient algorithm for doing this without knowing p and q
- Factoring problem: given positive integer n, find primes  $p_1, ..., p_k$  such that  $n=p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}...p_k^{e_k}$
- If factoring is easy, then RSA problem is easy (knowing factors means you can compute d = inverse of e mod (p-1)(q-1))
  - It may be possible to break RSA without factoring n but if it is, we don't know how

#### **RSA Encryption Caveats**

- Encrypted message needs to be interpreted as an integer less than n
- Don't use RSA directly for privacy output is deterministic! Need to pre-process input somehow.
- Plain RSA also does <u>not</u> provide integrity
  - Can tamper with encrypted messages

In practice, OAEP is used: instead of encrypting M, encrypt  $M \bigoplus G(r) || r \bigoplus H(M \bigoplus G(r))$ 

– r is random and fresh, G and H are hash functions

## **Stepping Back: Asymmetric Crypto**

- Last time we saw session key establishment (Diffie-Hellman)
  - Can then use shared key for symmetric crypto
- We just saw: public key encryption
  - For confidentiality
- Next time: digital signatures
  - For authenticity